

VRG — Folder — 0014.

21, V. 84

by
more corrections

On p. 13 of main text, add
"about" by "the middle of the
century"

(This is based on the new
find of $\epsilon\pi\alpha\rho\kappa\rho\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta\varsigma$, sp. Τηροδίας
Pluteus MS 857)

6. VI 84

A. Boegehold wanted a simple
statement as to how much we know
about the epigraphy in inscription, i.e.,
1.) we do have an ancient list of priests of Hestia,
but it only goes for 404 B.C. (beginning) to about
4th cent., to some extent of for the one ~~and~~
on stamps 2.) we have extensive parts of
an ^{ancient} list of priests of Athena Lindia, and some
but not all of these list bear priest of
Hestia 3.) we have a number of inscriptions
in which there is a clearly preserved list name
- priest.

1981, p. 426. An additional type of the earlier group, with a new second name, has been identified recently by D. T. Ariel, and will appear in his forthcoming publication of stamped handles found in excavations in the City of David, Jerusalem. Finally on the earlier group, whole amphoras bearing stamps of that series have been found recently in a Hellenistic wreck near the Glass Wreck at Serece Liman off Turkey; I owe the information to M. Katzev; the shape of these amphoras is like early Knidian. The later Zenon Group may be connected with the earlier, although no context fixes the plain ZH(stamps earlier than the late 3rd to early 2nd century B.C.: in addition to the Middle Stea context, we have an

9. VII. 82
 Postponed
 for separate
 article &
 be ill.
 Not in
 Phenomenon
 article
 Phenomenon
 8. VII. 82

7

(Fig. 3)

(cf. ul. of Krumm
ship) [3]

1) proper of the Aho ji

2) outline drawing of the left ji

Please to put into MSBF article

12. VII 84

Two Add. out of p. 85 drawings of joins

to enable cf. of earlier & later sp.

See Fig. 3 for 2 compound

with ~~the~~ ^{of E_{21}^2 sp.} amplification detail in the ~~to~~

Nikasajōpa-

of the later NIK.

Could lower been done

6. VII. 84

Not to be added, the 2 drawings as too much fuss now.

25. P. 84

4.01

To p. 72bis

at end, add ref. & The 8th St.
(Pink Book no. 2), fig. 18, for sheds
in use. (there are not cut out steps)

+ Fig. 3

12. VI. 84

p. 3 rev. & Fig. 1

Clarification of PMWM

①

23.1.84

p. 9 beg. of this 7-year period too condensed?

VII 84

Under the list of names: change first sentence
No one of these 7 eponyms occurs in the Middle Stoa filling (an 'ΑΡΙΟΤΕΙΣΤΑΣ, named on a single handle, SS 12862, is readily distinguishable. ...).

p. 9 →

~~It is therefore probable that ~~the closing~~ the ^{close} ~~closing~~ of the Middle Stoa filling ~~can~~ coincided with a date 7 years before the end of the Pergamon filling~~
then first sentence in 2nd para on p. 9

~~These 7 eponyms ~~do not suggest~~~~

immediately VII 84

These 7 eponyms therefore suggest a period of 7 years after the end of the Middle Stoa filling ~~but~~ but before the close of the Pergamon deposit. This assumption is strengthened ... etc.

~~top~~ top. p. 13 put the ~~reference~~ mention of ΑΡΙΟΤΟΚΛΗΣ' Knidian with the further discussion of them late (bottom 13 - top 14)?

p. 17 Analogens end of paragraph ~~should~~ ^{should} be in a footnote? ^{with}

[p. 19-21 Do none of the Corinth Knidian have any context other than pre- or post AG?] p. 11

p. 22 Where has it been said that "we come down to about 5 years after 188" for the Rhodian?

p. 23 ff. ~~one word~~

over

p. 23 ff.

One wonders whether ~~this~~ the plates were made up to illustrate ~~the~~ the Knidian series or only parallels with the TSBF. E.g. "The first + earliest of the jars" - what jars?

with other

Nice to have somewhere "we now enter the period of the TSBF" and "we are now knowing..."

People not familiar with the eponym/fabricant division of stamps will not pick up from "a jar... of Apotayopos" (p. 28) that he is a fabricant [I know it is said on p. 25,

Need a list of all the eps + fabs, Rhodian + Knidian present in the TSBF.

δοτα / ροδιαστικη

p. 27

"Apotayopos is a new name ..."

well?

p. 31

5th line from bottom "working"

well?

p. 37.

Strange not to mention whether Susan R. thinks the long-petalled may begin early?

for the 58, 61, 63 (p. 33)

p. 39-40

Construction hiatus - does HAT say it shows within the foundation itself? note 66

Remember to mention to Marin

non-falling glc words (i.e. do. & fit
in ms.)
(cf. pp 88, 89)

Placing of figures

Index

Ask him if she liked the subheadings sent
Oct. 27

11.I.84

When to put them
in place of #

1.5. p. 5 # 9
Follow # 5 ?

Be sure to talk
about the
notes.

24.X.83

7.01

More correction - MSBF article

p. 2 get Emilio's initials in full

7.XI.83

p. 67 "For Pichoff's case to [unclear]" ; at end of

the 1-sentence para, add: "On group C,

see ^{the} separate Pichoff records, Hastings, 52, 1983,

pp. 276 - 278.

non long-petite books, 3.1.82, vol. 1, pp. 274-5

note 67

BICS

More corrections for MSBF

p. 91, under no. 6
2

what does
right say

Doutas (24: XI on telephone) says he
himself excavated the Angel lot (and gave def. to
Charles Spurlin);

under G. Doutas

"supervised by Ch. Knudsen

" in 8th material from ^{these} his excavations
in 1961

footnote 61, add

; see now further, ^{also by S.T. Petroff,} ~~the same author~~

Heaps 52, 1983, pp. 274-5, with note 67

14. XI. 83

Include reference to S.T. Petroff's article

in Heaps, 1983, pp. 257 ff., on deposits

H 6:9⁺ ("Cave"), F 6:13 & G 6:2 (HAT pp 6)

E 6:1⁺ & F 6:2⁺ ("Egyptian" etc.); long-petioled bowls
(p. 274, note 67)

* 9/11/83
in MSBF
added

Member &
MARIAN

Note for final ms.
of MS articles

Bis ms

72 bis, 14 bis
from 9 bis

Fix on original (with)

p. 71, lyt names "Agitation" & "Depression"

p. 13, 14 bis 14 - mark on top to trust for extra

p. 53, 5th line from bottom - "imperfect" changes marked to "A"

p. 58 does Dep'da for next something?

Check on original

p. 48, is inkling out blade enough? Notes on photos

p. 78, ref. to Maffra, Jim never did not get
- footnote 65, Durabach

on orig. in ill.

(wrong ref)

numbers

Paste

pp. 71

actually not pasted (stapled)

contrast markings
(p. 88)
89

- also by: space left for too short Greek word

Index

→

→

What to do for Figures
Readers - Bill has met all parts about bldgs

more checking, if necessary, afternoon!

Note publications I did not succeed in getting
 (By Ch. Edwards) photostatics of, footnote pp. 12 etc.
 or did not hunt up her (footnote p. 15

→ Date of thing, ft. p. 26 and name of
 being Demetrius ~~some~~ " " ^{misc}

refs. for Sankhopolis, Phil. pp. 26-27.

Phil p. 33, note 65, date of 2nd publ.
 by Durbach (Maia) (Ch. Edwards unable to
 find this book at ASCS)

Phil p. 37, note 76 ref. to Klein ^{again}
 Ch. Edwards did not find & photostatic.
 Also Kuschel ~~press~~, in same note (y he found it, he
 did not get pages & print

p. 38 note 81 Kuschel ~~press~~ again

p. 39 note 85 ~~Strods~~ ref.

p. 40 no photostatic of Ancient India, no vol. no.
 and p. ref. not checkable

(begin)

arrangements? look at gain

Notes, p. 8, bottom, Skid [unclear] [unclear] on
Dap. - ~~Ap.~~ ?
1911.

24. VIII. 83

Refs. not checked:

Print this
append

note 25, Garland refs (article unaltered)

note 32, Penguin VII, 2

note 51, dates of Philip II
Demetrius II

refs on Southwold

note 65, dates of Durrbachs (note
[unclear])

(note 76, 77, - Knappfus - Klein ("))
81

note 85 Strabo

note 86 Guides 3, p 136

87 Ancient Trade

See WRECKS - TRR, folder,

not of ca. 5.10.63

13.VII.83

10

Add to App. 3 ?

(for the Gr. Long wreck site)

Samples tested in 1963 from P. Throck-
by the U.S. Dept of Agriculture, Foresty
Service, showed planks to be of two
different kinds of pine, of different provenance

8.VIII.83

Not to use this after all.

[2. IX. 83] [11.01]

3. VI. 83

11.02

p. 41
off-centered doors of S.S. I for
living rooms - vs. storage bins?

p. 43-44
raised floors -
strong walls -
free of adjacent bldgs.
cols. no closer than 5/10 of 2x4s
& Poikile.

p. 46. next to last line "hypothetical" partitioned

Appendix
Amphora in well about same as
in fill of Middle Stoa.?

(WBD Jr.)

Phoebus - WBD Jr. & Neil!

, introduction

19

- note 64 - 87 (note pp. 33 - 40)

pp. 70 - 72 too

Appendix 2, 11, comments on

H 13:1

9-13 10-11: 1, 2

next
5. IX. 83

WBD Jr. had these pages 2. IX. (Friday) and
apparently read them. He had some complaints,
but minor ones (e.g. I really must cross out the
statement that the columns are unusually close to-
gether - no more so than e.g. those of the
TOIKI (by [BT in one of] those things HAT says,
and WBD Jr. doesn't agree.) This means he
hasn't too much against bins and chutes, the
bldgs. as altogether a replacement for SSI, etc.
He made me feel I shouldn't lean too much on
there having been a ventilated floor - after all, the
raised podium would take its place so. "Entirely
hypothetical," he said about the whole business,
but "of course it's entertaining."



[2, IV, 83]

[11.01]

p. 41

off-centered doors of S.S. I for
dining rooms - vs. storage bins?

p. 43-44

raised floors -

strong walls -

free of adjacent bldgs.

cols. no closer than 5' 0" of Zew
& Parkle.

p. 46 - next to last line "hypothetical" partitioned

Appendix

Amphorae in well about same as
in fill of Michel Stod. ?

[W B D 12]

Phonetic - WBD ji (read)

pp. 1 - 4, introduction

pp. 37 - 49

with notes 64 - 87 (with pp. 33 - 40)

pp. 70 - 72 bis

end of Appendix 2, ~~some~~ ~~in~~

H 13 : 1

and 9-12 10-11 : 1, 2

with
5. IX. 83

WBD ji. had these pages 2. IX. (Friday) and
apparently read them. He had some complaints,
but minor ones (e.g. I really must cross out the
statement that the columns are unusually close to-
gether - no more so than e.g. those of the
TOIKI'ly [5th issue] ^{6. IX. 83} than things HAT says,
and WBD ji. doesn't agree.) This means he
hasn't too much against bins and chutes, the
bdy. as altogether a replacement for SSE, etc.
He made me feel I shouldn't lean too much on
them having been a ventilated floor - after all, the
raised podium would take its place so. "Entirely
hypothetical," he said about the whole business,
but "of course it's entertaining."

No more corrections

to be made from
these 4 pages.

Now to do

1.) With Claire, finish checks reading
helps down there (as is going to Theres son.)

1.a) Put away books - ^{Lucy?} done.

2.) Fix Snapes section, - check if

3.) Ask for photocopier - ^{stitcher?} by Claire?
1) ^{please} things that need them for checking.

4.) check from photocopier.

5.) Finish plates

5.bis) Write caption

6.) Go through printer's text, adding

and correcting from unrotated carbon,

and from various notes, a sentence

add to notes, etc.

7.) Photocopy, ~~which~~ original

8.) Sort

checking refs in Pharusides

I have now been through carbon of footnotes, and filled out checking done earlier, making use of help in getting down books, files, etc. a few at end still need help in getting out here (listed).

Also a page has been filled of items of which I need photographs of some pages, also for checking, as I don't wish to go to library.

In going through footnotes, I have skipped those concerned with Swigean (notes 49 - 64) as the main text there needs a little adjusting first.

10. VII. 83
Has since been done, and checked.

First I went through the incl. catalogue main text, looking up the footnotes and checking the refs, for accuracy and relevance. I marked (in t.c. point in left margin) lines with items still to be checked (no assistants to get down a book in the library as) I did some improvements,

To be checked at SA
but when I have help

note 71	top of fortification	- 36
" 81	bottom of "	- 38
" 82	top of "	- 39

85 note 85 - as we have started here


~~catalogue~~

p. 86	2nd
p. 87	lower part
92	under \$
94	" "
95	Paropis - as lower 4 (?)
"	Prudels
"	Nessan I
98	bottom
99	under 16 (pile)

exp. of KT 238:

Acton	: 1111 1111 1111
Carriage	: 1
Abys	: 14 allegia (13 main)
Memphis	: 1
Dates	: 1


3. ~~VI~~ 83



Permitted for use of

the symbol, see note 46

shall not be cross out



mention of permitted of A.V.C.

*Staph. question
note 2 says plain
exactly what is
to be changed*

MBW

VG -- Middle Stoa Paper -- Queries

*The Rhodian date to group, which includes
also non-Rhodian*

Note 2 lines 6-7 Does Grace 1974.200 change the Rhodian date only, or how much more? (I did look it up - but don't dare guess the answer.)

Page 8 line 2 fm end Perhaps "as of /a homonymous official of/" ? Would it help to add that "reused" eponyms are sometimes indicated (as Damonikos wrote "Pausanias tou Telesonos") sometimes not, as in eponym lists elsewhere (Kallias ho deuterios at Athens, ...)? Perhaps not. *Seems to be the first.*

Page 9 line 3 consistent ?

Note 15 lines 3-4 It would be reassuring to be told that Kallikratidas is right. *On Kleubrotos? Don't know*

end below Where?

Page 11 line 7 Might help to distinguish, instead of conflating, putting these seven here/putting these seven in this order/. *I don't know their order.*

12 endorsing Here first, suddenly *In all my other publications*

12 2-3 Greek letters might be in Greek

5 shop Perhaps "firm" if a potter may have more than one "workshop" - even ones in different cities! *No shop*

14 But note 17 shows that two handles have this combination - perhaps "Otherwise two handles, the one from Tarsus published, that combine ⊖⊖"

→ 11 end A final sentence would help - something on the lines of "The easiest reconstruction is to hypothesize that the year of Nikasagoras is the year before that of Theaidetos."

Note 21 One might indicate somehow that the eponyms on jars would be expected to be the same as those for inscriptions - at least by a ref. to note 23. (Coins can name mint magistrates; is "priest" on early Rhodian ^{handles} the main point?)

Page 15 line 14 Why not put him on a plate? *No space this time to alien*

Page 17 The Thasian parallel -- say that the Thasians held state office (unless wrong!)

end Obscure.

Page 20 line 1 perhaps type i.e., sometimes? What is a type? The group of one or more dies sufficiently alike so that they were probably used by the same potter in the same year, or on Rhodes after ca 250, same month? Anyway it is a technical term, slippery for non-experts. Note also here that the end of III, though it seems like an entity, is different for Rhodian and for Knidian (said, bottom of p. 53)

add to note 28

Note 30 Cf. Inschr. von Chalkedon on no. 1

VG -- Middle Stoa - 2

- Page 21 line 1 Do we infer that IV B is post-phrourarch? *Look at AM!*
- Page 2³ line 3 as remarked can't find this *out?*
- Page 25 line 6 new system of dimensions -- this seems a bit Brashinsky-ish to let loose without warning.
- Note 37 line 3 capacity might make readers wonder what it was, whether Knidian are constant? (I wondered back at page 15 line 14.) Despite new systems of dimensions? As I remember the Agora produced almost all the measurable jars, but (i) most are later than the Middle Stoa (ii) at least as measured by various people and methods the results did not pinpoint a target. I should reread my memo. *??*
- Note 38 Might cross-reference with page 13 *What is complaint?*
- Page 27 lines 4-6 Decompress?
- Note 46 lines 4-8 "
- Pages 35/6 What does SIR's "separation" of the settling-basin deposit amount to? Isn't it still contemporary?
- Page 43 Grain storage was one of the great universal problems of the civilized world -- the Greeks won't have learnt about ventilation from India in the 320s! *Find some yourself!*
- Page 46 lines 6-7 Agora 14 keeps being invisible so I don't really visualize the practicalities of the fill -- why should it come up as high as one metre under the floor and then stop? *all that wood construction*
- Appendix 4 pp. 78/9 *Wout* make into a table. Also I found myself leafing back to see how the Alex. figures corresponded to Athens and Pergamum figures - e.g., the fill from one house in Pergamum had about 25 handles a year (page 7). Can you give a more inclusive figure than the 18,000 that dramatizes the disproportion between Alex. and all the rest even for the earlier periods (before V)?

 Stray points: are the walls as thick as they should be for granaries?

do you mention that Sinope handles are missing from Delos and from the Sullan destruction at the Agora (HEAD 280)? What about Corinth? *None there, as at Corthage?*

PAGES 9 to 21

These seem much harder than the equivalent section did last year. I don't think they can count as demysrifying amphorology (Freudian misprint). Three bits I have specific comment on, but it is the total effect more than any single difficulty that I wish could be mitigated.

Pages 9-10 secondary stamps at upper attachment. Is the following paraphrase anywhere near? Not a rewrite - the style isn't good - it just helps me follow the arg - I think

(from p 9 line 11 "jar") The practice of adding such secondary stamps, either on the handle carrying the eponym stamp or on the one with the fabricant stamp, ~~or on both~~, began in the early 2nd century B.C. An early secondary stamp consists of a rose -- an example of it found in context in Tarsus gives us an independent rough date.¹⁵ Slightly later secondary stamps consist of letters (e;g;; kappa, ~~or tau~~). Now in the Middle Stoa building fill three eponyms occur that are elsewhere found with rose secondary stamp, and one rose secondary stamp occurs although no part of its main stamp is preserved;^{15A} In addition there are three eponyms attested in the fill that occur elsewhere with letter secondary stamp. These six then, Kallikratidas, Kleukretes (1st), and Symmachos with roses and Nikasagoras (1st), Theaidetos, and Athanodotos, are with letters probably the latest Rhodian eponyms in the Middle Stoa building fill. The seven names attested in the Pergamum deposit but absent from that of the Middle Stoa are all ones that can occur with letter secondary stamps, but not with roses; they fit naturally then after the last six from the Middle Stoa. (to page 10, line 10 -- 15A about SS12717)

Page 13 - the long paragraph might go in a footnote or an endnote. Some difficulties wherever the material goes: the sense of "like" at page 13 line 3, last line, and note 19 is unclear; is the rose in line 3 not the secondary stamp rose?; what is a potter "Amyntas with wreath"; do you say why Athanodotos goes after Theaidetos, not before Nikasagoras? No Knidian from Hippokratides? ???

can't count now my pen

No! (just) No

Section on Phrourarchs -- after trying to sort this out I decided the material is just difficult - little to do about it. One possibility would be to have a table showing sequence:

- Phase A Knidian handles name local eponym
- B Names of Rhodian-imposed phrourarchs begin to be used. Possibilities in this phase
 - (a) Phrourarch name with phrourarch expressed
 - (b) Local name and phrourarch name with phrourarch expressed
 - (c) Phrourarch name, without phrourarch
- C Names of phrourarchs with or without phrourarchos
- D After 167 Rhodians leave - revert to local names alone.

*Do this yourself
When I'm gone?*

27.5.83

(3)

(for complaint by
MBCU)
End of note 15

"See below on sec. of, used

by ~~Down~~ in Govt...

Thurs. 27.5.83
(Thurs. 27.5.83)

note 17

(unpublished)
Note a second example of the
construction; duplicate

A
of both stamps was found in a
bundle (etc.)

17. IV 83

add at end of note 15, same ft.:

I regret that in citing to ^{earlier} works of

Shelton on accounting stamps, I failed to
(see) Debs 27, p. 290, note 1)

include reference to his article, "Suppl.

st. on Mi. amplis," Médiums Offerts et

K. Muehlenbrock, Warsaw 1966, pp 663 -

668.

Put some more down
som
↓

Get in ca p-8e;

Did not
get in

1) SALT of now - Pleistocene day but names of ? Aθavδvταc
what is ship?
- loss of S

did not
get in

2.) θρακίτιος is also a kind of ep., see
pencil (III-IV)

or about this
go in after we
know down kind

11.II.82

* There are
in O types
with that
monogram
center - the
latest

? * Ἀγαθὸβρυδός (A)
Ἰθυοκλάς
Ἀγμῶν
Ἀρτίμων
Ἀγροδύρος
Ἐπιφάνης
(θρακίτιος)
Ἰάχερος
Πολίτης
Πολίτης

Kind 9.II.82

where to other III-IV ep.s. Also others ^{also} Pleistocene

* Εωσπεί
Οιδύπιδος
(12)

Do not seem to add up
to anything Only θρακίτιος
is the name of a Pleistocene ep.
of anything, like to right period

at any point, i.e. without making so
much as to test P. B. G. It would
have been a help if I had ^{at least} behind me
4 large volumes on the various classes
(Ph., K., etc.). To that, I need to
read of something Beagle was once
heard to say; "The Darwin alone would
take a man his whole life."

MIDDLE STDA DATED - CORRIGENDUM 1982-4

14