

VRG_Folder_0030

"RHYS's Problem - 1990"

In here temporarily:

Analyses of O-R 7-10

and of Q 10:1

ON Q 10:1

See now letter to R. Townsend
dated 25.II.86, in this folder,
further up.

21. VII. 84

Is this a more recent listing?
Some SS cards used P-4.6-12
and are not listed in this analysis
of 2.VII.56. E.g. SS 11639,
of Πυθίου IV, 11640 of Περσίου
also SS 1322

10 examples here:

Αἰσχροφῶν	(1)
Αἰσχροφῶν	"
Πυθίου III (3)	"
IV (4)	"
Κυθίου	(3)
Νικόδημοι	(2)
Πουλάδης	(3)
Περσίου	(2)

read
leg.

as from essentially
is not later than 3rd
cent.?

mostly
Thasian
handles,
all

of

these

(see Thasian list)
Cards 8 & 6 assembled
and analysis 8 & 6
made.

SQUARE-BLG. AND RELATED

3. III. 86

2.01

ON Q 1011

See now letter to R. Townsend
dated 25.II.86, in this folder,
further up.

21. VII. 87

Is this a more recent listing?

Some SS cards used P-4.6-12
and are not listed in this analysis

of 2.VII.86. E.g. SS 11639,

of H. D. W. J. IV, 11640 $\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi$

~~also SS 1322~~

10 examples here:

	$\Delta\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi$	(1)
	$\Delta\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi$	"
$\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi$	$\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi$	"
" IV(4)	$\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi$	"
	$\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi$	(2)
	$\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi$	(2)
	$\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi$	(3)
	$\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi$	(2)

2.02

Q 10:1 From fill of S side foundation of
Late 4 to BC
SS 11609-11613

Traced to foundation of S dyke
(Q 9:4 of Sg. Belly (between Iron Pines 12-13)
125 $\frac{1}{2}$ round BC
SS 13224-13233

went about -

SS 11488, 11494, 11526? etc. "Fill in trench for outer
wall of Sg. Belly"

Q 10:1

2.03

22.11.73

Analysis of
Gessner
Parte de Zens,
Loren group,
which precede
this index,
is kept as
beginning of
DEPOSITS II
folder.

Q 10:1

2.04

28. III. 73

See notes of today in folder GORDON;
on F. A. Winter's belief that this
deposit Q 10:1 "could well run
into the early 3rd cent." according to
items it in Agass XII.

This to ask me to consider whether
the Gordon Thasin don't run
beyond 300, as he believes the
bricks there was ca. 275, when
the Galatians arrived.

Only one Thasin ^{individual} name is in Q 10:1
and not in the earlier ^(Gordon) groups.

that is Παθίου & ΤΥ; but 4 ex.

of him

and Π. III ? } and
and Π. IV ? } and
and Π. V ? } and

See list of 8.5.72 -

Gordon folder
(unsent - etc.)

* When any
notes on the slabs,
make outside on the
section?
Do the not already
at hand - put in
analysis of this
deposit?

(G 1011)

1. 57.55 [2.05]

LATTER 45 BC

SAH from Fill in (modern) Trench
for outer wall of Squ. Bldg.

SA
n.b. pp. 2624-5 lists the following as from essentially
same deposit, which EV limits not later than 3rd
1/4 of 4th (but 3 limits last quarter?):

2A 1710 ff	(SS 11483 ff.)	mostly
1728 "	(SS 11497 ff.)	Thessian
1740 "	? in SAH	handles,
1768	(SS 11608)	all

1770-74	(SS 11609-613)	of
---------	----------------	----

2071-2081 (all done)	(SS 13221 ff.)	Thess
-------------------------	----------------	-------

(see Thessian List)
Cards & 6 assembled
and analysis & 6
made.

Stills noted internally 337-6 BC
found in this fill. HAT appears
the stills & have stood only about
ten years then discarded.
The Chian Sgr. Bldg must
have been initiated in period of
336-327
Lygurgos. But it appears that
this wall may have
lagged behind, or
was never clear
been really built,
though it is to outer
wall of a building
which was used.

Q 10:1

4th cent.

last quarter?

36.TV.52

[2.06]

Σ A

(dug by ancients)

Pier 10 I, Fill in trench for outer wall of Sgr. Bldg
p. 2822

SS 11483-11494

SS 11497-11500

SA 1710-1721

1728-31

Stamped handles

(no rims!) 3 Chian Pontis, 1 IKON (Chian, Chian
Chian) and 3 small, 1 wheel, 1 * better in quarter
wh. in Borealis Thasian(?) , 1 illegible w. clay
with tile Pontis, 1 monogram [K]

Seems to me not
earlier than last
quarter; if N 4th cent.
hole is 3rd quarter.

Thasian here is quite
a new sort, not like
what was in the T. (Both stamped
and tile)

And Chian Chian
here seems clearly
later handle
and some below
"hem" rims, and
there is a piece of
a deep-fringed
Chian toe.

In the sheds:

For more convincing Chian, see over

upper att. of early (misshapen) Hissus-group. Fine red clay,
some of light slip.

Curv. w. upper att. of light handle, round in section
fin and clay w. light slip, which looks more like Hell.
Chian.

Some body frags., look like going with this in period is
red w. light bloom, angle of shoulder (not so sharp)

A toe has also clear fin and clay and whitish slip, and this looks like transition between knobbed (late 5th
- early 4th?) Chian toe to "shoe" toe
goes with ↓

Some handle pieces which show the "bloom" but
are more irregular and broader - early types. Fabric very like
that of toe drawer. Rim (one edge fin.) high down
handle, though not as high as a later example.
Some more handles, always irregular & broadish.

Rim piece fabric like drawer toe and matching handle
(roughly made out of band, HT. '019, very low)

of this lot
with top of
jar P10690
also w.
fines w. "collar"
toe, e.g. P12988



Assemble 18
go in an envelope.
From 3 diff. lots
of shards.
But if this is Chian,
must be consid. diff. date
from kind of line work and
present etc.

(over)

(Chin)

Find piece of toe (f. tag) to go into thin rim. This one much deeper than others from N 4th and. put and on low body box.

Piece of rim which is just ^(outside) a hem .019 wide set off by an indentation.

Also another piece of rim w. outer fold still there, still near to att. then to assembled group rim handle to. earlier?

more piece of rim 1 assembled group rim handle to. earlier?

In last. worked 2 lots of sheets: much fr. w. hem rim and handle attached. Handle set definite lower ^{toe} on assembled rim handle. Presumably later. No toe to go with it. in 1985

*.06 below of 1 Basic handle of 1

Note 2A 1717

Note no rim on stamp item

They are mostly large circles

is one of the Br's Therasim? granular monogranular: coarse micaceous red clay, dark grey core. Worn fr. Don't find other pieces of the jar

broad ribbed handles. One - narrow stamps

perhaps 2A 1731 sheet 2. (it has limited signs)

2 unstamped Therasim (?) handles in 2 sheets lower

rim, small and thin (not the fat rim of more, prob. Therasim, of which some do. Δ zwat 045 d. al.) have fat rim

In last - worked sheet lots: 1 toe of possible connection - that type in which the body has swallowed most of a long foot of the early 4th micaceous buff clay, red below surface. Under surface, broad very shallow concavity.

Pauis

"Apert for stamp item, which are all Chusson and indeed a good rim, then are bits of others, wtd. prob. non Chusson (lots of black bits). No toes.

Coan?

Part of a double handle ^{micaceous} buff clay, greyish at core. In last. worked sheets, another, with lower att., part of shoulder

H. comp. 11492 (2A 1719 ^{with} similar rim, but dull grey with mottled bits

a number of pieces of rim w. triangular section, coarse red or brown clay w. light surface, ^{micaceous} buff clay, pinkish core.

One has red dipinto, is to be catalogued [P 22249] ^{IV}

Last. worked sheets. another frag. of each fabric, and a handle frag. w. lower att. of the buff micaceous.

"IKION"

Like SA 1713 are numerous lower parts of handle, but none to join. The shape is a little like a light chum. No rim is pres. on SA 1713 and is a small ^{low} roll, not at all like "ham" ^{or fold} tips.

Other kinds

[Eq. P25944, etc.]



←.ob→

Only one other toe in the collection: a fat ring set w. very little stem. Red clay.

Some gray ^(G) fragments, but not clearly of the "gray jar" series.

[On Rhod?] →

Possibly Rhodia ?? heavy fat deep rim, broad rising handle. Red clay, buff surface.

E.H.61

q. frag. f
Heterostichus
(Hymenites)

Neck w. abrupt bend at base. Red clay, yellowish surface. Rather like some Chian (?)

Whole handle and rolled rim, fabric rather like Portia, much more surface.

Vertically bent rim of slight projection. of "Comite" jar

from EE, at 53/5
rim -]

[Ant of the other ^{3rd} early Rhodian

[Rhodia?]

(G)

Handle round in section, with small diam., lower all pres. w. tail at base of handle. Reddish buff w. large gray core, micaceous.



A lot of rim frags., plain small rolled rim

Whole smallish ^{44 cm. 17} handle, rolled rim, fringe in on
lower all., lots of pitch on interior of both alls
and around some mouth. Short descending top.
Buff clay w. black bits.

Rhodi ?? ^{long} ^{short-arched} ~~but~~ rolled rim, ~~plain~~ ^{Christie's}
handle set high; buff clay sl. greyish at
con, cream slip.

2. VI. 58

Notes in this pen, taken in the last couple
of days. Shards have not been reexamined.

original & HAT

(910:1)

1.IX.52

2.10

SAH: FILL IN TRENCH FOR OUTER WALL OF SQUARE BUILDING (S. ATTALOS PIER 10 EAST)

List from HAT 30.VIII.52: SS 11483-11494, 11497-11500, 11525-6, 11608-11613.
He states: "Pottery from here agrees very closely with that of Group A (running into 1st quarter of III cent.?)"

	Fabricant	device	eponym	EE	SS
27.III.54 Not HAT. T. = arranged 9 stamp 100, 101 = smaller in found, heavy.	THASIAN	ΑΙΞΠΙΩΝ < ΑΙΞΠΩΝ	sword < vase VIII		11493 < 13223
		[ΑΠΙΞΠΙΑΗ	sword??		11609
		ΑΠΙΞΠΟΙΚΟΞ	rosette		11484
		ΚΗΞΙΟΞΩΝ < ΚΗΞΙΟΩ	kraagra < piles		11487, 11499 < 13218
		[M]YAKOX (ΠΟΥΛΥΑΑ)	head < trident		11489 < 13221
		ΝΙΚΟΑΗΜΟΞ	horn		11500
		[ΝΗΚΟ]ΔΗΜΟΞ	pomegranate		11610
		ΠΟΥΛΥΑΑΗΞ	eel(?)		11611
		"	turtle		11483
		ΗΥΘΙΩΝ	letter O, plus monogram 9		11525
		"	burning torch		11494
		"	device (shield?)		11488
		"	device, not identified		11526
		(not read)	oar		11613 < 13222
		ΤΙΡΗΞΙΝΟΔΙΣ			
21.IX.53 S.V. range add 2001 13218 SA 2072 13221 3 13222 4 13224	PONTIC		AZT.HPAKAEI[11485
	all three		AZT. IANOOZ		11497
	Chersonese		AZT.[11498
27.III.54 HAT same 6 but these lot not later than 322 now. While actually it possible for the SAH - 2 lines. The ΑΙΞΠΩΝ with 1 is not in our group but while the rest - diff. arr. of stamps. But note dev. to square in this group (SS 13223)	MISCAL.	IKION (i.e. possibly from Ikes)			11486
		monogram M			11612
		SS letters in quadripartite circular stamp (may be Thasian)			11490
		device or letters, not identified			11491
		"			11492
drag up later HAT		"			11608

Brackets indicate doubted restoration, but nothing is restored for which there are not some grounds.

For the first of the Chersonese types we have a duplicate from what has been called an early 3rd cent. context (SS 8929, from AA, underground drain at west end of section). For the other types there is no better context on file than that of the present group. The most informative part of the group is the Thasian. These handles seem to make a mutually consistent group. In fabric: big, broad in proportion, rather low arched, vertically ribbed; relatively light-toned reddish buff; unfortunately, no rims preserved. They seem to belong near the restored jar SS 10340, from OO Cistern A, for which LT has given me a date of 330-320; definitely earlier than SS 8932 and 8937, from EE, cistern at 53/E, of which GRE assigns amphoras to 1st half 3rd.

See no compelling reason to put any of the present group past 300; it seems as a whole a little later than what came from the Squ.Bldg Contr.Fill. Jar fragments I saw in the sherds, including some characteristic Chian, suggested to me last quarter of 4th.

Rhys p. 326:

single - name - enough

stamps, a class which begins not long after

340 B.C. [* For the relation dating of the 2-

name and one name Thesie stamps, and

the first dating. The original large-scale

contact dating of Woodman Thesie stamps

was pointed by the filling of Thompson's Period III of the Puget

Assessment Place, where two name Thesie Contact

(Thompson's) in the excavation of the Puget, where on 37

2-name stamps (and one of the common 1-name

stamps) were found in a fall, with late net-figure, brought

in to the 3/4 of the 4th century. The proposed 340

B.C. as a likely date for a break in system, as

There appears to have been an administrative
change in ^{Thess.} the year. See Hesperia Suppl. 8,⁽¹⁹⁵⁶⁾

pp. 122-11. The relative date of 2-man
and 1-man stamps recently confirmed

stratigraphically by K. Gabel in Thess., cf. BCH

XC, 1966, pp. 586-652, esp. pp. 642-645.

Precise individual dates are being worked out for

the individual dating names in the 1-man stamps;
partly by a study of stamp handles found in
of BCH Suppl. 13 better works in Thess.

cf. name lists in BCH Suppl. 13⁽¹⁹⁸⁶⁾, pp. 244-245

(Table B) in Gabel's article pp. 201-276; and

ibid., pp. 330-334 in ^{M.} Debevoise's article,

pp. 311-334. M. Debevoise wants more

absolute ^{individual} dates

June 11, 1990

Miss Grace,

I have xeroxed pp. 323-329 of my Agave ms.,
together with accompanying footnotes.

The passage I would like you to check
is the last paragraph on p. 326, together
with footnotes 23 + 24.

many thanks -

Rhys

12. VI. 90

We had a talk, ca. 3:00 - 3:45, and I am

to write to this.

80). Excluded area in front of the Stoa of Attalos
where later operations greatly lowered the ground level that had
been artificially raised both within and to a certain extent
outside the building. This later activity removed nearly the

June 11, 1990

[STG 8) Attalos] 4.01

Miss Grace,

I have xeroxed pp. 323-329 of my Agave ms.,
together with accompanying footnotes.

The passage I would like you to check
is the last paragraph on p. 326, together
with footnotes 23 + 24.

many thanks -

Rhys

12. VI. 90

We had a talk, ca: 3:00 + 3:45, and I am
to write to him.

THE SQUARE PERISTYLE AND BRICK BUILDING.

Chronology of Deposits O-R 7-10, P 10:3, Q 9-10:1, Q 10:1

The deposits O-R 7-10, P 10:3, Q 9-10:1, and Q 10:1 all relate to the period of construction of the Square Peristyle and thus are closely associated chronologically. The largest and most significant for establishing the date work began on the building is O-R 7-10. The ceramic and numismatic material of this deposit comes from the great quantity of earth that was thrown in during the early stages of construction in order to raise the sloping ground of the site to the desired floor level within the structure. Fill was needed over much of the area but particularly at the north and west where it originally reached as much as two meters in depth.²⁰ Not all of the fill has been used in the following analysis, however, since some areas suffered from considerable building activities in later times resulting in the disturbance of the original deposit. For this reason, the fill in the east colonnade of the building, behind shops XV-XVIII of the Stoa of Attalos, has not been included since here the stratification was greatly disturbed, with contaminated material ranging in date from Hellenistic to late Roman (cf. Layers 38, 80). Excluded too is the area in front of the Stoa of Attalos where later operations greatly lowered the ground level that had been artificially raised both within and to a certain extent outside the building. This later activity removed nearly the

entire fill from this half of the Square Peristyle, with the result that Roman levels often directly overlay bedrock or else covered only bare centimeters of the 4th century layer, with frequent mixing of the strata (e.g., cf. Layers 96 and 97). Significant portions of largely undisturbed fill still remain, however. The most extensive is that within the court of the building, in the area both east and west of foundation Piers 13-19 belonging to the interior colonnade of the Stoa of Attalos (Layer 121), as well as beneath the corresponding section of the Stoa terrace. Farther north, on either side of the Square Peristyle's north stylobate, the fill lay deep beneath the two extensions of the Stoa terrace and the single enlargement of the Stoa colonnade, where Piers 21 and 22 were added (Layer 17). And directly behind Shops XIX and XX of the Stoa, excavation revealed successive layers of fill in the Square Peristyle's north colonnade (Layers 84-88). Even in these areas some intrusion occurred. In the area of the court, for instance, the clay floor of the Square Peristyle was not well preserved everywhere, so that sometimes the excavators found it difficult to distinguish between Construction Fill (Layer 121) and the Fill Over the Floor (Layer 122: Q 8-9), deposited when the Square Peristyle went out of use early in the second century. The material drawn for analysis therefore comes from those levels of the fill which lie well below the floor in this area, either from the fill proper, that is loose earth dumped at the initial stages of the building operation, or from the lower levels of working floors. Slight contamination also occurred in the area of Stoa Piers 21 and 22 (Layer 17).²¹ The construction

of the Late Roman Fortification Wall may be responsible for the little contamination found in two layers of fill in the north colonnade (Layers 84, 88). On the whole, however, impurities were very restricted, and a fully representative sample of the finds can be assured due to the large volume of material found in the areas included for analysis.

Deposits P 10:3 and Q 9-10:1 are contemporary with O-R 7-10. P 10:3 represents the dumped filling of the well which lay in the line of the foundation for the building's south outer wall. Discovered by the builders of the Square Peristyle when they dug their trench, the well was filled in and covered over at that time (above, p. 138). The small quantity of pottery from the fill compares closely with that from the construction fill. The cistern Q 9-10:1 shared a similar fate. Located in the eastern half of the south colonnade of the Square Peristyle, it too was put out of use at the time of the Square Peristyle's construction (above, p. 184). The pottery from the dumped filling in the southern draw shaft dates to both the 4th and 2nd century. The 4th century material, though scanty, is contemporary with the construction fill within the building, and undoubtedly was thrown in by the builders of the Square Peristyle when they filled the cistern in order to provide a sound footing for their foundations. The lack of 3rd century material would seem to indicate that the cistern was not in use during the life of the Square Peristyle. The later pottery will have found its way in at the time of the construction of the Stoa of Attalos when parts of the system were filled a second time.²² Pottery from fill below the plastered

floor of the northern drawshaft, and belonging therefore to the time of the construction of the cistern, dates to the third quarter of the 4th century, perhaps 25 years earlier than the construction fill of the Square Peristyle.

Deposit Q 10:1 represents the fill within the foundation trench for the south outer wall in the area underneath the colonnade of the Stoa of Attalos, between the foundations of the Shops' front wall and that of the stylobate of the Stoa. Various features relating to the trench suggest that foundations were never laid here and that the trench was filled soon after it had been dug when the whole building project went awry (above, pp. 135-139). The ceramic evidence, which suggests a date for the filling of the trench slightly later than the Construction Fill, supports this view.

Of particular importance for the dates of these deposits are the stamped amphora handles found in O-R 7-10 and Q 10:1. Eleven were found in O-R 7-10, of which the most closely datable are the six Thasian. Of these, the four latest are single name eponym stamps, a class which begins in the mid-4th century; the latest of the group from O-R 7-10 may date as late as ca. 310.²³ Of the twenty-seven stamped amphora handles found in Q 10:1, eighteen are Thasian; as in the case of the Construction Fill, these are the most closely datable. Significantly, they are a stage later than the Thasian stamps from O-R 7-10, both in regard to the stamp (with no overlapping of the names of eponyms), the shape of handle, and the shape of the rim. The Thasian stamps from the Fill of the South Outer Wall may be as late as ca. 285.²⁴

11. VI. 90

(*) Now thought to begin before ca 340, and by further probably brought down to 335-330?

Of the sixty-odd coins found in the Construction Fill of the Square Peristyle, ^{23 are legible} some ~~thirty-one~~ are listed in the ~~Agora records~~, as ~~either disintegrated or missing~~. ~~Thirty-two received coin inventory numbers~~ and have now been carefully restudied by John Kroll.²⁵ He found the latest of ~~the 24 legible coins~~ ^{these} to be EA-377, a coin of Demetrios Poliorcetes struck ca. 300-295.²⁶ It came from the area of the construction fill beneath Stoa Piers 21 and 22 (Layer 17). In the same area were found three coins with a head of Athena in Corinthian helmet on the obverse and an owl facing left with the retrograde legend HΘ/A on the reverse (EA-367, 375, 384). In a preliminary paper on the early bronze coinage of Athens,²⁷ Kroll linked the issue of this coin (his Variety E) with ~~a period of~~ ^{the} pro-Macedonian sentiment, ~~either the oligarchy of 322-307 or the democracy of 307-300~~. ~~Partly on the basis of iconographic considerations, partly because the Square Peristyle was thought on other grounds to have been initiated by Lykeurgos around 325,~~ ²⁸ Kroll chose the earlier date. He expressed reservations ^{about this dating,} however, because it compressed the three earlier issues (Varieties B-D) into less than a decade, while Variety E and its successor, F, were protracted over some 36 years.²⁹ Subsequent discoveries in the Kerameikos and additional study of the chronology have now persuaded Kroll that in fact the coin could not have been issued as early as 322 but rather ^{is to be} ~~was~~ ^{dated with the reign of Demetrius of Phaleron between 317 and 307.} ~~struck between 307 and 300.~~³⁰ In addition to the three examples of Variety E and the coin of Demetrios Poliorcetes, Layer 17 also contained one coin of Variety C (EA-374), now to be dated probably ^{ca 322-317} ~~around 320~~ a coin of Eleusis, Variety M or N (EA-383), of the

same period,
~~last quarter of the 4th century to early 3rd century~~, and two coins of the earliest bronze issue, Variety A (ΣΑ-373, 388), of the third quarter of the 4th century. Finally, from this same area comes ΣΑ-385, a coin of Philip V (220-179). This last must be intrusive since the other eight coins, the largest concentration anywhere in the fill, are remarkably consistent in date, and there are no intervening coins of the 3rd century.

A fourth owl left coin (ΣΑ-190) was found under the floor of the north colonnade, in the area directly behind the Stoa of Attalos (Layer 87). Two examples of Variety D, dating just prior to the owl left issue, came from an area of the fill beneath the two extensions to the terrace of the Stoa of Attalos. The first (ΣΑ-183) was discovered in Layer 18, just north of the Square Peristyle's stylobate, to the east of the building's west drain. The second (ΣΑ-215) was found south of the stylobate, well below the floor of the court in the area of the first extension of the Stoa terrace (Layer 63).³¹

Altogether, ^{four} ~~five~~ coins from the Construction Fill (^{those of} ~~four of~~ the owl left issue) ~~and the one coin of Demetrios Poliorketes~~ date ^{either} to the last ^{two} decade^s of the 4th century ^{and one (that of Demetrios Poliorketes) to} ~~or~~ the first years of the third, ^{together constituting} ²³ nearly one quarter of the ~~24~~ legible coins from the fill. In addition, two coins, those of Variety D, date only a little earlier, ^{still} ~~well~~ within the final quarter of the 4th century. This evidence complies with that of the stamped amphora handles. Though the ^{latest of the handles, do not descend quite as far as the coins,} ~~latter suggest a date perhaps a decade or so earlier,~~ the amphoras to which they belong likely remained in circulation longer and therefore would not have been new when they were

discarded. On the other hand, most of the latest coins seemed relatively fresh; only one owl left coin (ΣΑ-190) showed any detectable sign of wear.³²

To the evidence of the coins and stamped amphora handles may be added that of the pottery. This material falls in the crucial period of early Hellenistic Athenian ceramics, a period which Susan Rotroff has reviewed and largely reworked in preparation for her forthcoming book on Hellenistic pottery from the Agora.³³ These revisions have been made necessary by the finds from Koroni, the Ptolemaic camp on the east coast of Attica that was briefly occupied during the Chremonidean War of the 260s.³⁴ Rotroff has shown that certain changes in the traditional chronology of the second half of the fourth century are in order, due in part to the slowed development of shapes in the waning years of the Classical period.³⁵ In addition to Koroni, there are now several important published deposits of the later 4th and first quarter of the 3rd centuries that enable us to expand our knowledge of ceramic chronology of this period. Among these are the Vari House, a rural farm site in Attica occupied essentially during the half-century from 325 to 275,³⁶ and Menon's Cistern in the Athenian Agora.³⁷ In publishing the material from Menon's Cistern, Stella Miller showed that the pottery shared many of the characteristics of that from Koroni and believed the cistern was closed around the same time. Rotroff has now further refined the dating of this material. She originally connected the closing of Menon's cistern and the attendant destruction of the house it served with the uprising which took place in Athens during either 287 or 286.³⁸

¹Cf. above, p. 19, with reference to deposits Q 12:3, R 12:1, and R 12:4.

²Agora XII, p. 178; cf. ibid., p. 328 under no. 1309.

³Cf. Agora XXIII, nos. 57, 83, 447, 768, 833, 1785, 1826, and p. 335.

⁴Two other pits in the area date to the same general period, Deposit P 7:6 below the west drain of the Square Peristyle and Layer 154 in the northeast corner of the first extension of the Stoa terrace.

⁵See comments under Layer 9 in the Stratigraphic Summary for the indications of contamination.

⁶For the contamination, cf. the Deposit Summary for P 7:8.

⁷This deposit was previously dated to ca. 475-460 (cf. Agora XII, p. 397). While the inventoried pottery falls in this general period, fragments from the context pottery indicate that the deposit belongs in the second half of the 5th century, as in fact the original excavator, Eugene Vanderpool, had suggested. For description of the relevant pieces, see the summary for this deposit.

⁸Cf. Agora XII, p. 127 and nos. 771-775. The single early example of this type, no. 771, is not similar to that found in Layer 146.

⁹On the date of this pot, cf. Agora XII, p. 66.

¹⁰Deposit R 11:3 previously was dated to the third quarter of the 5th century; cf. Agora XII, p. 398. The black glazed material from this deposit, however, continues well into the last quarter of the century.

¹¹Ostrakon of Philinos: Agora Inv. No. P 23548; cf. A. E. Raubitschek, "Philinos," Hesperia 23, 1954, pp. 68-71. A second ostrakon of Kleophon Kleippidou (P 22322) was discovered in the fill over the foundation for the west stylobate of the Square Peristyle just to the east of the area where 36 was found (Layer 151) and very likely originally derived from the same 5th century fill.

¹²Though excavated separately, these two deposits were part of a single filling.

¹³Attic pottery of the second half of the fifth century has been well discussed. For the various shapes and their development, see under the appropriate headings in Agora XII. Cf. for individual deposits, L. Talcott, "Attic Black-glazed Stamped Ware and Other

Pottery from a Fifth Century Well," Hesperia 4, 1935, pp. 475-523; Pease, Hesperia 6, 1937; Corbett, Hesperia 18, 1949; S. I. Rotroff and J.H. Oakley, Hesperia Suppl. 000, forthcoming.

¹⁴Cf. Agora XII, p. 134.

¹⁵Ibid., p. 147.

¹⁶Agora Inv. Nos. L 4825 and L 4828, not catalogued.

¹⁷Agora IV, p. 46.

¹⁸Ibid., pp. 59-60.

¹⁹Mention may also be made of the late Geometric well, Deposit Q 8:9, located just below the southern end of the east wall of Building E, and possibly disturbed by its construction. It contained in its mouth sherds of the late 5th to mid 4th century, though nothing as late as the pottery found in the ground levels directly associated with Buildings D and E.

²⁰For more detailed discussion of the stratification relating to the construction fill and the sequence of construction, see above pp. 212-216.

²¹For the specific contamination cf. under Layer 17 in the

Stratigraphic Summary. It may be emphasized, however, that the intrusion of later material was minor, especially considering the amount of earth excavated (conservatively estimated at about 75 cu. m.). Unfortunately, this was not differentiated according to level or area, so that it is impossible to determine precisely where the intrusions may have occurred.

²²Unfortunately, the 2nd century pottery was discarded; note of it, however, was made by the excavator. It seems to have included material of the third quarter of the 3rd century into the 2nd century, but no material of the first half of the 3rd century is mentioned, further indication that the cistern was not open during this period when the Square Peristyle was in use.

²³For this class of stamps, see ^{y.} Garlan, ^{"Koukies: données nouvelles pour une nouvelle interprétation des timbres amphigues thasiens,"} BCH Suppl. V, pp. 213-268. ^{Thasiaca (1979)} The names appearing on the stamps in O-R 7-10 (Aristophon 1st, Herakle, ^(LTO) Poules, Timarchidas) were previously thought to range in time from ca. 340 to 320. Miss Grace informs me (personal communication, July 1988) that Garlan is now prepared to lower this date somewhat. I am grateful to Miss Grace for the information included in this summary of the stamped amphora handles from O-R 7-10 and Q 10:1.

The fifth Thasian stamp (SS 10941) belongs to the earlier two-name variety. The sixth (SS 11524) dates late in the 3rd century and represents an intrusion from Deposit Q 8-9. Another 3rd century intrusion ^{probably} is to be recognized in SS 11496, a stamped

handle from a Chian jar.

24 For reference to the Thasian stamps from Q 10:1, see Garland,
106, [pp. 3-21],
op. cit. (note 23 above), p. 249, n. 21; ~~idem~~ ^{idem} BCH, 1982, p. 21.

*y. Garland and O. Masson, "Les acclamations
pédagogiques de Kalamis (Thasos),"*

25 ~~The results of Kroll's work will be presented in his~~
~~these will be fully presented in Kroll's~~ forthcoming volume on
coins from the Athenian Agora. I am grateful to Professor Kroll

for ^{sharing} discussing with me the results of his work, and for allowing
me to incorporate ^{these} adjustments in dating that will appear
in his book

26 For preliminary notice of this coin, see J. H. Kroll, "Nailing
Down the Archaeological Chronology of Early Hellenistic Athens,"
AJA 87, 1983, pp. 241-242 (abstract).

27 Kroll, "Chronology," pp. 145-147.

~~28 For the association with Lykourgos, see p. 242, note 137~~

29 Kroll, "Chronology," p. 149.

30 In an intention relating to the Owl-48 issue, Kroll suggested the
coin belonged to the real large denomination of 301-300. But he decided
anti-democratic sentiment expressed in the ~~denomination~~ ^{denomination} of the coin argues
30 Kroll, loc. cit. (note 26 above); cf. Retroff, "Spool
see — against such
Saltcellars," pp. 344-345. an association.

31 cf. Kroll, "Chronology," p. 146, note 17.

32 Unfortunately, there is no numismatic evidence for the date of
Q 10:1, the Fill of the South Outer Wall. The three coins

A Δ

26.VI.90

5

For cf. of SATI - exp. thesis

in O-R 7-10 (cots. [fil] of Sq. Bldg)
(11 bundles)

with those of Q 10:1 (fil in trunk for S. Th. [?])
(27? bundles)

A Δ please to get out the bundles, and
— finding some empty table space! —
pack them in the 2 separate lots.

Easiest to get them out from the analyses,
as they are stored alphabetically. Lay them out
that way —

^{in my field.}
Date of Hill work called: 280 - 275 B.C.
(Has to be before Korai - c. 270-265?
and after Q 10:1)

19.II.86

SAH FROM CONSTRUCTION FILL OF SQUARE BUILDING
O - R 7 : 10

1990
O-R 7-10 ?

List from HAT to VG
30.VIII.52

SS 10901 11496
10914 11524
10932 11567
10941 13218 added later
11003 13913 added 25.III.63 by M. Crosby
11443

25.II.86
after Part d. Hanner,
Crosby 9, and before
Part d. 2 lines, lower group
acc. to names,

fabricant	device	eponym	SS	Bon
<u>Thasian</u>	pilos	APICTOΦEN <i>first Valm</i>	13218	413
	kantharos (Vase 7)	HPAKAEI <i>not in P.V.</i>	11003	703
	pomegranate	HOYAYE <i>Kouros</i>	11443	1411
	letter E	TIMAPXIAAE <i>Kouros</i>	10914	1619
	pilos with star	ΦΙΑΩΝΙΑΗΕ ΣΙ(11524	(post <u>Bon</u>)
	dolphin?	ΔΗΕΙ	10941	1730
<u>Sinopean</u>	(unrestored) eagle with dolphin	ΑΠΟΛΛΟΑ ΑΕΤ. ΑΒΟΛΛΟΑ(ΕΡΟΕ)	10901	
<u>Corinthian B</u>	monogram ΦΡ		13913	
<u>Chian?</u>	AAKIMAXOZ		11496	
	monogram		10932	
	monogram		11567	

For the listing as eponyms of Thasian names in single-name stamps (datable ca. 340 B.C. and later), see Y. Garlan, BCH, Suppl.V, Paris 1979, pp.213-268. Of the Thasian stamps in this deposit, SS 10941 (example of Bon 1730, still unrestored) apparently belongs to the earlier, 2-name kind. The eponyms APICTOΦEN 1st, HPAKAEI(, HOYAYE and TIMAPXIAAE appear to be datable ca. 340-325 according to context in Thasos (article by Y. Garlan now in press, seen by me in incomplete state). At the ~~Thasos~~ Agora, apart from the deposit O-R 7 : 10, we have for HOYAYE fragments of a jar (SS 6597) dated by his name, found in a pit (H 8 : 2) the contents of which

must apparently predate the temple of Apollo Patroos. See Hesperia 6, 1937, p

pp.101-104; the pit is shown in fig. 54, also, with associated tributary drain leading to the Great Drain, in the plan, fig. 126. Thompson does not use SS 6597 in this publication. But he excavated and recorded it; and from all we know of HOYAYZ, his date just fits its finding-place, confirming the date given to the temple.

SS 11524 is the only Thasian listed with the group that is clearly too late. Stamps dated by ΦΙΑΣΝΙΑΗΣ ΣΙ(belong to a large group of related types, dated by 7 or 8 eponyms, for which there is no context earlier than about 240 B.C. They appear in the Fill over the Floor of the Square Building, and in the Middle Stoa and Pergamon fillings; and fabric confirms the latish 3rd century date. When I asked Thompson about this item, he said it is quite possible it comes from the Fill over the Floor, since sometimes it was very difficult to distinguish.

The Sinopean handle has a stamp of Grakov's Group Ia, for which his revised date was second or third quarter of the 4th century.

The Corinthian B monogram ΦP is known to C.G.Koehler in several examples. It had been dated tentatively late 4th/early 3rd century.

Of the Chian?? handles, SS 11496 is an example of about 17 known ^{with this stamp,} but only this one from the Agora. Other sites: Delos, Rhodes, Samos, Antioch, Samaria, Gezer; a couple appear in old publications. SS 11496 probably is Chian, but not datable before the ^{3rd} century; we seem to have no firm evidence of any stamps with names on Chian jars datable earlier than the 3rd century B.C. This handle like the Thasian SS 11524 is probably to be referred to the Fill over the Floor; I believe both were listed as from the Construction Fill with a query. The two handles with monograms do not help with our dating. On Hellenistic stamped Chian, see Delos 27, pp. 360-363.

For the Construction Fill cleared of the two later handles, may suggest a date of ca. 340-320.

rg

At ens, February 27, 1986

Dear Homer,

Rhys Townsend asked me for comment on the stamps in the Construction Fill of the Square Building, their date compared with that of the Filling of the South Outer Foundation of the same building (Q 10 : 1). My old comment on the Constr. Fill was much annotated. I have made a revised edition of which a copy is enclosed; another copy is now in the Agora Deposits Summaries under O-R 7 : 10, instead of in a little separate section of the O album among other views of VG. But perhaps you never use that reference work.

Mention is now made of a parallel at the Agora for a Thasian stamp naming HOYAYZ. I have been wondering whether in publishing about the Apollo Patroos temple, its date, you no longer believe in the relevance of the contents of that pit joined to a drain that was a little overlapped by the temple. Perhaps the overlap was not as much of one as you later found convincing? Anyhow, it does seem a clever replacement of the lost construction fill, especially as the date of HOYAYZ is apparently just right.

Steve Miller has been celebrating the birthdays of the ancients of the School. Mine (85) was followed by Mary Zelia's (80), and last evening Paul Clement's (80 I think). Steve's cook makes a first class cake, not to be found in shops. We went to Paul's flat, where I had a nice long talk with Dr. Samaras. I remembered having been referred to him by Mrs. A. He told me he was married to the daughter of Mrs. (and Mr.) Zanna (she must be a lot younger than he is?) and that led to talk of Deltas and Benakis. I have been wondering how the Agora - the School - was first in contact with Mr. Adosided, how we knew to ask him to be our business man. Perhaps through Mr. Hill's contacts. Perhaps it is in Lord's book. Paul received us nicely, as did his Latvian Eleni. But of course he is not too strong.

Yours,

4th cent., 3rd 1/4

with indicated discards

SAH FROM CONSTRUCTION FILL OF SQUARE BUILDING

See also
below, p. 2

List from HAT 30.VIII.52:

23. VII. 63 MC adds, for EA n. 8,
p. 3789, SA 2369 = SS 13912,
with monogram Φ [Corinthian]

SS 10901 11443
10914 11496
10932 11524
10941 11567
11003

29. VII. 78
SS 13218
see SS and -
why not listed
here ??

15. VII. 73

J. Knoll says

Thasian

to Tynan

Decree

was discarded

see Agn XIV

(337 BC)

Shel low bar

discarded as

322/1

(original

number 5

with)

Agnes XIV

cells etc

fill early

had great

Task says

also with the

coin is def'n

of the 322

or 304!

fabricant device eponym

Φ pilos

HPAKAEI(TOE?) kantharos (v.VII)

HOYAYZ pomegranate

TIMAPXIAAZ letter E

Φ IAQNIASHZ EI(pilos with star

IAHZE[dolphin?

SS Bon

13218 413

11003 703

11443 1411

10914 1619

11524 (new)

10941 1730

Sinopean (not read) eagle with dolphin AET.AΠOΛΛOΔ(ΩPOZ) 10901

Chian? AAKIMAXOZ 11496

monogram Φ 10932

unclass. monogram Δ 11567

Of the Thasian, SS 10941 is probably datable before 340 B.C.; another

example of this type is Phyx 52, but it does not come from the filling of

Assembly Place III, and the date is based on appearance of handles and stamp.

The types of HPAKAEITOZ, HOYAYZ, and TIMAPXIAAZ are further confirmed (than in

1952) as of the third quarter of the 4th century. But SS 11524, as a type of

Φ IAQNIASHZ EI(, belongs to a large group of related types, the products of 7 or

8 fabricants, for which there is no context earlier than EE, cistern at 53/E

(N 21 : 4), the fill over the floor of the Square Bldg., the Middle Stoa build-

ing fill, and the deposit at Pergamon; and fabric confirms a date in the 3rd

century, not early.

21.V.82 HAT now says, quite possible SS 11524 is really
from the Fill on the Floor, as was found to destroyed sample

8. VII. 78
New label
241-214 B

The Sinopean handle has a stamp of Grakov's Group Ia, which he now dates in the second or third quarter of the 4th century.

The Chian SS 11496 is probably datable not before 300; at any rate we have no evidence, apart from this deposit, suggesting a date before 300 for any Chian stamp with a name. A Chian Alkimashos is known in inscriptions of the 4/3 and of the 3rd century B.C.

No dates now for the two handles with monograms.

To sum up: the stamps here listed agree well with a date for the deposit of third quarter of 4th century, if one discards the Thasian SS 11524, which ought rather to be with the Fill over the Floor, and the Chian SS 11496; and note that the finding-place record of both of these says they are "probably" from this reconstruction filling.

7.1.69

- In Agora XII, O-R 7110 is divided into
- (1) Investigated beneath E Sq. Per.
looks earlier than the filling of E Perist.
 - (2) Calc. fillings (sic) of E Sq. Perist. investigated at various points; mostly 3/4 4th but "probably not sealed over until near the end of the century."

CHAT
GNE



30.VIII.52

7.03

To say 3rd?
But then, why
no P. 11524?

SAH from Construction fill of Square Building

List from HAT 30.viii.52: SS 10901, 10914, 10932, 10941, 11003, 11443, 11496, 11524, 11567. He adds: "The coins and pottery from the same context appear to run down through the first quarter of the 3rd cent. B.C."

	fabricant	device	numeros	oponym	Bm	SS
THASIAN	HOYAYZ	pomegranate			1411	11443
	TIMAPXIAAZ	letter Z			1619	10914
	ΔΗΞ[(not read)	(not identified) halter?			1730	10941 (Lien Pange pub. 52)
	HPAKAEI (not read)	kantharos			703	11003
	(not read) ΦΙΛΑΝΝΙΔΗΣ	pilos with star			(now) 1952 (found 1952)	11524 ← 3rd c. P
PONTIC	(not read)	eagle with dolphin	ΑΞΤ.ΑΠΟΛΛΟΑ(2ΡΟ2)			10901
miscel.	AAKIMAXOZ					11496 ← 3rd c. P
	monogram: 	(in circle)				10932
	monogram: 	" "				11567

Of the Thasian, SS 10941 appears by fabric and what can be seen of the stamp to be early 4th. For The handles of Poulys and Timarchidas, and 11003 with kantharos, the present guess is 3rd quarter of 4th; for 11524 with pilos, last quarter (with a shade less conviction). The Pontic stamp belongs to Grakov's group Ia, dated by him in the late 4th. On the other three handles, not much to contribute; a date in the latter ~~third~~ fourth to early 3rd seems reasonable for them.

P.C. sees nothing later in pottery than say 330-310 BC.
says EV notes absence of Meg. bowls.

S.A.H.'s FROM CONSTRUCTION FILLING OF
SQUARE BUILDING

7-64

List from EA VI, f. 1122

SS	EA		
10901	627	S. Russian	Pier 17-18 E
10914	685	Thasian	Pier 19 E
10932	714	—	Pier 16 W
10941	741	Thasian	Terrace off. Pier 15
11003	909	Thasian	Pier 15
11443	1640	Thasian	Footing trench, N stylobate
(12) 11496	1725	ANKIMAXOY	Piers 15-16
(12) 11524	1739	Thasian	Terrace opposite Pier 8
11567	1752	(A)	Terrace opposite Piers 15-16

29.V.58

V.R.G.

Co. S.H.T.

HAT now

condemned this
think (const.)

fill is $3\frac{1}{4}$

(L.T.)

Under possible
and this with

I would much appreciate a date for the above.
The coins and pottery from the same context
appear to run down through the first quarter
of the 3rd cent B.C.

Aug. 29/52

HAT asked me to compare

ctr. fill of Squam Peninsula

with material from Kyrenia Wreck

Dating of construction filling of Squam
Beach.

In Agam XIV (p.), called "early last
quarter"

This might be ^{one} of all saw SS 11524,
but that is well down in the 3rd cast, after
250. (Q. 12. 21. Find in context for the
middle layer 4/4) HAT says perhaps ^{SS 11524} it belongs
with the Fill over the floor - something hard to distinguish
the fills then, when excavating. [Then, anything
else that doesn't go, do the same.]

No comparisons to of this with the

Kyrenia Wreck. → agree with Mitchell's

dating of them, because the whole Phoenician

sequence ^{shape} fits one into what we have

of the series. (No Phoenician here, no Sinoan)

(And no Phoenician in the ctr. fill of the Squam Peninsula)

490 N. 1 SQUARE BLDG II

8.01

HOMER A. THOMPSON
~~CHERRY VALLEY ROAD~~ 134 Mercer St.
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

Addressed to Adams

March 10 / 86

Dear Virginia:

Thank you very much
for yours of Feb. 27, - delivered this
morning. It was very good of you
to update your report on the
handles from the Square Peristyle &
I'm sure Rhys will be grateful.

I'm pleased also by your note on
PROLYE & his bearing on the date of
the Apollo Temple.

Your social news was also
welcome. I too am at a loss as to how
we had the good fortune to "acquire"
Mr Adossides. L.E.L. doesn't help.
Did it come about through B.H.H. or

Dorothy joins in love & best greetings
Homer

William Heckscher spoke with the greatest
intimacy, warmth & sympathy.

possibly E. Capps? You have heard, no doubt, of the death several months ago of Priscilla C. H.

But you will not yet have learned of the death last evening of Dorothy Hill (aet. 79) of heart attack as she was going home to Baltimore after a lively meeting of the Archaeology Club at the Mc Credies. At this meeting Dot had reported the death last week of Sally Freeman under mysteriously distressing circumstances. We learned also that George Hanfmann is in a state of coma from a severe heart attack of a week ago. The doctors hold out little hope.

Also a week ago we attended a good all-day memorial colloquium in honor of Rens Lee. Among the 4 speakers

23. V. 86

S. I.R. date for costs paid 9 Sq. Bldg.
 holding; see Wash 1984, p. 344 with
 note ^{with} ~~also~~ p. 348

This is for Phys Townsend. Coin of Dem.
Palmiro date 5 -

Q 10:1 351
 on 10:1 351
 on 10:1 351

Amphibians being published
by Rhys Townsend in his study of the Peristyle
Belly under the northern end of the EA.

I noticed a Mandeau in the mending room today.
for R 11:3 (w. in str VI) which?
This is P 23683. It and others are about to
come out in Rhys's book. The card does not say it
is Mandeau, so he won't have called it so. He has
not consulted me for this pub., except in
connection with dates of a couple of deposits; he
did not, I think, accept my dates for those.

→ Write to him, and ask if he is willing for me
to look at his ms, now finished and being
looked at by Leslie. If he is, ask Leslie
to lend it to me.

AGORA: SQUARE BUILDING AND RELATED