

VRG_Folder_0037

SS # 2851 III - 6 itself Gr → Red
78-81/12-14

26.II.81

1.02

SS 2959 } VIA Imperial coin
2960 } PH 75-79/1A-1E [1.0]
2967 VIA
3087 VIA

2964 } 66-77/1F-1Z
3088 } problem in notebook
3089 } since these found at
3090 } levels below -3.40m
which was end of day

3108 } 66-77/1F-1Z
3112 } VIA Red fill
3113 } VIA
3114 } VIA
3115 } V
3116 } VIA
3117 } IV B (lat?)
3118 } Drain
3119 } → 70/1B -3.40m VIA
3120 } probably IV cent. B.C.
(Thasian?)

26.II.81 inquiry from
Kroll via Sherwood

and 5 Kroll via
Sherwood

fills in Section F

dated in 1934

all in connection with coins; grouped by him according

are Knidian. Date equivalents are as follows:

rd - 188 B.C.
167 B.C.
ca 146 B.C.
BC - ca 108 B.C.
- 98 B.C.
- 88 B.C.

the stamp has not been read

(Rhodian)

2967 VIA
3087 VIA

2964 —
3088 VIB
3089 —
3090 VIA

3108 —
3112 VIA
3113 VIA
3114 VIA
3115 V

3116 VIA
3117 IVB
3118 V
3119 VIA

3120 probably IV cent. B.C. (Thasian?)

The sponge names were
taken from the SS cards,
and then later for the
DATED LIST OF KN. EPS.

Reading corrected of SS 3117.
SS card had sp. name of
Klavros, ^{VIA} which was
wrong for the job. I found
a master card in the file
had a change corrected &
1229 βροτος (IV B). I.e.
the date list is reliable.

SS # 2851 **III** - by itself Gr → Red
→ 78-81/12-14

SS 2959 } **VTB** → one Imperial coin
2960 } **PH**
2967 } **VT A** 75-79/1A-IE [1.0]
3087 } **VT A**

2964 } 66-77/1F-1Z
3088 } **VTB** problem in notebook
3089 } since these found at
3090 } **VT A** levels below -3.40m
which was end of day

3108 } **VT A**
3112 } **VT A**
3113 } **VT A** Red fill 66-77/1F-1Z
3114 } **VT A**
3115 } **V**

3116 } **VT A**
3117 } **VT B** (lat?)
3118 } **V** Drain
3119 } → 70/1B -3.40m **VT A**
3120 } **VT A** cont. **VT B**
(Thursin?)

26.11.81 inquiry from
Kroll via Sherwood

*Original to Kroll via
Sturwald*

Dates of finds in Section F

excavated in 1934

(Inquiry from J. Kroll in connection with coins; grouped by him according to finding-places)

Nearly all handles are Knidian. Date equivalents are as follows:

III late 3rd - 188 B.C.
 IVA 188 - 167 B.C.
 IVB 167 - ca 146 B.C.
 V ca 146 BC - ca 108 B.C.
 VIA ca 108 - 98 B.C.
 VIB ca 98 - 88 B.C.
 — means the stamp has not been read

SS 2851	III
{ 2959	VIB
	2960 — (Rhodian)
	2967 VIA
	3087 VIA
{ 2964	—
	3088 VIB
	3089 —
	3090 VIA
{ 3108	—
	3112 VIA
	3113 VIA
	3114 VIA
	3115 V
{ 3116	VIA
	3117 IVB
	3118 V
	3119 VIA
	3120 probably IV cent. B.C. (Thasian ?)

The sponge names were
 taken from the SS cards,
 and then later from the
DATED LIST OF KN. EPS.

Reading corrected of SS 3117.
 SS card had ep. name of
 Κλαυδίου, which was
 wrong for the fact. I found
 the mistake card in the file
 had a change corrected to
 Κλαυδίου (IV B). I.e.
 the dated list is reliable.

*typical 4 AD
from my notes on
Andy Shawwood's notes*

Dates of fills in Section F

excavated in 1934

(Inquiry from J. Kroll in connection with coins; grouped by him according to finding-places)

Nearly all handles are Knidian. Date equivalents are as follows:

III late 3rd - 188 B.C.
 IVA 188 - 167 B.C.
 IVB 167 - ca 146 B.C.
 V ca 146 BC - ca 108 B.C.
 VIA ca 108 - 98 B.C.
 VIB ca 98 - 88 B.C.
 — means the stamp has not been read

SS 2851	III	
{	2959	VIB
	2960	— (Rhodian)
	2967	VIA
	3087	VIA
{	2964	—
	3088	VIB
	3089	—
	3090	VIA
{	3108	—
	3112	VIA
	3113	VIA
	3114	VIA
	3115	V
{	3116	VIA
	3117	IVB
	3118	V
	3119	VIA
	3120	probably IV cent. B.C. (Thasian ?)

8 WYMA

26.11.81

1.04

^{bells}
Dates of ~~drum~~ fill in Section I
excavated in 1934

(Sung by J. Kroll in connection with coins,
grouped by him according to finding-places)

Nearly all handles are Kinsins - Date
EQUIVALENTS
equivalents are as follows:

III late 3rd - 188 B.C.

IVA 188 - 167 B.C.

IVB 167 - ca 146 B.C.

V ca 146 B.C. - ca 108 B.C.

VA ca 108 - 98 B.C.

VB ca 98 - 88 B.C.

— means the stamp has not been found

SS 2959 VB

2960 — (in)

2967

301

Hellenistic deposits July 14, 1980, rec'd from S. Petrop

A 14:2 Section HΘ Cistern at 105/EB
Bottom and middle fills

ELW - (at BC)
- 1st AD

SS 7523 Rhodian
7524 Knidian
7539

B 13:1 Section HΘ Cistern at 76/NZ

up card

SS 6522 Rhodian
6523 ''
6429 Lagynos handle

B 13:3 Section HΘ Cistern at 81/NΘ

up card

SS 6428 Rhodian
6521 ''

C 9:7 Section HΘ Cistern at 6/NE

I want to check with you to find out if your
current assessment of this deposit agrees
with your assessment as of EAD 27, under E 88. Yes.

C 12:2 Section HΘ Well at 68/MB

[du says, OK]

SS 5749
5841

F 19:2 Section TF Cistern at 38/M

SS 8925 (from dump) (contin.)

G 11:1 Section B Western west of Tholos

SS 3213-3219, 3225-3226
Your note of 10/viii/67 says "earlyish in
3/4 of 2nd B.C." Does that still stand?

I 17:5 Section ET' Cistern shaft at 63/KF

up card

SS 349

M 20:4 Section X Well at 72/OΔ

up card

SS 6910

Q 12:1 Section ZA Well by Stoa Pier III

up card

SS 11112
11122
11123

S 19:2 Section ZZ Cistern at 6/AF

up card
and no slip

SS 8151
8152
8085
8084

16.VI.80

Notes on S. Boroff's typescript,
 "The Cave and the Arsenal Cisterms"

pp.1 and 3: it is not always clear to me what "building" is being referred to.

See pencil marks.

P.5 on H 6 : 9 lower filling. On SS 3221, "VG has placed it in the late 4th or early 3rd c." Cannot remember what this comes from. I see in a marginal note on my Deposit List of H 6 : 9 I have written "early 3rd". But there are no real parallels with useful context, different dies are listed together, necessarily for the published ones which are not illustrated. Don't call the stamp "square" but "rectangular" - there is a practically square die, but SS 3221 does not come from it. I (p.48) would mention in the description the remarkable hardness of the clay of this handle.

⁶
 P.7 on relation between Koroni and Group A (G 13 : 4) and Group B (H 16 : 3). You state here that the Koroni pottery "resembled that in Thompson's Groups A and B" - that is, in fact, how they put it on their ~~page~~ p.59. They then remark that "the greater number" resemble objects from the former group. In fact, in their catalogue in the same article, they cite only ONE parallel in Group B, and that is to no. 42, an unguentarium. I think I would not say (i.e. as from yourself) that Koroni pottery resembles that of Group B, unless of course you believe it ? ? Do you not accept, as HAT does now, a date of about 240 BC for the end date of Group B? (Cf. Ath.Mitt. 1974, p.198, note 19.)

P.7 on E 3 : 1, "VO places the amphora handles between Thompson's Groups A and B." There are no "stamped amphora handles" in this deposit except 2 that are incorporated in whole jars, SS 6127 and SS 6128. The date I suggested was based on a comparison of SS 6127, i.e. the shape of the jar, with P 1113 from Group B, which seems to be rather later in the same series, so SS 6127 should be rather before Group B, or rather before about 240 B.C. See EAD 27, pp.365-6 on jars of this kind (the Nikandros Group, production center not known), with mention of some of these items cited here. Now a stamp similar to that of SS 6127 has ^{turned} ~~turned~~ up in a deposit in Samos in which the SAM seem to me to be nearly all datable in 270-240 B.C. However the Samos excavators put their deposit a good deal later because of an inscription also in it.

p. 8, on O 18 : 2 (Hedgehog Well). Amphora material published from this well, see Blanckenhagen volume (1979), p. 126 under no. 2, on SS 8210, which is matched by a duplicate from a (P 13570) suitable level in J 5 : 1 (SS 14771). A Thasian amphora, with a ^{that names} stamp of NIKIAZ comes from the same well, see Hesp. Suppl. X, pl.60, nos. 4 and 4a, and see text, p.135, under no. 45.

18

Pp. 9, 15, elsewhere? -"heavily sorted" seems to me not clear English. You don't mean "sorted" but the horrible practice that follows the sorting, of throwing away a lot of material that our predecessors thought it was not necessary for us to see, once they had saved us one of each noticed kind. Shd it be "weeded" ? or "selected" ? (I don't deny that there was and is a terrible shortage of storage space.) But somehow the discarding should be done by the person responsible for publication.)

P. 17: for "feels", say "thinks" or "believes"

P.

Note 63 from pl. 17. ". . long-petal bowls which were introduced in Athens in the 140's" Note 63 which documents this I find not very convincing, so I wonder

if actually you are thoroughly convinced. It might be better not to be too dogmatic, at least and leave yourself room to reconsider. At present I am noticing that in several of those deposits in which long-petals are associated with other material apparently of the 1/2 of the 2nd, the Knidian indicate a date close to the beginning of the period of phourarchoi, i.e. I would say still in the first quarter of the 2nd. Thus the Peiraeus cistern, also E 15 : 4; E¹⁶ : 1 and 2 are mostly like that, although there is the one later Knidian. So I would suggest a vogue for long-petals around 180 or a little earlier, and then again in the 2/2 of the century.

Pp. 20, 21, on Group C (G 6 : 2) I think if you are pulling the date from 200 to the 2/4 of the 2nd, you should not describe your preferred date as "slightly later" than ca. 200 B.C. For the stamps, those in F 5 : 1 and G 5 : 3 (SS 282) do have parallels with each other, but the single item in Group C is far earlier - like the coin, it is probably some years earlier than 200. Your pottery of course may be later, despite coin and stamp.

Pp. 25-26, on E 6 : 1 and 2 (Egyptian cistern). (Abandonment). The para on pp. 25-26, I don't find very clear and convincing. Probably there shd be a specific reference for the plates you mean in Group E, perhaps also some documentation for 125 BC for bowls ~~with~~ whose inner walls are offset from the floor (or is that obvious if one reads the whole text). Should you say either 140 or 150 consistently for when you believe long-petals came in. I don't really understand the last sentence. I observe again the presence of long-petals in company with stamps datable at the beginning of my period IV (SS 6501, 6507, 6510, 6513).

P.26, supplemental fill of E 6 : 1 or 2. Here and in note 87, slight confusion of reference - there is only one whole amphora. I have pencilled in some corrections, and attach here the SS cards.

Late 4th - early 3rd cent. deposits

S. Retoff
discussed w VRB
9/22/78
4.01

✓A 17:3

✓D 15:3

✓~~D~~ 16:1

✓D 17:3

✓D 17:12

✓D-E 8-9:1

✓E 3:1

✓A 16:7

✓~~J 12:3~~ J 11:1

✓~~J 11:4~~ J 12:3

✓K-L 18-20:1

✓L 17:7

✓O 18:2

✓O-R 7-10

✓Q 10:1

~~E 17:12~~
~~D 16:4~~
~~A 16:7~~
~~A 16:1~~
~~E-J 14-15~~
~~E 16:6~~

862
40
19
13
947

TP-1002: Demetrios Poliorcetes. Nowell p. 25, 20 (Salamis 300-295 BC)
fresh-slight wear

✓-1447 (2 coins) Sv. 24, 62-68! ca. 130-86 BC - BUT violently corroded.
Identification may not be correct

00-1335, illegible

MM-316. Sv. 103, 22, worn. 330s - early 3rd cent.

4b-38, Sv. 22, 35-45, 3rd $\frac{1}{4}$ of 4th cent.

VV20Y

XXXX

ΣΑ-385, Philip V (fresh) Grose 3649-50

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXKSCFTONXXXXFKXXXNAXXFXGOM*KXXOXKA*KFOMDA*XXNXOFXSQNAKAXBVXXHXH.C)~~

[5.01] 11. TX. 78

Information needed from VRG

~~SS 10714~~
C 17:5

SS 10714

3rd BC

Pam
84

10728

3rd cc ? NU. 84?

10896

Latin

D 5:2

SS 7331-2

7335-8

7345

7395 - eastern 1

7253

7261

} shaft

} S passage

E 14:6

SS 6168-6170

(upper fill)

(no SAH in

Hellenistic, lower fill)

S. R. 10/8

Deposits investigated for S. Polhoff

The following have now been looked up, and are on 2 typed pages.

Original: in Agave Deposits file

1st carbon: C S. R.

2nd " : attached to 4" x 6"
card in SAA Deposits
files

C 17: 5

SAA on 2 3rd BC (.)

+ 1 Plate stamp

+ 1 Plate jar " 1st BC - 1st AD

+ 1 Plate " 1st AD

(kind handily
lucky)

D 5: 2

This has various parts,
and you seem to have any
internal consistency

E 14: 6

Kind of early 1st BC -

on whole jar + 1 handle

Consultation on Agnes deposits

(x)
See notes of 1.X.73 & discussion with Fred
Klein on dates of fillings of MS, 2A, SS-II.
cf. now this article Harper 1975, pp. 302-330.

⑧ Filed at start of folder "Square Bldg and
Palmer Fills", following MSBF folders.

Information on SAH requested for S. Retroff's dissertation

C 10 : 1. The latest SAH, both Knidian, are SS 4864, with a duoviri stamp of the year of the eponym ΣΤΡΑΤΟΚΛΗΣ; and SS 6613, which names the eponym ΔΑΜΟ- (you) ΚΡΙΤΟΣ. These two eponyms of the duoviri period are among those named in circular bullshead types of the potter ΔΑΜΟΚΡΑΤΗΣ, and not in those of the succeeding potter ΔΙΟΣΚΟΥΠΙΔΑΣ who took over the bullshead types after ΔΑΜΟΚΡΑΤΗΣ. So they are apparently datable in the decade preceding ca. 97 B.C.. On these two potters, see Delos 27, p. 321; on the two eponyms, see the index of the same volume; on the duoviri period in general, see *ibid.*, pp. 320-323.

D.11 : 3. The Knidian eponym ΕΡΜΟΠΑΝΤΟΣ is apparently the latest in the deposit. He directly dates SS 4920, and indirectly (it is the duoviri type from the other handle of the jar) probably dates SS 4919. In Delos 27, under E 98, I suggested a date just after a break in stamping Knidian amphoras, a break probably of 88 to ca. 85 B.C., see *ibid.*, p. 322. But it now seems possible that the term of ΕΡΜΟΠΑΝΤΟΣ fell just before the break, i.e. perhaps 88 B.C. In that case, maybe none of the eponyms in D 11 : 3 is actually post-Sullan, though several must be close to 88 B.C.

E 14 : 1, lower fill (not lowest). The latest SAH are SS 4266 and 4268, joining pair of Knidian, datable close to 150 B.C. But these are isolated and different from the main group, and seem to have been found on top of the fill..

Apart from the above two, the latest may be SS 4173, Rhodian neck with both handles, from a jar of ΧΡΗΣΙΜΟΣ dated in the term of ΖΙΜΥΑΙΝΟΣ, which may have fallen in about 218 B.C., but this term is still under study. Next earlier than that would probably be SS 4269, from a jar of ΕΡΜΙΟΝΟΣ in the term of ΕΥΣΕΡ(ΑΝΣΕΡ), perhaps of 223 B.C.

F 17 : 4. The latest eponym is perhaps ΑΣΤΥΜΗ(ΔΗ) (the earliest of three of this name, see Delos 27, under E 15, for the first and second, and ibid. p. 299 with note 3 for the third). The Astymedes named on SS 14658 of this deposit is to be dated probably near ²¹⁴ 218 B.C.

G 14 : 2. The eponym of SS 326 is ΠΑΝΑΡΟΜΕΝΗ, believed to date shortly before 88 B.C. The eponym of SS 9464 is probably to be restored [ΕΥ]ΒΟΥΛΟΣ, and to be dated soon after the break in Knidian stamping of ~~ΒΟΥΛΟΣ~~ ^{88 - ca. 85 B.C.} The eponym of SS 9465 is also ΑΛΕΞΑΝΔΡΟΣ, of the duoviri period (named by ΔΑΜΟΚΡΑΤΗΣ, hence of the first half of the period, see above under C 10 : 1).

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

H 6 : 9. SS 64 has the name of a Knidian eponym of the period ca. 166 - 146 B.C.; the name is ΠΟΥΛΧΑΡΗΣ. (The Rhodian in the deposit are 3rd century, save SS 68 which may go a few years past 200 B.C.)

H 12 : 1. The following Knidian eponyms present in H 12 : 1 are probably datable between ca. 146 B.C. and ca 108 B.C.:

ΑΣΚΑΗΝΙΟΔΩΡΟΣ 2nd	SS 7655 ✓
ΕΥΘΡΑΝΩΡ	7790 ✓
ΜΕΝΕΚΡΑΤΗΣ	7656 ✓
ΦΙΛΟΜΕΡΟΤΙΔΑΣ	7650 ✓ 8147 ✓

There may be others of this date.

Those named do not belong to the latest part of the period 146 - 108 B.C.

Middle Stoa Building Fill: The latest Rhodian eponym in the fill is probably ΝΙΚΑΞΑΡΟΡΑΣ 1st or ΘΕΑΙΑΗΤΟΣ.

~~XXXXXXXXXX ΝΙΚΑΞΑΡΟΡΑΣ: SS 11909~~

8.VII.75

MSBF handles naming NIKAZAΓOPAZ: SS 11909 ✓
 12022 ✓
 12245 ✓
 12247 ✓
 12490 ✓
 12787 ✓
 12807 ✓
 12872 ✓

MSBF handles naming ΘEAIANTOZ: SS 764 ✓

12451 } These four
 12453 } all come from (Section K,
 12472 } one part of at 32/15)
 12515 } the fill (Found 17-18. IV. 53)
 12865 } most "disturbed"

ΘEAIANTOZ is known with more secondary stamps than is NIKAZAΓOPAZ 1st, so Θ. may be the later. There is a possible question whether Θ. should be counted with the MSBF proper, since 2/3 of the handles with his name come from a single part of the fill (whereas those naming N.1st are scattered.

I understood from Susan R. that she had been asked by TLS jr. to ask me for the foregoing information. So a copy of these pages goes to him.

Before any publication, I should see any statements to be included that are derived from these three pages, which are by no means drückfertig; i.e. I should see in manuscript what it is proposed to publish about the SAH in these deposits.

VS

INST of Ag Art (new no.)
0295 81719

28.V.75

7.04

For S. Rotuff's dissertation

C 10:1

latest SAK on SS 4864, Kn. (cf. Στρατοκλῆς)
during stamp
and SS 6613, Kn. cf. Διοσκορίδας

These 2 specimens of the during period are
among those named ^{in Carlsberg's list} ~~Charis~~ ^{in type of the} ~~potter~~ Διοσκορίδας
rather and not by the succeeding potter
Διοσκορίδας who uses similar types,
so they are apparently datable ca. 97 B.C.
On these 2 potters, see EAD 27, p. 321;
on the 2 sp.s, see index of this vol.
on the during ^{ingen.} period, pp. 320-323.

D 11:3

The ^{ku.} ~~if~~ ^{apparently} ~~group~~ ^{is} ~~the~~ ^{to} ~~extent~~ ⁱⁿ ~~the~~ ^{deposit}, and has direct dates SS 4920 and indirectly (it is the known type) ^{probably} dates SS 4919.

In EAD 27, ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~suggestion~~ under E 98 suggests a date just after the break in stamping, ^{this implies} probably 88-85 B.C. in EAD 24, p. 322. But it now seems possible that ^{the} ~~this~~ turn of ^{the} ~~the~~ ^{Ep.} ~~was~~ just begin to break, i.e. ca 88 B.C.

So maybe none of the ep-5 in D 11:3 is actually post-Sulla, though some must be close to the date 88 B.C.

E 14:1, lower fill (not lowest)

Latest SAH on SS 4266 and 4268, joining pair of Knid, datable close to 150 B.C. But these are isolated and ~~at~~ different from the main group, and seem to have been found on top of the fill.

Apart from the above Knidian, the latest which is now closely datable may be SS 4173, ^{Pluto} ~~necks~~ w. both handles, Xp 45, nos. of. ^{8th cent.} ~~Symplektos~~, according to ^(the was possibly earlier) not very sure present dating, ca. 218 B.C. Next earlier than that, probably SS 4269, ^{Enig.} of. E. ^(Evans), which may be 223 B.C.; this it was found rather high up.

(4)

30. VII. 75

7.10.7

F 17:4

The latest sponge is perhaps ^(I) 'Αττυνί (Eys), and
he dated perhaps 214 B.C. ^{↑ (SS 14658)}

G 14:2 (Δ, 14/187)

Eponge of SS 9464 ~~SS~~: [EJ?] ^{believed to come from} ^{break 28-85 BC} ^{Βoudos}

In notes of 11. VII. 64 on KT 1788 etc. (in
"Notes on card KT")

Ep. of SS ^{KT 5} 9465: 'Αδδσδσδσδσ ^(VIA) ^{believed to be strictly before} ^{88 BC}

Eponge of SS 326: 'Αδδσδσδσδσ apply
(on p. 3 of above "Notes". Also I find the
of division card of the Special File (O strips)

(Note: out of place at this moment:

- the yellow slip of this deposit, and it
app. the crosscut has been analyzed
- SS cards of 326, 9464-5
- ~~the master card of KT 1695~~

15. VII. 75

(must have got all this out & do
an analysis, not yet done?)

H 6:9 (A, Caraidin)

SS 64 has the name of a Kridin ^{spring}
of the period ca. 166 - 146 B.C., ~~Modux~~ ^{Modux}

(The Rhodion in the deposit are 3rd c.,
see SS 68 which may go ^{from year} a ~~last~~ ^{first}
200 B.C.)

H 12:1 (2, Tholos Tr. H, etc.)

The following ^{Kridin} ^a ^{epigrams} present in H 12:1 are
probably datable between ca. 146 B.C. and
ca. 108 B.C.:

Ἀσκαγότιος	and	(SS 7655)
Εὐφράτωρ		(7790)
Μανικράτης		(7656)
Φιδουπποτίδας		{ (8147)
		{ (7650)

There may be others of this date.

Those named apparently do not belong to
the latest part of the period 146 - 108 B.C.

(6)

(2.VII.75)
7.09

MSBF

The latest Plinian epomys in the middle Str
Bldg Fill is probably either Oraiyos or
Nikaragosa (1st).

Handle ^{MSBF} name

Oraiyos:	SS 764	764
	12472-	12451
	12865-	12453
	12453-	12472
	12451-	12515
	12515-	12865

Handle in MSBF name Nikarag. SS 12490

5.VII.75

-11909

SS 11909

-12022

These are from

12022

-12807

more scattered

12245

-12787

fills than

12247

-12245

Union of Oraiyos

12490

-12247

12787

12872

12807

12872

8.VII.75

What O. is known with your sec.
stamps the N. 1st, so ^{by way of} ~~black box~~ ^{the} later.
The only ^{possible} question is whether he should be
counted in the MSBF project, since 2/3
of the handle with his name can be
a single part of the fill (whereas the

N. I am scattered

C 10:1

(SS 4864-6 6611-3)
which is the latest and why?

D 11:3 (ΠΘ: 42/0)

which are post-sullan?

E 14:1, lower fill (Γ: 88/1A)

which is the latest handle?

F 17:4 (OO eastern)

name of latest eponym

G 14:2 Δ: 14/1ET

eponyms of SS 9464-5

Why?

326

H 6:9

(A, Cae eastern)

1 knidian is post 167? - what SS number?

H 12:1

which are latest handles

Middle Stoa Bldg fill - what is the name of the latest eponym?

We need to know
specific names and
SS numbers for the
latest SA4 in each
deposit (regiment of
TIS gr.) -

(We had discussed
all these deposits
but she didn't)

(she speaks
notes as they
unroll.)

For these SS see context notes of 7.VII.75
made for S. Rotroff, in folder AGORA DEPOSITS

SS	64	H 6:9
	68	H 6:9
	326	G 14:2
	764	(SW trench at 59.30) MSBF
	4173	E 14:1
	4266	E 14:1
	4268	E 14:1
	4269	E 14:1
	4864	C 10:1
	4919	D 11:3
	4920	D 11:3
	6613	C 10:1
	7650	H 12:1
	7655	H 12:1
	7656	H 12:1
	7790	H 12:1
	8147	H 12:1
	9464	G 14:2
	9465	G 14:2
	11909	(Stoa, North Area 2, Layer II) MSBF
	12022	H-1-J 14-15: MSBF
	12245	(37/AT; Hellenistic fill, possibly Disturbed) MSBF
	12247	(" " " " ") "
	12451	(32/AT; Hellenistic fill over water basin; line of drain B) (MSBF disturbed)

- SS 12453 (32/17; Hellenistic fill over water basin;
line of drain B) (MSBF disturbed)
- 12472 (same SS 12453) " "
- 12490 32/17; Hellenistic fill over water basin.
(North of drain B; working floor to ca. level
of bottom of drain) "Should be MSBF)
- 12515 (same SS 12490)
- 12787 (32/17; below bottom of drain B) MSBF
- 12807 (28/17 Hellenistic fill west of water basin)
- 12865 (same SS 12807) (MSBF)
- 12872 (same SS 12807)
- 14658 F 17:4
-

14. VII. 75

When the check has been made for
 a 55 cards, ~~books~~ for this use, and
 for the ~~one~~ dated 22. IV. 71, see that
 they ~~can~~ get books into my Deposit
 folder and into the Agave Deposit Sunny
 album.

22.IV.75

Deposits discussed with S. Retroff

A-B 19-20 : 1.

To S. Retroff I had said (19.III.74), look at my long analysis in the Deposits Summaries, headed "mostly 3/4 of ~~the~~ 2nd B.C." Included are two later Knidian stamps: SS 9193, dated in the term of ^{ΞΞΞΙΟΡΕΝ} ~~ΞΞΞΙΟΡΕΝ~~, close to 108 B.C. (cf. Delos 27, p. 332, under E 38); and SS 10170, dated in the term of ^E ~~ANAPOMENHΣ~~, close to 88 B.C. (ibid., pp. 346-7, under ~~xxx~~ 166). The relative position of ^E ~~ANAPOMENHΣ~~ close to the destruction on Delos by Mithradates in 88, and of Athens by Sulla in 86, is shown by the grid of fabricant - eponym name-connections referred to, ibid. p. 321. SS 10170 brings the latest part of the deposit down to about 90 B.C., unless one discards SS 10170 from the group.

Apart from the two, SS 9193 and 10170, the SAH from this deposit are third quarter of 2nd century (probably the majority of the Knidian), and earlier, including about 50 Rhodian of the ^{second half of the} 3rd century and Thasian of the 3rd and ^(a few) 4th centuries.

ELW's statement is about what I would say. [⊗] The presence of earlier material in the same deposit does not of course affect the post-100 date of SS 10170. F. Kleiner's 7 bronze coins "deposited ca. 120" go pretty well with the latest ~~xxxxxxx~~ ^{xxxxxxx} sizable group of Knidian, probably ca. 3/4 of 2nd. As context for other-wise ~~un~~ ^{down} unplaced SAH in this deposit, I think of it as dating mostly ^{down} to the 3/4 of the 2nd century.

⊗ As quoted by S. Retroff: "Will: filled up probably shortly ~~before~~ after 86 B.C. but containing much material of 4th - 2nd B.C., especially 3rd quarter of 2nd century."

original &
DEPOSITS SUMMARIES
copy ← S. Retroff

V. G.

Deposits discussed with S. Retroff - 2

M 23 : 1.

To S. Retroff I said (19.III.74), "See Delos 27", i.e. look up the deposit in the index (p.381) and the page references there cited. I also said to S.R., "to early second quarter" of 1st B.C. This was a somewhat loose remark such as one makes to give oneself room. There are in the deposit two Knidian stamps (SS 3196 and 3199) which belong apparently to the period when duoviri were named in them, but after a break in production which seems to be datable 88-85 B.C. We can account for about 7 terms in this period, so we guess that they were all within the period 85-78 B.C. On all this, see Delos 27, p. 322. Further reference to the deposit M 23 : 1, see B.C.H. Suppl. I, p. 194, with note 15, and the Pamphylian neck in it is catalogued ibid., p.205, under 12.

I think I would now describe the material that I know in this deposit as ~~available~~ datable post-Sullan, perhaps still in the first quarter of the ~~xxx~~ 1st century B.C.

VS

original to
DEPOSITS SUMMARIES
of S. Retroff

WILL VS. ROTROFF

12

A-B 19-20:1

Will: piled up probably shortly after 86 BC but containing much material of 4th - 2nd c BC, especially 3rd 1/4 of 2nd c

012

Rotroff: 2nd - 4th quarter of 2nd c BC

This date is based mainly on the coins, the latest of which F. Kleiner says were deposited ca 120. He feels a Sullan date is unlikely. (x)

The only later objects are 2 knidian knidian handles (dating 108-100 BC), and 2 late 2nd or early 1st c Latin stamps

(x) For what?

SS 10170, if Avepopyngs Sullen King

D-E 15:1

Will: last quarter of 2nd c BC

Rotroff 2nd 1/2 of 2nd c to early 1st c

Again, based mainly on coins. Layers 2-4 contained coins of the 3rd & 4th quarters of the 2nd c. One stamped handle in layer 3 dates slightly after 100.

M23:1 Will 1st quarter of 1st c BC

Rotroff 1st & early 2nd 1/4 of 1st c BC

The stamped handles indicate a date after the Sullan destruction - ca 70 BC? - & call anything after 75 BC - "early 2nd 1/4 of 1st c BC"

See under E 96

I sent to the coins to S.R. "early 2/4" But I am not within date the late (mid 88) during the night date 85 - 7 = 78 BC

Work on my Agave deposit cards
(cont.) (see page 14.81 - 7.81.74)

I wanted for some time to find some 3x5 cards with a different color, so as to interleave ELW's with those more of my period. Finally I found a pack of 3x5 with a hole through them (for fastening, say). These cards were used hole-upward to distinguish them. As ~~soon~~ entered new grid nos, and old ones of available I knowin I took the old ones from the Kardex file in the Catalogue, and with them looked up the deposits in my yellow slip file and made entries. These new cards have been filed into my Deposits card file.

14.01

work on

Agora deposits

1974-5

↑ 9.

maybe a companion
needed of this operation
will turn up.

14. XI. 74

14.02

- 7. XI. 74

Work on Agnes dePont cards (mine)

Note that on 20. VI. 74 I lent A.D. under
325- (blue) cards for all discussions of deposits
with Susan Petroff, to file into my Deposits File
by the new grid numbers. He wrote ^{in ink} the names of
the deposits (new - old) and "discussed with S.P."
and the date (because the dates were drawn for various
discussions). I then added in pencil a note on
what we had said - mostly what I had said, but
sometimes she had mentioned how many they brought, as
frag. theory, but been in the deposit, and if they were
long-petel.

A.D. (apparently) then filed the blue cards
upright by the relevant yellow slip ^{in old} deposit file
(by old grid no.), at the same time stamping
the yellow slip to say the deposit had been discussed
with S. Petroff, and ~~and~~ with date in pencil.

I'm not sure what I did after that, before
filing the blue cards in the new Deposits file. I did
not finish, but got through Section 21. There
are still 13 ^{beginning was with 1000 section} deposit blue cards in that yellow file,
and I shall look at card and slip, and to see
yellow slip has new grid no., and add to blue card
from it such items as "see Analysis" (if it is not already).

OVEN

16. XI. 74 I have finished, and the cards are filed in, and I think I have no deposit cards ^(some are given up) that are not in place. Of course many deposits exist still in my records only in the old file, mostly of yellow slips, filed by Section grid nos.

I plan now to use the ordered card file to check with ELW's deposit list for her volume. There may well be items in there not yet carded.

19. XI. 74 We started to do this, and immediately found one not carded in my file. Because there are none of my things in it. No doubt a number of them. We stopped, because she had a terrible cold, and was due to get ready to go to Corinth. To go through the check - I hope - after Corinth, and before she leaves.

7. XII. 74 In the end, I went over for and with her only deposits already in my card file, i.e., already studied if not publ. These she had, I note, probably mostly too late for me.

19.XI.74
use table

14.XI.74

14.04

DEPOSITS

Discuss again with S. Poloff

as perhaps there was confusion
[I wrote here with as she has not been working
down here, and she came today, 19.XI.74]

J 11:4 (H0 cisl at 44/15) "plus on A" 19.V.67
I read Susan out of my country "Faintly scattered on
the coast," etc.
~~19.V.67~~
P 20:2 to earlier note, P 28446
(H0 cisl at 72/14) Find in deposit cards.
"Single DASH, Point V"

O 20:3, upper fill

I see that on 13.XI.73 I placed this
in 3/4 3rd, whereas Susan wanted to
put it early 4/4. But writing
30.V.74 to J. Schiller, I observed
that there is a transitional fill, later
the which upper fill lies to be.
and in this trans. fill is SS 8133,
"Aristokopd 743 + asterisks, 208-196 BC
(He at has wanted also earlier. Say, 4/4
3rd.)

I read this to her, and
we discussed this, and she
wrote down notes.

Susan said that had brought down her notes
on deposits, so she just took down notes. Suggested
she come again if newer proposals don't fit. Said
she really should show me everything she has
gathered from me. She said that we be looking for me.

Deposits with S.R.

→
main
analysis

E 15:3 (T cist at 100/K 6) (many LK)
Dajin, 1st cent. BC, post-Sulla.
(S.R. says the 7 Augustin coins, down
to 1st or 2nd decade of 1st AD.)

C:20:2 (KN, ~~20:2~~ 2 Koulde Factory ^{belt}
See Dels 27 SALT pre-AD
(analysis). Coin 2/2, - S.R.
Uttersals bowl. (Has been called
Sullam.)

M 23:1 (AR, Byz. bldg. cist)
See Dels 27, and E96
(a bit post-Sulla)
The only bowl is an imported one.

Wet.
24th

19.11.74 14.06

Deposits with Susan R.

T, 74/KH Strong fill next LR wall
(missed, 3/4 3rd Plute - 1st BC LK)

N 21:4 EE coin & 53/E
upper fill single SS 9472
(winter gun, Houdou))
I say, cannot now separate in
date from lower fill. Can
Caroline?

D-E 15:1 ~~22~~ ^{KT} filling in S front of Section
SS (Kw, unless specify)
Layer 2 of Martyn I 9297 of. ~~Deposited~~ VTA
I said 2/2 2nd & end of 2nd 9305 Latin
Coins: 2/2 2nd & end of 4/4 2nd coin 9306 of. ~~Deposited~~ VTA
First say "deposited ca 100" 9307 of. ~~Deposited~~ V

Layer 2 of Martyn II: 9298 V
9299 VTA
I say, for a little before 146 9301 VTB
5 " " after 158 9302 V
9303 VTA
(Coins don't give anything) 9304 VTB
9308 V
9309 VTA
9310 by V
9317 unless ?

Layer 4 of Martyn II

coins: 3/4 2nd coin 9311 VTA
+ 1 4/4 9312 V

Layer 3, Mantyn I

	SS 9313	VIA (early)
9 said 2/2 2nd	9314	V
8 just after 100	9315 Pl. <i>Hieracium</i> (Tilca, more prob.)	VT
	9316 Pl.	TTC "177"
Coins: 3/4 2nd,	9318 Pl. <i>Apocynaceae</i> ?	V
one 86-70 which Frut.	9394	VT A (early)
leaves is an intrusion	9395	VT B
(the leaves without leaf,	9396	
ca 130-110		Jan, FLW Tych 7, w. PACI

Hell. pottery is "all sort of a la Group E"
 Susan says. 9. - from all these layers.
 Some bowl moulds included.

HAT in u. b. says, no significant diff. in pottery
 from ^{Plum} Layer 1 is certainly Roman (no SAH)

A-B 19-20 : 1 XN ^{great} just train south

See long analysis ^{of 1957} headed
"mostly 3/4 of 2nd"

Susan says Fred says on coins:

Latent are 196-146 very worn
+ 7 bgs probably deposited by 120 B.C.
(not pre-146 etc.)

D 11:3
4
D 12:2

RA coin system at 42/0

(see Deposit II B end)
"first post"
analysis A 1967
44/11 both 1/2 2nd + 1/2
analysis V.64 early 1st
46/1A analysis A 1967
Dec 1/4 1st

E 14:3

Γ Kybele coin

Susan quotes Jack's 1971 typescript

to say coins are ~~not~~ ^(null) dated
in 2/4 of 1st cent.

The post-Sulla also are in a hoard
in Delos probably buried in 69 B.C.

so probably latent coins are late '80s + '70s.

But see my notes of V.73 right from Jack;
on basis of analysis.

F 19:6

TT unprocessed well at 51/151

B96

Su EAD 27; and ^{yellow} deposit slip
(no analysis) LK Jim 559461
in exhibit

Jany, & 2/4 of 1st B.C.

Susan: OK.

R 21:2

BB cistern at 37/AB

analysis of 1956

calling ~~and~~ ^{is}

mit 2nd & 3/4

Meg. Boulders - all long pointed

Coins: "2nd cut. to 86 B.C." Things

G 14:5

A cistern at 8/H, lowest slide

SS 333 rd

Su ^{yellow} slipLowest layer is called 2nd B.C. (in note book)
(^{may} mould from lowest stratum.)

SS 333, up - Kapreotator, V

C 20:2

NN

Koudder Fader, fill

SAC & TOB

Meg. moulds: not long pointed

M 23:3

AR

cistern in Bagg. bldg.
(Su EAD 27)

on long, of Meg. Bould.

Jany, to early 2/4

She says OK.

end

Deposits with Susan R.

E 6:1, 2 KK cut. at 69/A-69/AST, banding T pl
 said again, ^{up 50} later than 188-166 period
 (contained long-petal bowls, one in each
 deposit.)

C 10:1 PA, Channel Mouth 1
 earlier during
 cont. 2 long-petal bowls |

D 10:3 PA Channel Mouth 3 "3rd-2nd BC" (RSY)
 said ^{now} 3rd cut. to TB (p. Kdεύβροτος)
 cont. 8 frags. of 4 long-petal bowls

E 10:1 PA Ch. Mouth 4
 In Dates 27, Sullan

F 19:3 TF Well at 41/NB-NT
 Sullan
 Has long-petal

M 20:1 X, cut at 75/OA
 Sullan
 lots of long-petal (16 pieces of 16)
 (published items)

N 19:1 X, cut at 84/NST
 - HSR Gray F
 (all SAH, some 1 KK, and Sullan)

more deposits with Swan

§ 13:8 Z, Burnt layer
(very dirty analysis of XI.58) largely 2/2 red,
with 3 divisions
on earliest (SS 491)
at other level

N 20:4 S, Cistern at 74/H Latent Sullen, plus
a Placid web (SS 7932)
through the post-Sullen
— found in bottom fill,
along with coin 85-70^{BC}
(see J. G. K. (Kendall's list))

(see Benabir coll.)

We use: the yellow slips arr. by old gnt. nos.
(under sections)

the Deposits folders (v9) ^{Knid}
the ~~Kendall~~ dated list of ^{Knid} fragments

Dates 27, ch. XIV

(for earlier deposits, the Placid dated
list)

17.I. 74

14.12

Deposits with S. Pottery

MSBF

" ^{1/2} to F. Klein "
 & ca 182 "

Section T

SS II fill

to ca 140 " This is apparently

1-0 15:11 ?

SS 65-79 & 65-85 - (Section T)

or M-N 15:11 ?

esp. fid. cl. of . 10K 295

but are they really SS II BF ?

EA - Cornish

ca. same

altering ?
 new fill ?

Under floor of Bridge Bldg.

EA

[36 lbs to just SS 11621-4, same as 193 - 186 BSC]
 27

A 16:4

7' thick soil (Delos) 6' per

110, later at
 12/11H

ca 140 " but now

see no reason to put off
 146 .

I 16:5

single S4H, KT 926, y. Delos 27,
 E 72

(late pre-durum)

G 14:2 (swell at 14/1ET)

7' of fill

Sullivan and pl. later (late
 durum)

with fill (S can't see)

E 15:4 Problem: long-petal bowl (P14830)
(T, cut at 102/1A) with SAG hardly
later than 175 B.C.

H 12:1 To 2/2 perhaps 3/4 of 2nd
[Z, Thales (Has many coins, which could all be
found in H, any time in the 2/2 of the 2nd cent.
under of Bldg C, acc. to Kroll 1971)
filling of North Drive]

C 19:3 NN Bz-casting pits

really early 2 Kr. types

365 (Kroll 9 Sep. 6 Apr.)
and 1241 (T. Mörsch up
no find)
3/4 2nd, early? P. 100/300000

P 20:2 (52 cut at 72/10)

Single SAG, P. 100/300000

F 13:3 (B' Well 9)

Some 2/4, large 2/2 (6.108)

2 large pieces later around
duroin

(P. 100/300000)

Deposits with Susan R.

(note for lun on deposits
slips)E 5:2 (canned)
AA cist. at 81/M

D 17:4 OO, cist. at KE

O 17:7 ψ , cist. w. cesspool

H 6:9 A, cist. cistern

H 13:1 K, wall - mat w. of ms

B 20:2 NN cist. at 100/K

F 5:1 (canned) AA cist. at 99/K

L 19:2 DD cist. at 113/A (no long-petal
broods)Q 5:3 AA wall at 97/P (Bore Wall)
(no long petal)

8.1m is ^{last} beginning Mag. bowls
• early last quarter,
This idea came from the
drawing of the date of

On these are worked - **ASORA**
what I need - see below, note
of 22.1.74

13.10.73

14.15

(end of)

Group B
to 240.

P 10:2 ² Cistern at 17/OE-OST

SS 7770-1

Ph. of *fiducipity* - pond of fractured
solid Ph.

Mag. bowls
last bowl
beginning
mid-3rd.

I say ca 240 BC

she was suggested a little later
(an early Mag. bowl)

~~9~~

D-E 8-9:1

TH
This cistern B

Concerned with the 2 Mag. bowls from B. of
- see yellow slip in file. She decides
the Mag. bowls are extraneous to the fill
She notes ^{Element} *fiducipity* redated - see for
her to 1/3 of 3rd (if *fiducipity* A, but
supposed to be all in fill.

O 20:3 upon fill (ca. well at 67/NT)

[16.11.74. The
transitional fill
this up to
8 1/4 w. *fiducipity*
(*fiducipity*)

S44 mag SS 8079, the grain of *fiducipity*
w. *fiducipity*
SS 8081, large Ph. of *fiducipity*
fiducipity

They both seem as if they are still to 3/4 3rd
(There is a Mag. piece - same as last bowl
early last quarter.)

A 18:1 NN cistern at 77/NA

2

14.16

D 17:5
00 *Anten* at 73/X2

11. VII. 74
[15]

Deposits for Carol Mattusch

1/2 2nd? C 19:3

NN Casting pit in
House #, Room 13

SS 10204

10215

See note of 17.I.74 on discussion
of deposits with S. Petroff (p. 2)

10229

"Really only 2 Ku. types (KT 365, Sep. 100 Ap.
KT 1241, T. 1006, etc.)
"3/4 2nd, casting pit"

17.VI.74 saw
Carol say bowls
Megiloth 2nd.
and (283 for joining)

4th c. A 16:1

TTT, casting pit at 6-11/
MA-MB

SS 14099 X

14100 Cor. B.

14102

17.VI.74

Carol Mattusch

P 25741 Cor. B.

notes Agn. 7th

25742

gives 350-325

25745

I say, by shape of

P 25742 (Said)

would think 4/4

18.VIII.76

Entered on Deposit cards of C 19:3 and A 16:1

AGORA DEPOSITS : INFO GIVEN TO S. ROTROFF (to be processed)

37