VRG\_Folder\_0233

Tr. to VG typing
by Burgi

ple-

both

i. lile

Pottery stamps

Yu. G. Vinogradov, "Ceramic seals of the island of Thasos," <u>Numismatics and</u>
Epigraphy, X, The Institute of Archeology of the Academy of Sciences of the ESSR,

Moscown 1972, pp. 3-63. (Dedicated to his teacher, B.N.Grakov.)

The study of pottery stamps can follow 2 courses: 1) the study of stamps as an archeological source to ascertain dates with the purpose of establishing a chrohology of the stratigraphic layers and other archeological objects; 2) the study of stamps as a source for the history of production and commerce, in other words for the economic history of the Greek world.

The study of the typology and chronology of the Thasos stamps acquires a special significance since it is closely linked with questions of the development of the economic ties of the ancient world. Inasmuch as on the one hand Thasos was the first center which began regular stamping and on the other Thasian wine with its special character won for itself a well-deserved fame among the antients, one can therefore understand the influence which Thasian amphoras had in the morphological and Thasian stamps in the typological aspects on the many pottery vessels that were sontemporary with them.

be able to establish certain moments in dating, for ex. of the Sinope or Herakleian If stamps. Intermediate we have a reliable chronology of Thasian stamps, we can date various archeological complexes in which the stamped amphoras of other centers are contained, and thereby facilitate the development and perfecting of patteryx ceramic epigraphy. Thus for example it is a well-known fact that in the northern Bl. Sea region Thasian amphoras are found in burials as a rule with Herakleian ones with the latter predominating. Inasmuch as the Herak. stamped amphoras up to now have not yielded so easily to dating, the Thasian chronol. wd. therefore play a very definite and positive role. The same may be said about ascertaining datings and other categories of archeological material contained in these complexes.

In the years before the revolution both here and abroad there was almost no

1 4

0.3)

work devoted specifically to Thasian stamps. The picture in this area was the same as it had been in ceramic epigraphy as a whole: alongside of a rather voluminous collection of publications, theoretical research could be numbered on fingers, and concerned itself for the most part with Rhodian stamps (I have in mind the wellknown works of F. Bleckmann and M. Nilsson) . Without dwolling at length on the work of A. Dumont, who as one of the first prefaced his publications with an introductory sketch with a characterisation of the basic groups of amphoras and stamps, I wish to call attention only to the work of I. Machov II. Machov, "Amphora handles from the island of Thasos imprinted with the names of the astynomes and with emblems which were found in the Chersonese," ITUAK, 48, 1912, pp.180, ff. I which was specially devoted to the publication of Thasian stamps from the Chersonese. This article suffers from many defects. Without mentioning the numerous errors in the reading of the inscriptions, in the explanation of the devices, and in the very reproduction of the stamps, one must point out the very strange opinion of the author who refers, the names on the Thasina stamps as astynomes whom he considered obviously magistrates in all of the centers.

A new step forward in the study of stangs was made by E.M. Pridik and V.V. Schkorpi

4

3.M. Pridik in the cat. of seals at the Hermitage, which is exemplary for its time,
prefaced his publication of the Thasian stamps with a brief essay containing a descr.

of the Thasian amphora, the composition of the clay, and of the stamps. A series
of inaccuracies which appear here he rectified in a subsequent article which appeared

5

posthumously. ["Geramic inscriptions from the excavations at Tiritaki and Mirmeki
? Mocow Inst. Arch. ??
in 1932-64," MIA 4, 1941, pp.173-193.1 V.V.SCHKORPIL in addition to numerous
publications of stamps, Thasian among others, in his research on the manes of the

6

potters ["The names of master-potters in ceramic inscriptions," IAK 51, 1914, pp.

129-139.1 took up among other things the interpretation of the term "heramarch"
in some Thasian stamps.

A qualitatively new stage in the study of coramic epigraphy both here and abroad

" of Sopre Silver

E-4

p.4)

B.N.G. devoted his attention directly to Thasian stamps in his dissertation

and also devoted a special work to them [B.N.G. "The Thasian group of amph. st.

and the export of wine from the island of Thasos," ms. in the archive of the I.A.,

D. 1040, 1938.] as well as his main work which has still not seen the light of day.

In print he expressed only an opinion about the beginning dates of stamping amphoras

10

on Thasis. [(Packing and Storage)] In the completed corpus I.O.S.P.E. III which

was completed after the war, B.N.G. after he had complied exhaustive introductory

articles for the stamps at each center, in great measure clarified his old datings

and gave a new classifications. Although this work unfortunately is also unpublished,

it remains the finest of the theoretical works which we now have on Thasos.

Dur compatriot scholars have accepted, developed and clarified B.N.G.'s basic positions on the various questions. Thus D.B.Shelov in publishing the stamps from 12 the excavations of Panticapaion and Phanagoria distinguished several new groups 13 14 of Thasian stamps. I.B.Brashinsky and E.M Staerman directed attention to the wheel-shaped stamps. One should note particularly the work done on the morphology

Ŋ,

of Thasian amphoras in connection with the groups of stamps in the book of I.B.Zeest which appeared as the nesult of many years study of the ceramic material of our Black Sea region.

In contemposary western scholarship the most eminent ceramic epigraphist is undoubtedly V.Grace who began her scholarly activities in the mid-thirties. This scholar has elaborated the datings of the basic groups of stamps. She has devoted several After her studies to Thasian ceramic inscriptions as well. In expressing briefly has general life the stamps of their dating in the publication of the stamps from the Ath. Agora he wrote a special article about the early stamps of Thasos. Subsequently she elaborated in detail the chronology of Thasian stamps in the publication of the Pnyx material.

The works of V.G. have exercised a great influence on many contemporary scholars of the west. Some of these (M. #Th. Lenger, F. Salviat, A.M. Bon) have written their articles and monographs under the influence of V.G. #s conceptions, at times even in collaboration with her. In undisputed event in the history of ceramic épigraphy is undoubtedly the appearance of the corpus of Thasian stamps published by the French participation scholars A.-M. Bon and A.Bon with the collaboration of V.G. The corpus is distinguidhed by great accuracy, scrupulousness of selection, painstakingness and care in transcription and in the description of the inscriptions, the policy of illustration of illustrating each stamp and by a completely compiles indices. This works book together with the afore-mentioned Vol. III of I.O.S.P.E., is now the basic source for the study of Thasian stamps. The corpus is prefaced by an introduction written by A.M. Bon in which the author examines the sites of the findings, the composition of the clay, and the shape of the Thasian amphoras, questions of the classification of the stamps, of the analysis of the devices and the names, and also the meanings of the stamping. It is a great pity, however, that the scholar reacted so sceptically to the possibility of dating the stamps.

At almost the same time as the corpus there appeared two publications of stamps which contain among others Thasian stamps as well. I have in mind the books of V.

1.4

AND TO SEE

21 22

.5)

Canarache and M. Mircev. An exemplary analysis and evaluation of the first work 23 was made by I.B.Brashinsky. I can only add that in using V. Canarache's book even for supplementing the known types one must use the greatest caution. Besides the incorrect reading of many names on the Thasian stamps, one can note instances of incorrect attributions. Thus under no. 118 a Sinope stamp of the astynome ΔΙΟΡΑΝΤΗΣ with the legend around the sides of the rectangle, around a cluster of grapes is called Thasian. In the chronological classification the author follows B.N.Grakov, accepting his datings (at times incorrect'y) from the hands of E.M.Stagrman.

The publication of M. Mircev also contains a number of inaccuracies in reading and interpretation. In the introductory essay for Thasos, the author in great part follows V.G., and criticizes the theory of Grakov on firms of potters which the latter had long since renounced. His datings will be discussed below.

Among the most recent works devoted specifically to Thasian stamps one must 24
mention two publications of materials from the excavations on Thasbs itself. F.

Salviat has devoted 2 articles to research on dtamp devices in connection with Greek mythology. Together with VG he published the only hereforce known implement for 26
stamping Thasian amphoras. Finally one must mention the article of A. Balkanska 27
which is devoted to wheel-shaped stamps. She also published ceramic inscr.s from the excavations of the Thracian city of Seuthopolis among which Thasian stamps predom-28
inate. Exities S. Sztetillo has undertaken the study of the devices on stamps from the point of view of statuary subjects and coin symbols.

where the control of the control was the control of the profit of the control of

The state of the second state of the state of the second s

server of an American commence of the server server to the server song are

a partition of the same that are not been accounted to the supplementation of the production of the same of the sa

1.4

The state of the s

and limits a Day of the West, stayed asserted to be a contra

MAT STURES

1.06

Methods

As already mentioned remarked, the credit for the final shaping of the various methods of dating stamps, for creating new methods and for the multiple application of all of these to the dating of stamps from different centers by 30 Groups belongs to B.N.Grakov. [Doctoral dissertation, unpublished.] In 1939 he developed 7 methods of dating, which have remained valid up to now, and which as yet cannot be supplemented by new methods. The elaboration of each method separately, however, and their improvement, should be continued by present-day researchers in taking into account the constant progress of the discipline.

B.N.Grakov evaluated each method relating to the others, and gave atta on estimate of its specific weight. [Lagain] This method has been presented in print by 32

I. B. Brashinsky with a reference to B.N.Grakov's dissertation.

## 1. The startigraphic (archeological) method

The strate method consists in dating the stamps from their occurrence in the strate of ancient settlements and in complexes of different kinds. This method was apparently used for the first time by C. Schuchhardt in his publication of the stamps from the basement of the house in Fergamon. This method is now used to one degree or another by all modern investigators. VG regards it 34

In citing examples of the practical application of this method, B.N.Gr., in addition to the well-kn. complexes of Pergamon and the Zeliansky kurgan, was able to mention for the most part only VG's"deposits" (complexes) and some finds in the strata and floors at Tiritaki and Mirmeki. It is of interest that he considered VG's "complexes" to be "the result of an extremely fortunate combination of finds".

I.B. Brashinsky also regards this method as the "fundamental and most reliable" method, although he remarks that it cannot always be used "because as is well kn., archeologists often are forced to deal with mixed layers."

my to while by the in material (att

-

0.7)

These judgments compel/ us to dwell on this method in more detail. Let us begin with the dating of a stamp from its stratum. Everyone who has excavated an ancient city knows how mixed its strata are as a result of every kind of re-digging, shearing and faulting, xwkbirkxpitax of the fill. Even in ideal cases the material even must be approached with extreme caution. In my opinion, if from any clearly identifia (for example, ash) stratum the entire mass of pottery and other finds belongs to one epoch, let us say 50 years long, there is still no 100 per cent guarantee that a stamp found in this stratum dates from this very period. It seems to me that in intingxhyxatratax in a careful analysis of the stratigraphic data and of all the finds, we must have recourse to stratigraphic dating only in extreme cases in the identification of stamps from unknown or only slightly studied centers. Otherwise one can easily fall into error that may lead to a fallacious chronology of a whole group of stamps.

I.B.Brashinsky notes that VG "had a fortunate opportunity to use this method, since the stamps . . . in large measure come from well-dated closed archeological 39 contexts." Upon verification, however, it turns out that most of these "complexes" (depots in VG's termin logy) are simply stratigraphic layers (or fillings as she calls them) datable by their material (archeological context).

from the Athenian Agora are a different matter. These are more reliable complexes

6.4

ent to say

whose chronological framework are similar in reliability to those of the house at Pergamon. This we have approach the concept of an archeological complex itself and its estimate on the basis of the narrowness and reliability of its chronological boundaries.

The concept of the archaeological complex, which was introduced into the discipline at the time of 0. Montelius, includes a combination of things, that under some circumstances occur together at the same time and have survived until the moment of their discovery in such an undinsturbed state. This is combined with the idea that the given complex is guaranteed to be free of things entering it from later times. Classic examples of such complexes are burials and hoards, and also settlements that perished as a result of catastrophes. But any complex must be approached with caution from the standpoint of its guaranteed dating from a single time. Burials, for ex., as a rule are complexes of one time, but there are also known to be family tombs (even tribal tombs) in which burials were made over the course of a century or more. A burial may also be destroyed or disrupted by grave-robbers who have mixed its material with things from later times. Another ex.: the Olbian reservoir of 1949 and the cisterns of the Athenian Agora are undoubtedly complexes, but their filling may have taken place over the course of a fairly prolonged time.

of the time when the things that have fallen into it were actually used - that is the time thatseparates the moment when each object was made and the moment that it entered the ground. For ain categories of objects, especially those made of costly or long-lasting materials (ornaments, weapons, etc.) may exist for a long interval of time, up to several centuries. As for masses of pottery, esp. pottery containers, the time of their existence is incomparably shorter. The experience of excavating ancient cemeteries shows that the idea of family dinner sets used for a long time is not confirmed - instantant example and there are exceptions.

In p rticular, in the vast majority of cases it can be relied on that the amphoras entered into the burial shortly after coming from the places where they were made and with wine from the same areas. Inmy opinion, I.B.Brashinsky is right in condidering amphora containers to be the youngest material in burials.

These considerations must be taken into account in evaluating archeological complexes containing stamped amphoras as to the reliability and closeness of their termini. This evaluation it seems to me is as follows:

In the first place is material from burials made at only one time in mounds

42
or in graves. These contain amphoras scarcely more than 20 years old. [The
evidence for old and lasting Thasian wine cannot be cited or taken into account
here since it was far more economical to retain the wine in the country where it
was made in pithoi and to pour it out into amphoras just before exporting it.]

To these must be added complexes of stamps from funeral feasts in the fill of burial
deposits
mounds. This category also includes household complexes - stores of amphoras in
pits or burials. Typical examples are the Olbia complex of 1947 and the deposit

43
of amphoras at Villanova on Rhodes.

In the second place are all kinds of accumulations of broken pottery: the fills in cisterns and wells, floors, rubbish dumps and the like. Cisterns, for example, may be filled with trash accumulated over the course of long years, 44 as in the Olbia complex of 1949, where the body of water whose deposits accumulated in the second half of the 2nd century B.C., contained material of the first half of the 3rd century B.C., that is, the chronological range of the finds covere about 150 years. Another ex. is the substructure excavated at ermonassa, consisting of a large no. of amph. fragments. It may have been built up of trash or composed of rubbish or of broken floors. The famous Pergamene complex should be assigned to the same type. Which also apparently includes the complex of the so-called Mirmski eschara. Iref. to Pruglo, Sinopean amphora stamps from Mirmski, K.IA 198 109, 1967, pp.42 ff.; same author, The groups of Thasian stamps from Mirmski, KSIA 116, 1969, pp.29-35. In the latter article the suthor

and which a

From A MU PATILLY TIME AND DEADL BOARD AND ANY DW LD

declares that the inscriptions being published come from a very reliable complex, which makes clear the dating of many stamping instruments of later groupd (our sub-group VB). But V.I. Pruglo remarks herself: "Of course not all amphora stamps are contemporaneous with the corresponding horizons; in the Mirmeki complex of Thasian stamps, in fact, there is a small group of early material for which the dates cited must be considered only as a terminus ante quem the material entered into the ash deposit. But in the case of the latest of the Thasian stamps that were found, the chronology of the ash altars stratification may serve as an objective criterion in determining theor dates" (ibid. p. 31). By these remarks V.I.Pr. fully confirms our evaluation of the Mirmeki eschara, belonging to the series of archeological complexes whose chronological limits are so bried that they cannot serve as a basis for constructing a presise chrolinology. For the rest, the author completely follows the dating of the early groups that was proposed by VG and is criticized in these pages. V.I.Pr. is indisputably correct in believing that the chronology of the late group of Thasian stamps needs further work, but in my opinion this cannot be approached with the methods used by V.I.Pr. Only on the basis of very reliable complexes and extensive use of the paleographic method can one achieve objective reliable results. In the light of all the above remarks, V.I.Pr. 's main conclusion must in my opinion be regarded quite sceptically.]

repecial place is occupied by highly distinctive complexes - stamps from settlements whose period of exestence was limited to a very short interval of time, for ex. one century. In essence they are close to the complexes of the Carthaginian type, which falls within the sphere of application of the historical method, and differ from the latter in that they have no precise historical frames for the time of their existence. They are therefore dated by the entire combination of material from the stratum of the particular site. Examples are the ancient settlements of the type of the Roxolan site and some others on the lagoon of the Dniester river.

From everything that has been said it may be included that the stratigraphic

191 T. T.

method, with the condition that is criterion is not the stratum but a reliable complex, is a very universal means of dating, the most effective of the methods by which absolute dates can be determined. I have used it as one of the fundamental methods in the present work. Of the accompanying material the most important is mass pottery as the shortest-lived category whose chronology has been worked out in fairly great detail. Lincludes ack to Blavatsky on dating of bf. In a few cases of simultaneous finds of Thasian and Lincpean amphoras in complexes, I have used B.N.Grakov's chronology.

As already mentioned, simultaneous finds of Merakleian and Thasian amphoras predominate in out Black Sea region. The most recent chronological classification 348 of the Merakleian ump stamps is I. B. Brashinsky's chronology. Adopting B.N. Grakov's general chronological framework, he divided all the Herakleian stamps into 5 groups as follows:

group	date B.C.	
home resp	ca.400-370	one-name
2	ca.370-330	2 names without epi
3	ca. 330-300	2 names one w. epi
4	ca. 300-250	I name, id. by paleography and orthography
5	ca.mid 3rd B.C2	

Herakleian stamps of the first 3 groups are constantly found with Thasian stamps. But I believe that I.B.Brashinsky's dating cannot be used for the following reasons:

- 1) Throughout the Gk. world the name of the eponym indicated only the year regardless of whether he was a magistrate of the entire city or an official with less extensive authority. According to Vol. III of IOSPE, 72 eponyms are now There is no justification for assigning these to known on her Herakleian stamps. There is no justification for assigning these to of 30 years.
- 2) The stamps of Groups 1 and 5 sts. occur in the form of supplementary stamps to the stamps of Group 3.

, 10

3) Not one complex is known that contains amphoras belonging only to one group, although the duration of the stamps within each group was quite long - 30 to 40 years. Moreover there are several complexes (among them some very reliable ones) in which amphoras of Groups 1, 2 and 3 have been found. [long, containing ref.s to several unpublished groups of find] Such a complex must have been accumulated over at least 40 years, which seems unlikely. Therefore, to avoid incorrect dating, I do not use Herakleian amphoras from multiple complexes as a chromological criterion.

## 2. The morphological method

he morph. method may be called the method of dating stamps by the changes in the shape of the amphora itself and its parts. This VG in her publications places this method along with the archeological in the first rank. It was greatly developed after the publication of the investigations of I.B.Zeest, however does the reverse - she makes extensive use of the dating of the stamps to determine the chronology of the amphora stamps. It must be noted that this method has very limited application to Thasos, inasmuchas the most common amphora shape - biconical - existed for a long time - throughout the 4th and 3rd centuries B.C. I.B.Zeest considers the amphoras with the broader trunk (Type I acc. to Bon) as belonging to the earlier time - to the 4th c. B.C., and the amphoras with elongated proportions and a full foot (Type Ia acc to Bon) to the 4t; to 3rd centuries B.C. Neverthe less on amphoras of the 2nd type mentioned by her stamps have often been found that are contemporaneous with the stamps on vessels of the 1st type, which proves their synchronous existence.

VG behieves that the angular rim of the Thasos amphora was replaced sometime 54 before 300 B.C. with a thick roll, which later became thinner. The amphora type with such a rim undoubtedly belongs to the 3rd c. B.C., but the biconical amphoras with angular rim also continue to exist, so that the idea that one type

11

The species for

9.11

was replaced by another is incorrect. The amphoras of Bon's Type II with a very wide trunk, a snarp break at the shoulder, and an angular rim also bear stamps from the earliest to the latest groups.

At the present time the following morphological evolution of the Thasban amphoras may be summarized as follows:

- 1) Amphoras of the biconical type (Ia and b acc. to Bon; Type 20 acc to Zeest) existed from the very beginning of the stamping to the # 3rd cent. B.C. inclusive; the division of this type into 2 sub-types has only a typological (and not a chronological, as VG believes ) significance.
- 2) The same can be said about the amphoras of Bon's Type II, which existed together with the biconical amphoras.
- 3) Amphoras of the conical type (21 acc. to I.B.Zeest) with a roll-shaped rim appeared at the threshold of the 4th and 3rd c. B.C. and existed together with amphoras of the 2 above types in the 3rd c. B.C.
- 4) Amphoras with an egg-shaped body and with a foot very similar to the Sinopean (Type III acc. to Bon; 22 acc to Zeest) are evidently the latest; this type appeared some time in the 3rd c. B.C. and lasted until the 2nd c. B.C., as indicated by the amphora found at Villanova.

and the section

will want plays of any practical of the balls of the

mander, our to the soften a migrowing of surround and the later

able to mention for the rest part only safe annually references

the time and a substitute of the contract of t The tenter to be take when the tenter to be the

and the business and the property of the property of the party of the

1

12 male 1

Tr. h.Burgi to VG typing m (pp.141-18.)

1.14

#### 3. The paleographic method

This method has most important significance for those stamps which are difficult to hands with the other methods: stamps without device which are with diff. broken down into typological groups and particularly stamps which have been found outside of complexes, for ex. the stamps of the "Parmeniskos Group". One must avoid over-evaluation of this method and must subject it wherever possible to the other methods. B.M.Gr. in relying exclusively on the data of paleogr. and orthogr. dated in his time the beginning of stamping in Herakleia in the 3/4 of the 66 the c. B.C. I"Englyphic stamps on the necks of some Hell." etc. 1926 J consequently after studying a great no. of erakle stamps and applying reliable archeol. complexes, he considered it possible to refer the origin of Herakleian stamping to the very beginning of the 4th c. B.C. and he created typological groups of stamps. [IOSFE III] Actually the study of the paleography of the cer. inscr. of "erakleia forces one to conclude that there was a strong conservatism in the script so that in my opinion it suggests that it was borrowed from the paleogr. of monument inscriptions who show the same charact, features.

As far as Thasbs is concerned, with its vast field for applying the other methods, the pal. method recedes to a less important position and gives only a rel. confirmation of the synchronism of the various groups.

1.4

.12

.11

in groups, see Table III.] However, for the chronol. breaking down of Group 5
and for the attribution of stamps of various epochs to Group I, it has most
decisive significance. The paleeogr. of the stamps of Thasos for the most part
course
follows a lapidary rather than a numbermatic, and on the whole developes asc. to
its own internal laws. Thus the lunate sigma and the cursive omega are met in
stamps as early as the beginning of the 3rd c. B.C. and on coins they appear only
at the turn of the 2nd and 1st B.C. [Guide de Thasos, 1968] On the whole in
judging the similarity of the script of Etamps and coins we encounter much difficulty so that on the latter in the majority of cases the legend is limited to an

## 4. The grammatical method

this period that the majority of the groupd of stamps occur.

The gramm. method is closely involved with the paleogr. and has a still narrower application so that even on lapidary monuments it is diff. to est. with great accuracy the time of transition from one orthography to another. Very often elements of old phonetics are reflected in new grammatical writing. This is clearly observable on Thasos where in stamps of one group the same name is written in various ways: HPAKAEIAHE and HPAKAIAHE (the second form, demotic) EYPPANEP and EYPPHNEP, etc. This method is particularly valuable for clarifying alien non-Ionian names in the pottery business of Thasos.

ethmic which was regularly written between 404 and 340 B.C. and it is in precisely

One must proceed v. carefully in handling the orthography of Thasian stamps because of the frequent use on them of abbreviations of names and of the ethnic.

We don't know for ex. whether the form MYAAO is an archaic gen. or just a abbrev.

72
of the nominative form. Nam VG and after her Bon assume that in the form of the ethnic GAZION we observe a survival of the Parian alphabet in which omicron equals omega, that is GAZION equals GAZION. This form which had disapeared by this time from official Thasian inser.s is explained by VG who follows the hypothesis of A. West as the anti-Athenian attitude after the uprising of 411 B.C. and she calls

- 6-4

12)

13

observes in connection with this hypothesis that the form GAZION on coins could indicate a neuter adjective referring to the understood word NOMIZMA. This proposal is confirmed by the similar forms of the ethnic on stamps from other centers - HAPION, IKION, KNIAION and KOAOOMION of the time of the KOINH and also 74 by the same form of the ethnic on the coins of the Gk cities of various epochs.

10n specifically Thasian coins the ethnic was written as late as the 2nd c. B.C. when there can be no question of archaisms in the language. Compare Guide de Thasis, pp. 54-55.] Moreover it wd. be strange if with this substitution of an omicron for and omega only the th ethnic had been engraved when at the mam: time names were already being written in the general Ionian orthography. Consequently the hypothesis falls and we see that Küxbaxx the dating of a whole group if VG is based upon it.

Hence we see that the grammatical method yields very little for dating. B.N. Grakov considers that for late groups the application of the grammatical device is "diff. as a result of the astoundingly rapid levelling action of the KOINH."

\*\*Extern Below I will only observe some few deviations from the general orthography when I illustrate in this way the merely relative antiquity of the group.

## 5. The numismatic method

The num. method is based on the contrasting the devices on stamps with those 77 on coins. B.N.Grakov made wide use of it in his research on the Sinope stamps.

Even then he understood it as a matter of studying the devices as such, that is, 78 of all the realia depicted on them. itxihiaxpianxiawai It is on this plan that he as do 79 developes it maximum in this several other scholars. The signif. of this method is v. great dince it permits one to est, absolute dates, in certain instances even more accurately than w. the help of the stratigraphic method. This can be explained by the fact that coins submit much more easily to chronol. classification. It suffices to say that the dating of several important groups of Thasian stamps is baded on the numismatic method.

1.0

However B.N. Gr. arguings from Bond and Low from Dinas Washing

of the states

However B. N. Gr. indicates the need for painstaking and detailed and not superficial comparison of the devices inasmuch as one and the same numis-matic symbol as it developes changes its form on the same coin and on the stamp. Moreover a precise localization of the stamps is required since one and the same device can appear in various centars at various times. At this point B.N.Gr. studies the question of the borrowings of devices of other centers as phenomena connected with historical events. It so ms necessary to me to add several observations.

In the first place it is impossible to/, approach formally the borrowing of num. devices from the coins of the same center which stamped the containers. In the early period (4th c. B.C.) from numismatics are taken as a rule official coats of arms, the haralma of cities - for ex. the Sinopian eagle on the dolphin, the Thasian Herakles Archer and so on. The governmental char. of these devices on coins is completely obvious. On the stamps the state emblem in the major. of cases was borrowed by the city magistrates - astynomes - whose eponymous signif. is confirmed by the prep. EHI. The so-called Early Sinopean pottery type with the HAPAZHMON do not contrast this since the device on them, eagle on dolphin, is to be explained not by the personal taste of each potter but rather by an imposed rule: this symbol is found among all the early potters which confirms the semi-official semi-private character of stamping. Precisely the same phonomenon can be observed on Rhodes as well where the pomegranate blossom for the very same reason is ofter found on the stamps of the ergasteriarchs.

Consequently for Thasis as well we can assume that the appearance of the crest on the stamps can be partially explained by the official character of the stamp and that it is connected with the name rather of the magistrate than of the potter. Further investigation will convince us of this fact.

In the second place we are dealing with Thasian stamps which are unusually rich in the most various devices. Despite this variety, the parallels with numis. symbols are unique. This caused some investigators to see in Thasian stamping implements borrowings from numismatics from other centers and to connect

6.4

April of the said

they would be a

.14)

these borrowing with one or another event in the life of the city. For ex. the

81

appearance of devices in the form of a crab, a lion, a hare, etc., VG explains

as a borrowing from the monetary typology of the members of the Athenian APXH in

the west (Akragas, Leontini and Messana, etc.) and connects with their triumph

82

after the overthrow of Athens. Proceeding from similar considerations she refers

Thasian stamps without device to the period of the sojourn on the island of the

Athenian garrison, 408-404 B.C.

The subjectivity of such an hypothesis is obvious. Need one explain everything by as monetary borrowings? How then explain those stamps for which we have not succeeded in finding analogies in monetary devices? As far as I am concerned, these coincidences appear to be accidental for the simple reason of the abundance of devices on Thasian stamps. Below I shall dwell on this in detail and in addition we shall see later that the group of Thasian stamps without devices cannot be placed within the framework of the 5-year period.

countries of the proof of a second of the forest these of names, are all the countries of the proof of the pr

time about the only worm and a super two application or while methody portion

This material windows attemptioned by almora (the graduationical mentions above hit absorbed will be east adoptable for greating a relative marginal production of the control of the cont

ed the villed groups of stance from binage and Anidos the aponymity of the

寺堂

4)

(Pt. 19-23) (pt. 19-23)

6. The synchronistic method

At the present time this method has been successfully used by many scholars. It is based on the fact that during the tenure of a single magistrate several potters were active, and by comparing the lists of potters one can determine the sequence of according to which one magistrate replaced another. The method which was utilized where for the list time by for Rh. amphoras with the names of the eponyms and the manufacturers are located on the 2 handles and consequently in order to be studied whole amphoras are required analyzations can be used for those amphoras in which the two components are combined in one stamp: for Thases, Sinope, Herakleia, Knides, and individual stamps of other centers. Its specific character lies in the fact that it itself does not give even a relative chronology which can be obtained only as the result of the application of other methods. One may also speak here of absolute dates.

The possibility of the presence of homonyms even during a very small interval of time significantly complicates the application of this method, particularly if seals which have existed for a long time (as for ex. those of Rhodes) are difficult to 87 separate into groups. However as B.N.Grakov observes in groups which existed for a short time an error of this type wd. have little meaning since the dating of the group remains unchanged. However it can play a role in estimating the no, of potters - for it is possible to count 2 people as a single person and vice versa. The same applies to the magistrates as well. On Thases in the majority of groups they are clearly distinguished one from the other, and as far as the potters are concerned success has been achieved in my opinion in finding a method more or less suitable for distinguishing persons of the same name.

This method which was strengthened by others (the archeological, numismatic, above all historical) is most adaptable for creating a relative chronology of stamps from the centers that have been mentioned. Thus for the stamps of Rhodes, merakleia, and individual groups of stamps from Sinope and Knidos the eponymity of the magi-

F-4

married and a horasterly transfer

will do not be a straight of a color of the

p.15

startes is very clearly expressed. I attempt to prove the same for several groups of Thasian stamps. We can by comparing the lists of others of various chronological groups with a greater or lesser portion of probability refer individual eponyms 88 to the beginning, middle or end of given group which indeed was done by B.NGrakov in his coppus. With a general chronological extent of a group, for ex. almost 30 years, this narrows the dating of the activity of an individual magistrate down to 10 years, that is it gives an element of preciseness which one cannot always achieve even for coins. In relation to the Thasian stamps this is possible only for several groups.

### 7. The historical method

The historical method is based on referring to well-known historical facts. Its chief characteristic consists of the fact that it does not exist in isolation but is dissolved in the other methods and is closely interconnected with them. Thus 90 for ex. F. Bleckmann in utilizing the data of stratigraphy dated the Carthaginian collections of Rhodian stamps which were discovered under a Roman wall of the time of the destruction of Carthage - 146 B.C.

Here is included also onomatological studies who had formerly been subdivided 91 into a special method, that is, the explanation of the appearance of various foreign names (for ex. Roman) at the given center as an historical conjuncture. The historical method is connected with the numismatic as well. For ex. the appearance in Sinopean stamps of devices borrowed from Roman coins was explained by B.N.Grakov as 92 the participation of Sinope in the 3rd Funic War. This methodm on the one hand effective is the most active inasmuch as it gives the most precise absolute date. On the other hand it is precisely this which obliges one to exercise extreme caution in its application. Above indication has already been given of erroneous in our opinion explanations of the borrowing of coin devices in the stamps of Thases. It is essential that this method be applied only after a dating by the other methods has been achieved and checked, inasmuch as in any incident the historical explanation of one or another element of stamping can bear the character of a hypothesis.

16-4

p.15) .

For the stamps of Thasos the area for utilizing historical facts is com<sup>2</sup> paratively vast since the history of the city has a solid narrative base in the test mony of the ancient authors. Moreover there has survived a unique document - the legislation about wine trade. In this work the historical method is also applied for the dating of the large one-sided complexes which have only a pa terminus post quem: for the stamps from Alexandria, Seuthopolis and Kabile.

ich bubl au n methodology Finishing our survey of the methodolgy which is applied in studying apph. st cold of the spect we must also mention one principle wh. does not enter in and must not enter in the had the convinctions by conditions group of 7 methods but which permeates the entire work of B.N.Grakov. I have in range crystey or objective and mind the typological study of the stamp itself. Actually inasmuch as the stamps section of the Ly version somehow change in form with the course of time there arises the necessity for dividing them into typological groups. This is less important for Rhodes and Sinope in view of the uniformity on the whole of their stamps but it acquires a very special significance for Herakleia and esp. for Thasos whose stamps are distinguished by a very special wealth of types. Typological groups do not always corresp. to time with the using of the synthecolatic note Shronological groups. And the ex. from the chronol of Heraklies who has been marrowing of the chronolary of paleour, and more of presented above puts one on guard. However it is not possible in any way whatsoat or that an of original becaring of the ever to avoid typological classification, otherwise we wd have to limit ourselves nearly to the dating of the various stamps or of the individual magistrates. In my opinion it is this that was the basic error of the early work of VG, she approached the dating of the individual stamps before she had achieved their typological chassification. In her subsequent work VG overcame this defect dividing the early stamps into groupd. However by being unwilling to refix the by wouth and like on the sativ works conclusions of her previous article she mass again defines only the time of the ally recorded the citizens achievement in the lies by dating the individual stamps according to the stratigraphy of the is dead of the production at layers of the Phyx. Incidentally she at this point makes an attempt to define the roups and connected of ore typological and date "the involved group of eponyms APIETOMENHE and TEAEE ( " around 400 B.C. indepandently of the conditions of the finds of their stamps on the Phyx.

11

作明十二

16

0.16)

In no case in research must one be attracted by typological classification as an end in masse itself - the conclusions of this work show that many groups of stamps of Thasos are typologically close to one another but behind such a formal similarity there stands a completely different sense. Other methods help to determine such groups chronologically, and particularly the stratigraphic and the synchronistic. Appendix case are typolying one and two in this is obviously convincing.

From what has been said it follows that the main condition for dating is the 96 complex application where possible of the greatest number of methods at least of two. Only then can the conclusions be condidered solid and credible. Otherwise they risk great subjectivity or objective accidentalism. It seems to me that the most modern and methodologically correct scheme for elaborating chronology is the following (in the given instance for Thasos stamps): first the creation of a developed typological classification but without exaggerating the importance of formal similarity, and then the dating of the various groups of stamps by the archaeolog. and numism. methods, and after that the establishing of their mutual position in time with the help of the synchronistic method, and finally the ultimate check and narrowing of the chronology by paleogy, and morphological devices and a careful attempt at an historical handling of the substitution of one historical group by another.

#### THE CHRONOLOGICAL CLASSIFICATION OF THASIAN STAMPS

As has already been said the most perfect typology and chronology as well of the stamps was elaborated by B.N Grakov whose conclusions many researchers follow. It is hardly worth dwelling on the early works of B.N. Grakov inasmuch as his chronology received its ultimate achievement in the introduction to Vol.III of IOSPE.

B.N.Grakov broke down all the stamps acc, to the formulae of the legends into 6 groupd which since they were typological and at the same time as he observes they reflect chronology as well.

1. The inscription is placed in 2 or 3 lines and consists of an ethnic and

50 mining

4.4

- two or more rarely one name. Sometimes a device is placed between the lines.
- 2. The legend goes around the frame of the stamp circling a required depiction and consists with rate exceptions of 2 nmass with the ethnic.
  - 3. The stamp consists of 1 name and the ethnic. Between them the device.
    Rarely a legend goes around the frame.
    - 4. The stamp has a round form. It contains an inscription and a depiction.
    - 5. The stamp contains one name without the ethnic with a device or without one.

to the field of the first of the part of the first of the case of the

Collins of Lose 2.

6. Anepigraphic stamps.

0.16)

.17

and the branching of the property of the confidence of the confide

To all the contractors the second of the surging as derects and the contracts of the contract of the contracts of the contract of the co

pue former, or constraint at result in the series and an election to be readily on the real collection of the series of the series are call as election to compare

genter after recipally a files and or

the second to the time there was a percentage of the party of the second of the second

in the property of a street of the restauration of the contract of the contrac

THE PERSON SO AND MAN, MADE THE WAST PROJECT OF THE PARK

or the service of a service training to a load log story for

the agreement of the word new pr

APPLY There .

chiefly attack and opinyme return against

0.17)

In addition, B.N.Gr. places the wheel-shaped stamps, which he considers Thasian, in a special group. In his doctoral dissertation and in a special work on the and dated 1938 Thasian stamps La ms. described in note 9 as in the archive of the Arch. Inst.] B.N.Gr. regarded as the earliest a stamp of APIZTOMENHZ with a depiction of Herakles Archer which he dated to the time around 380 B.C. After becoming acquainted with the publications of VG he began to follow her identification of stamps without device The chronological distribution and with letters arranged in lines as the earliest. of the Thasian typological groups appeared to BNGr. to be as follows: Group 1, the earliest, is dated to the very end of the 5th or beginning of the 4th c. B.C. The stamps with depictions helonging to it already come from the time of Group 2, which is contemporaneous w. the first within the interval of 390-370 B.C. and encompasses a somewhat later period. The max group comes to an end at approximately 320 B.C. or perhaps somewhat earlier or later. The stamps with inscriptions along the frame and the stamps with the (phiale) of Group 3 must be placed within the same interval of time as the stamps of Group 2, who corresponds to the fx entire second half of the 4th c. B.C. On the whole, Grp. 3 in the Mediterranean region goes down to 220 B.C. Thuxelar trp.4 is contemporaneous with the end of the 1st or the beginning of the 2nd group and apparently lasted only one or two years. Crp. 5 acc. to the list of names belongs partly to the time before 350 B.C., but for the most part coincides in time with Grp.3. Grp.6 consists of additional stamps that are found on the other handles of amphoras with stamps belonging to Group 1.

D.B.Shelow developing B.N.Grakov's investigations from his Grp. 1 identified stamps with abbreviations of names (MEZ(, MET() and an emblem below or between the lines. He assigned to it the well-known stamps of the Keramarchs and dated them in the middle of the 4th c. B.C. He also discussed the problem of the anepigraphic stamps in detail. On the whole, the author followed B.N.Gr.'s pre-war dating, which was also preferred by I.B.Zeest and E.M. Staerman, Prottery stamps from Tyras

A different chronol, classification was worked out in articles by VG. She 104 finally formulated her typology in an article on the stamps from the Phys.

1-4

Market Const

17) Here is this classification and its dates:

Group 1, end of 5th and 1/2 of 4th c.s (to 340 B.C.)

- a) without emblems
- b) stamps with the sign (phiale)
- hope cal all be for the star specime tree and space with attractions
  - d) " 2 names along the edge (sts without ethnic)
- e) the keramaroha

Group 2, end of 4th to 3rd c.s B.C.. Stamps contain 1 name, ethnic and emblem.

- a) legends surrounds emblem
  - b) " placed on opposite short sides of rectangle
    - c) " long " long " "

This classification, which is based on material of the Athenian Agora and the Pnyx, is far narrower that the preceding one since it does not include, for ex., groups of stamps with the name \$\text{LATYPOE}\$, wheel-shaped stamps, stamps with one name without ethnic, etc. As already mentioned, this investigator follows the method of dating individual stamps, and not entire groups. This she does mainly by the archae-ological method, that is from the "context". She does not however alwayd maintain this principle. Thus, for example, she places "the related group of eponyms of APIETOMENHE and TEAEE(" independently of the Pnyx context in a period around 400 B.C. 105 on the basis of the synchronousness of the names. The author definitely deserves credit for identifying stamps without emblems as earlier than stamps of APIETOMENHE. 106

- 1) Inasmuch as the majority of emblems reflect anti-Athenian attitudes, stamps with emblem belong to years after the Pelopennesian war; the stamps without emblems reflect the dependednt situation of Thasos and can be dated to the time when an Athenian garrison was on the island (407-404 B.C.) or to the period before the uprising of 411 B.C.
- 2) In considering the series of stamps with the names METEN, MATYPOX and the stamps without device, VG notes that the same names are repeated in them. The

16-4

18

(p.18)

19

author identifies 8 names and on the basis of the fact that they do not, occur in combination with each other, and are not present in the stamps of other groups, carriers of the except the group without emblems, considers them to be the earliest magistrates.

3) The author notes that Aristomenes, judging from the names found in his stamps, shd. not be far from the eponyms that use stamps without emblems.

The evident weakness of the argumentation for this hypothesis, which in my opinion is nevertheless correct, has produced a sceptical attitude toward it on the part of the above-named Soviet epigraphers, who used B.N.Gr.'s earlier chronology in their investigations. B. N. Gr. later adopted VG's hypothesis and supported it by an analysis of the reliable complexes from our territory.

Inasmuch as VG as a rate declines to create am a chronology of entire groups and confines herself to dating individual stamps, it is worth press citing her particular dates here.

108 Regular stamping on Thasos began no earlier than 425 B.C. We have already mentioned the "anti-Athenian" emblems and stamps without emblems above. The activity of the eponym MEFON occupied the time around 410 B.C. The stamps with "phiale" date from the end of the 5th c. B.C. VG includes them inxthe among the first Thasian All the stamps on the necks belong to the period up to 400 B.C. stamps. stamp of GPAZQNIAHE and MERGN is dated by the lamp type to the time no later than the 1/4 of the 4th c. BC. The eponym APIΣΤΕΙΔΗΣ shd be assigned, judging from the finds of his stamps in the Agora, to the beginning of the 4th c. B.C. interconnected group of APIETOM, and TEAEE (" (that is, without emblem) is located by the similarity of the names around 400 B.C. independently of the Pnyx Scontext". The author presents her remaining particular dates in her commentaries on the individual stamps. Summing up all the facts it may be said that VG is inclined to date the absolute majority of stamps of her group 1 to a small interval of time of 10 to 20 years.

One cannot agree with I.B.Zeest that "VG's dating was adopted by A.-M. and A,Bon in their new work on the Thasian stamps." The authors although they make use

16.9

(p.19)

of the conclusions in the articles by their American colleague (as A. #M. Bon ack.) in general follow their own lines of reasoning. A .- M. Bon, to be sure, was too sceptical of the possibility of creating a chronology, pointing out the very slight role of paleography, the very rare cases when the emblems coincide with the devices on coins, the lack of reliable archeological contaxts, and the actual co-existence of Also pointing out other difficulties of dating, she different types of amphoras. confines herself to studying the general time-limits of stamping. Her conclusions as to the time when the stamps originated seem more reasonable than those of VG. Thus she considers the stamp with no emblem marked TEAEZ OAE EYPWA (no.72), which appeared no later than the 4th c. B.C., to be the earliest. Contrary to VC's conclusions, the author believes that stamps with emblems, even those having parallels in the earlier coins, cannot be assigned to the 5th c. B.C. She suggests that there may have been interruptions in stamping and supposes that stamps were made To the later category she assigns certain even in the first few centuries A.D. stamps without ethnic, wheel-shaped stamps and stamps with monograms.

A .- M. Bon divides all the Thasian stamps into 3 categories:

- 11 Stamps with lines of letters without device.
- 2. Stamps with device, ethnic/(or no ethnic) and two or one names. The special stamps of this category make up groups: a) stamps with 2 emblems, including "phial and star; b) stamps with coind devices.
  - 3. Stamps with monograms, separate letters, and wheel-shaped stamps.

It can be seen from this division of types that the investigator was guided by only one criterion - the presence or absence of a device and its composition.

Some categories therefore appear to be quite broad (category 2 includes the majorit of stamps and the greatest variety of variations of the formula), whereas other categories are quite artificial. For ex., Group b of category 2 includes the same stamps as the entire category but only those whose emblems repeat coing types.

All this means that Bon's classification is of limited applicability.

It is not worth dwelling on the chronology of M. Mircev, who, like the

Hal To be

19)

20

Bons (but independently of them) divided stamps into 2 groups - those with emblems and those without - and, exclusively on the basis of Paleography, assigned the first group to the end of the 4th to middle of the 3th c. B.C., and the second to the mid-3rd to end of 2nd B.C. Such formalism of classification and error in dating is evidently due to the limited material which the author had at his disposal.

V. Canarache's chronology has already been discussed.

After these introductory remarks, we may now turn directly to the chronologi122
cal classification of Thasian stamps. In studying the material I have used mainly
to IOSPE III corpus, the Bons' catalogue, the well-known writings of Mircev and Canarache, and also some collections from archeological excavations now in museums
in Moscow, Leningrad and Kiev, to whose curators I am profoundly grateful.

The abundance of devices on Thasian stamps, and the variety of their types, the first task is to create a single typological classification. Three main elements must be taken into account in developing such a classification: 1) the shape of the stamp, 2) its inscription, and 3) its device.

The combinations of these three components gives rise to the different types.

All these factors must be taken into account in equal measure in making up a typology

Ex.s of the disregard for some and overemphasis of others have been cited above.

If however we were to attempt to take account of the smallest differences in inscript

ions, devices, etc., such a great abundance of types would have to be created as to

be of little practical use. This calls for some generalization of the different

types according to the most rational criteria. The most reliable of such criteria,

in my opinion, is the principle of chronological contemporaneousness. On this basis,

it seems best to me to distinguish two categories: groups (periods) and subgroups

(types). Noting all the main distinctions between types, they can be combined into

several groups, each of which may be assigned to one chronological interval. In only
three cases is the principle not maintained, for the reasons stated above. The creaters of such groups leads to the conclusion that the entire history of stamping on

Theses:

AND TOWNS

1.1

A John King

21

(see Table II), which are explained by the economic and political history of the cit state.

# Group 1 the season the state of the control of the

Group I combines the stamps of 4 sub-groups [Cyrillic] a, b, v, g, whose distinctive feature are the absence of device and the arr. of the inser. in lines. This group is distinguished by almost all investigators. Subgrp I a includes the greater part of the stamps of this group. The stamps are rectangular or almost square in shape. The inser. is arr. in 3 lines, but v. rarely the end of the last line is carried over into a 4th line. The legend consists of 2 names and an ethnic arr. variously, the athnic most often in the 2nd place, more rarely the lst place, and in extremely rare cases the 3rd. The names are almost always abbreviated, as is the ethnic, but the abbreviation consists of no less than 4 letters of the word. The inser. is occasionally retrograde.

Subgrp 1b differs from the ppeceding only in the greater abbrev. of the logend:

2 letters in each line. This whole subgrp is combined around the abbrev. of the
name TI, which stands in the first place. In the second line is the ethnic in the
form of GA and in the 3rd place is one more abbrev. of 2 letters of a name. Only
4 such third names are known (Appendix 2). This formula is constantly maintained.

Subgrp 1 w is characterized by the presence not of 3 lines as in subgrps la and 1b, but only of two. Their contents are of 2 types. One part of the stamps of this subgrp. bears the name AABPOE which always occupies the first place. In the second line are other names, which as a rule are abbreviated. The other parts of this subgroup is made up of stamps with one name and an ethnic. The names which occupy the first place, are the following: AAMAE(, AHMHE( EYAFOP(.

Subgrp lg is identical to subgrp la except for the difference that an additional stemp is used along with the main stamp. This is evidenced in the first names:

which the

,21)

The additional stamps are of 2 shapes - square and trapezoidal. The depictions 123 on them are various: the head of a man or a torch.

AIAPHE is characterized by a 124 series of letters in a trapezoidal stamp: H, O, and E.

For the rest, the difference between Subgrp 1 g and 1 a id only formal, inasmuch as even in the stamps of 1 a there are is the habit of placing an additional
stamp on the second handle of the amphora. This is shown by several whole amphoras.

A number of anepigraphic stamps of group 8 shd probably be regarded as additional
stamps on amphoras of subgrp of 1 a, placed on the second handle. Such additional
stamps were evidently placed indiscriminately either on the second handle or together
with the main stamp. This is excellently confirmed by the additional stamps of
subgrp 1 g. On the stamp of XAPE(n stands an additional stamp with a picture of a
man's head (Pl.IV, 7), but such stamps also becur separately (Pl.IV, 10).

The whole of Grp. 1 is undoubtedly a single unit. This follows above all from the unity of the names occurring in subgrps la and lg. Moreover the single list of second mames indicates the closeness of the stamps of all the subgroups to each other (appendix 2). Group 1 can be readily dated by the clear archeological complexes, which provide excellent confirmation of the synchronousness of its subgrps. The most prominent place among them is occupied by the \*\*Zmeinyy Kurgan and the Olbia store of 1947. In the first complex were found 3 stamps of subgrp la, one st. of 1 v, and 3 st. of APIETOMENHE with Herakles Archer. All this material is clearly dated by a lekythos of Kenophontes of the 1/4 of the 4th c. B.C. The evan more 126 interesting complex from Olbia has this far unfortunately not been published.

As the author of the report writes, in the bottom of the burial pit lay "59 empty carefully deposited amphoras". This remarkable complex contains the stamps of only the two subgrps 1 b and 1 v, confirming that they are synchronous. From the correspondence between the second names in the stamps of the two subgrps, it can be concluded that KI equa/18 KIPSN and AA equals AAAZHEZ).

Consideration of the paleography (Pl.III) shows that the stamps of Grp 1 are distinguished by a quiet beautiful script, whose lett rs are characterized by

607, 86

(p. 21) strictness and monumentality. Especially characteristic are the forms nu, fixxx xi, sigma and omega, which occur exclusively in this early grp. N has a slightly inclined first and a diminished second hasta, the xi has a broad muxlines of the san size, the omega is characterized by a regular roundness and several slanting limes, a organization and shall at running in the same direction; these have their nearest closest parallels in monu-So, pale. Vi her soft assign to the travelor the fact mental and numismatic epigraphy of the end of the 5th and beg. of the 4th c. B.C. chromoto what complishing one to beard on this. It is present It can be suggested that the shape of the legend itself in the stamps of grp 1 was taken from lapidary inscriptions. This hypothesis is also supported by the fact 127 o de racepe series. that some of the stamps almost regularly maintain the manner of a stoichedon, to to they as as tolated with the and and most of them depart from this only at the end of the whole inser. or in the 3rd promoted to an ero or the profession of line. This appears to be not a random thing or a matter of chance and corresponds mind the place fully to the lapidary epigraphics of the same time with its transition from stoich-

ast importules without short site to

edon to the usual arr.

The grammatical deviations from the koine are generally quite few in the Thas a stamps of all groups. Grp 1 is characterized by comparatively rare Ioniana (evid. because of the abundance of abtreviations). Lemarkable features are the typically I nic replacement of the long alpha with eta in AIAPHE, the characteristic writing of the diphthong EY as EO in EOAFOPHY 1. . . This phenomenon can be traced from the end of the 5th c. B.C. ] and, on the other hand, the coupling of the group of vowels EO into a diphthong - OEYA (SPOZ), KAEY., which is characteristic of the Ionia dialect from the beg. of the 4th c. B.C. Un the case of the flexion (?) one may the gen. sing. omicron in AABPO( (cf. BRI ZATYPO, 2b), assuming that we do not habe as abbreviation here. The form of the gen. AAMEA (cf. TEAEA - 2 b) indicates a Doric element which in general was present on Thasos. Such grammatical anomalies on the whole are quite char. of Thasos, and they ultimately give only the imar lower terminu for the rike origin of stamping, namely the threshold between the 5th and 4th c. B:C.

The ethnics in the stamps of all 4 subgrps are used in the most varied abbrev.s The usual form is GAZIAN; apparently, only in the presence of TRAKE stands GAZIO.,

- F-A

p.22

p.22)

which stands for the form WAEIO(N). It was stated as an opinion above that this is n genitive plural but a neuter adjective, and there can be no question of a "nationali archaism". Actually, although VG has related this form of the ethnic with the years immediately following the conquest as we shall see below it also is found in Grps 3, 5a, and eve 5b, which VG herself assigns to the time after the Macedonian conquest, Thus no chronological conclusions can be based on this. It is remarkable that VG in her sec everywhere writes GAZION with the accent on the alpha. Only in exception article cases does GAZIOZ appear in the stamps of Grp.1; on the basis of the fact / that this ethnic is always associated with the second name PIASN, it can be concluded that its appearance is due to the preference of a single person. But PIAON did not regularly maintain this habit and sts. placed the ethnic in the usual form.

The most important problem that arises in the study of the group 1 and is in of great importance in dating the stamps is that of the significance of the names in the stamps. But this problem cannot be solved in isolation for this group alone one must also simultaneously consider the problem of the meaning of the devices and their relation to the names. We will therefore analyze this aspecially after studying the first three groupd.

an one; and the but years a con-

in the training parties to the court within 500 miles but the

The state of the same of the same and the same

or was the said offering a colon to a few the same

sepulte is the constraint of the sale of the sale was to be

water that was detailed in the same of the same of the same of a training

or the for the whole was lake only accurate of the commence of

the on the of the are as a firm his man discussed who produce to

of the barries the meant follows follow, to prove the

walch was also professed by the Seast and S.M. Seas

a contract the profit share restained and and the artificial of

randly randilish her synthey to on article to the element in the state of

all the state of

are but tild stories

Group / // 2

(p.22)

.23

This grp is divided into 2 subgroups: 2a and 2b. The first of these was disting.

133

by D. B. Shelov. He considered that the charact. feature of these stamps was the fa

that "the inscription on them is placed in 2 or 3 lines along one of the short sides of

the rectangular stamp, over the device which occupies the main space" and he was inclin

134

to include in this group various stamps with similar characteristics, among them sta

135

of the Keramarchoi and the stamp APIETO - META with the basin which acc. to my

classification fits into Subgrp 4b. Because of the presence in the stamps of the keram

archs of the device of Herakles Archer, D. B. Shelov dated the whole grp. in the mid

4th B.C.

At the present time in view of the accretion of material vollected in vol. III of IOSPE and in the corpus of Bon and also which has come from new excavations, it is nec. to introduce some corrections into these categories. D.B. Shelov observed char. ab for the group, MEZ, MET, META. Now without any doubt one may state that the stamps whi he publ. refer to one group which can be united around the name MEZ which is always present in them. I know of only one stamp without this name and without the device (IOSPE III, no. 435) which can be read MET - APIE but here the fact can be explained by the faulty reading and transcription of V.V. Schkorpil. The insers on the stamps MEZ do not go only along the short sides of the frame but are sts. located along the long ones as well (table, V, 3). However, the group of stamps MEE possesses a series of characteristics features:

- 1) Constant abbreviations up to 3 or 4 letters
- 2. The presence in many cases of several devices in one stamp (Appendix 3)
- 3. The required retrograde writing of the legends.
- 4. " presence of the ethnic.

We encounter the various ways of placing the legend as is shown here:

where 0 is the ethnic, 1 is MEZ, 2 the second name - the placing of the device.

The emblems are completely varied but upon inspecting the list of them one is struby the fact that they fall into several subject cycles (Appendix 3), that is, the

choice of the devices depended on MEE himself insofar as one and the same second name is encountered in his stamps with devices of various cycles, for ex. API, MEF, GPA.

Allotting stamp APIETO-MEFA into a special group will be discussed below. As far as the stamps of the keramarchs are concerned, they emerge in a special way in the whole of Thasian stamping, similar to the stamps of subgrp 2a only formally, and they do not enter as a special group onto the proposed classification. They will also be discussed later.

One can assume that Type 2a developed from the stamps of subgrp 1c withxkinxxisipx helped by introducing the device of additional stamps into the stamp itself and from both of stamps of subgrp 1b to which it is close in its abbreviations. The retr. reading of the inscriptions on which L. Stephani and P. Becker based their dating is explained by 136

B.N.Gr. as the result of the erroneous work of the die-cutter. However, the strict observance of this habit ib subgrps 2a,4 4b and others compels one to refrain from so categorical a pronouncement. Of course neither retr. writing nor boustrophedon which is also encountered in Thasian stamps can in any way offer any indication of the antiquity of the inscriptions. After all, boustr. for ex. dissapears from the lapidary epigr. of Thasos in the middle of the 5th B.C. when regular stamping did not as yet exist. The only thing that can explain the application of retr. writing is the personal taste of the owner of the stamp in his desire somehow to distinguish it from others or to give it a certain adornment.

leng some an relitarity (, 3), drawer, the grave of charge and personal drawer of the

and in more providing along the most stand of the frame but was attack that as a lost of along the

The Somewhat abbreviations up to 2 or 4 lowers in our Those Tappendie.

The residence of each around an each residence in the residence of the res

No the redefred accudition attack at the fellengt.

i. presents of the atimir.

is one or por the serious anys of product the legand to he waster twee

the same of the collision, I in sect, 2 the second many - has planting of the device.

und some an data was properly content and another than an act of the content of

the face that the fell in a several manyers sylles (Appendix 8), the till

the three that the fitting a secured applied by largered by the

m of the

(.23)

La question de la datation du Sousgr. 2a est une des plus complexe. Bans ces emble il est plus probable de trouver des choses empruntees de la monnaie. Las timbres de ge type se trouvent seulement dans un seul complexe archeologique (Ap endix I, no.10) date touts entier du 4eme sieche BC. It reste deux moyens seulement pour resoudre le proble la paleographique et la synshronique. Les inscriptions de ce sous-gr. se distinguent par des charactères petits et parfois peu soignes, cependant d'apres les formes des auti lettres, elle sont tres proches des inscriptions du groupe l; ce sont des formes tout fait analogues aux lettres des timbres du groupe EATYPOE (confere par ex. upsilon). La recherche synchronique est rendueplus compliques par une forte abbreviation des noms, ce qui amene une identification eronee. Mais, en faisant une comparaison soigneuse, nous obtenons un le pourcentage de coincidence suivant: avec le group 1 on obtient 50 pource avec le group 3, 64 p.c.; avec le gr. 4, 50 p.c. Caixe poucentages sont obtenus de la façon suivente. Le nombre des noms munk est pris a 100 p.c. dans le sous-gr. 2a. Le rapport a ce nombre du nombre des noms correspondants dans les autres groupes donne le pourcentage cherche. Le calcule des pourcentages dans les tableaux de correspondance qui suivent mont obtenu de cette facon.

Ainsi, les correspondances des noms rapprochent ces timbres du groupe 3, saix et les données de l'analyse paleographique et la consideration typologique les relie au cr. 1 et 2b. Ceci donne une raison de classer les timbres MEZ entre les Gr. 1 et 3, synchroniquem nt avec les timbres ZATYPOZ. Il est peu probable qu'on puisse etre tres precis dans cette datation car finalement le probleme ne pourra etre resolu que par de trouvailles dans des complexes certains.

Le sous.-gr. 2b a dté determine depuis longtemps. E. M. Pridik estima dabords que 137 ces timbres venaient de Panticape mais par la suite il les fit provenir de Thasos. Bien que ces timbres ne contiennent pas d'ethnikon, il ne fait de doute pour personne qu'ils sont de provenance thasienne, par leur argile, la forme des anses, le bord. Touts ces timbres se characterisent par la forme ronde du poinçon dans lequel sont melées representation et inscription. Les pepresentations sont de 2 sortes: soit une tête barbue de satyre chauve, soit un choix d'emblemes tres different toujours

accompagnes d'un caducee (Appendix 3). Avec le première emblème on rencontre différents noms, dent le plus frequent est ZATYPOΣ. Selon les donnes de LOSPE III, 20 fois un tel timbre est accompagne d'un petit poincon rond supplementaire aven l'inscription ENI ZATYPO en 3 petites lignes. En outre, ce timbre supplementaire se rencontre deux fois aven le nom EYAFOPAZ dans un grand timbre et aussi quelques fois sans le timbre principale, Avec les emblemes de la seconde sorte on trouve toujours le nom TEAEAZ; ces poincons sont maxphanxp un peu plus importants que les poincons de ZATYPOΣ.

Le faite que les timbres avec la tete portent le plus souvent le nom de ZATYPOZ, tant dans le timbre principale que dans le timbre supplementaire, et q'il y a aussi un poincon supplementaire avec les nom EYAL OFAE, permets de supposer que le ZATYPOE du # timbre principale et supplementaire est un seul et meme personnage et que son embleme est une "arme parlante". Le type du grand poincon et du petit timbre eponyme relie tout ce groupe au nom de MATYPOZ. Dans la mesure ou il y a des anses d'amphore sans timbre principale et seulement avec un seul poincon supplementaire permet l'hypothese de l'amphora, suivante: on timbrait plus ou moins souvent les deux anses akampharexxatxanextacteuex principanax pure control punt control punt control principal control principal control principal control punt control principal control pr avec le nom de ZATYPOZ eponyme pouvait corréspondre sur la seconde anse les timbres principaux avec d'autres noms que ZATYFOZ et EYAFOFAZ. D'un point de vue formelle, les poincons complementaires continuent la tradition des timbres anepigraphiques supplementaires, et le timbres principale lui-mame la tradition du type lv, mais avec le remplacement d'un nom par un embleme constant. de l'autre sorte

Dans les timbres minnexantrexantre, le nom TEALAZ est constament accompagne de son embleme propre, le caducee. Plus bas, j'essayerai de montser to que les noms et les emblemes de ces types stylistiquement semblables possedent une signification differente.

On date les poincons de ZATYPOZ en comparant les seconds noms aven les listes de ces APIZTOMENHE memes noms dans les timbres du gr. 1 et les timbres d'Aristomene par l'epoque de ce dernier, environ à la fin de gr. 1. Il est douteux que le chercheurs gient raison quant ils estiment que la tete du satyre dans ces timbres est tiree d'un symbole monetaire, "le Silene au kanthare", / il faut y voire plutot simplement une arme parlante

, 25)

du miliou Ainsi la datation des timbres de EATYPOE dexistansitie de la \$ 4 ione siecle de asia ore doit etre abandonnee; B. N. Grakov lui-meme a abandonne cette hypothèse et penchezait à placer ce groupe entre la fin de la premiere classe et le debut de la seconde. du souregre Plus bas on fora l'hypothese que le ZATYPOE de ESERTEBRE 2b et le ZATYPOE du sous-gr. and their world prompt recently or qui determine non possibiliante. Elimetraphica rothe la sont un seul et meme personnage. Parmi les emblemes de TEAEAE il y a un Herakles. grade, but the rea to center portions obstant ple age Archer mais sa representation est tres grossiere et du point de vue stylistique elle Therefore when here supporte difficilement la comparaison avec la typològie du monnaie. Cepandant il faut remarquer qu'elle est proche de la Herakles des timbres de plusieurs KEP AMAPXOI que de colui des timbres de APIZTOMENHE. Les characteres de ce gr. sont petits mais assez precis, et dans les formes principales (particulierement nu) semblable a l'alphabet des gr. 1 et 2a. Malheureusement, pas un seul timbre rond ne provient de bon complex qui pourraismixdonner confirmation a la datation proposée.

#### Groupe 3

Parfois dans la literature on rencontre l'expression" la groupe d'Aristomene" sous entend par lequel on sousentent les timbres avec les nom d'Aristomene et la representation d'Herakles Archer. On ne peut pas etre d'accord avec un tel emploi du mot. Effective ment, les timbres d'Aristomene sont sans doute les representants les plus "claires" de ce groupe et dans l'ensemble de tous les timbres Thasians; les chercheurs leur on consacre une large place et dans notre travail ile jouent un role important dans la

. Again of

8.1

25)

chronologie Thasienne. Cependant ilixulexiste pas de facon isoles mais ils entrent dans le gr. 3 qu'ils datent essentiellement. Il ne convient pas non plus de ranger dans un gr. a part les timbres dans lesquelà la legende est disposée sur les trois cotes d'un cadre quadrangulaire. De tels poincons comportent assez souvent des noms communs est parfois aux timbres du groupe restant, ce qui détermine son momolithisme. L'inscription retrograde. Les timbres de cette période étaient places tant sur les anses que parfois posterieures (mais tres rarement) sur le cou de l'amphore. Les timbres des marmiers gr.s ne sont pas connus sur les cous des amphores.

Typologiquement les timbres du gr. 3 provenaient des timbres du sous-gr. 2a precedent dans les 3 premières variantes de la formule. Selon toute vraisemblance in parvint a ce resultat par un development graduelle des 3 elements de la legende autour de l'embleme. Une telle hypothèse fait du gr. 3 l'héritier immediat du sous-gr. 2a.

pagramente de los estas de mante de la partir de la mante de la la compansión de la compans

gas autient vons outurs de setus absents

Therefore the correspondences for the continue and the continue by the continue of the continu

rayur marte a mente a mente a Clearur Landella. The breatern as preint a mente a mente and a contract the

transfer that see ourgleses cortains.

the set of the letter during the during the during the second of the second of the second of the second of the

on a translant or reality or and put la su to 11 law many two and to 12

Tota vestiment se the meterious per la torre rando de policios de sono de la como dela como della c

melana re community at antoripois. Les propropositions on the

tend communications observe, family an object discolution with a

A.

typing

.25).

. 26

A series of reliable complexes date quite well the group we are studying (Appendix 1). Most frequently in these complexes we encounter the stamps of Ari stomenes. We cannot agree with VGrace who dates the Dubois de Montpereux mound at Certainly I. B. Zeest is correct in distinguishing in this 410-400 BC. complex two burials of different periods. The amphora with the stamp from there should be placed in the 1/2 of the 4th cent. B.C. Materials from the burial of the Zmeinii mound show that the Aristomenes stamps refer relate directly to stamps of Group Z I and perhaps in some sections (?) are even synchronous with it. This makes out conceptions of the various groups as consecutive one upon the othet somewhat arbitrary. It is altogether possible that a sunceeding group sprang up during the existence of the preceding one. However this does not change the conclusions about Group 2 3 as being on the whole a later one. There is no doubt that the stamps of Aristomenes are the earliest ones in it. This da attested to by the list of their second names which coincides greatly with similar lists in the two preceding groups. However in the Aristomenes stamps there are alreday new names as well that are characteristic for the whole of Group 3. Here is the relationship in percent of coincidences of second names in the stamps of Aristomenes and in the various early groups: Group I - 77 percent; Aristomenes - 100 percent; Group III - 94 percent; Group IV - 29 percent.

Much attention has been devoted to Aristomenes in the special literature esp. because he puts in his stamps the Greek parasemon of the city of Thasos 146 which has direct analogies in the suulpture and numismatics of the island.

B.N.Grakov who compares the emblems of Aristomenes with coins, at first dated 147 these stamps at a period around 400 B.C. Later on he proposed that they be dat 148 149 at 390-380 or 390-370 BC. In complete agreement with this chronology I shall only permit myself to make it more precise merely because of stylistic considerations. The stamps of Arist. bear an emblem of a kneeling Herakles preparing to draw his bow. His pose is distinguished by the suremess of his movements: one foot is slightly extended forward so that the senter of gravity is transferred to

.26)

of the hero is either erect or protrudes al. backward reflecting the moment when he had barely begun to draw his bow; in such instances even the line of the bowstring bends somewhat (Table V, 8). Herakles head is covered with the lion skin, on his shest one sees the animal's paw, its tail is caught in at the waist in a belt. Here, is dressed in a chiton which falls in flowing calm folds from the knee on both sides. The folds are worked very simply, in a specially clear sample example one can observe the detailed musculature of his legs.

This composition places these emblems in close ties with the numismatic symbols of those three groups of gold and silver coins which according to metrological, stylistic, or other indications have been referred by A. West to Periods 152 and 3. All specific stylistic features and particularly the characteristic details - the permanent absence of the second paw of the beast which peers out in several examples of coins from under the hem of the garment which is under the here's foot xefex relate the emblems of Arist, precisely to these coins, which are dated in Period 3 (380-370 BC) and to the very beginning of Period 4 (370-350 BC). This permits us to locate the stamps of Arist, chronologically around 370 B.C2, although they could have appeared even exciter in the decade of the '70s. This arbitrary boundary (370 B.C.) denotes the end of Troup I of Thasian stamps and the beginning of Group III, Group II is located in close proximity to the date.

Despite the obvious dependence of the Arist. stamps on coinage typology, it is unlikely that one should see in Thasian stamps such a great number of borrowing 154 from the coins of other centers which some investigators find, and even more unlikely to explain these borrowings through historical considerations. Orientang herdelf from the latter, Verace has referred a great number of stamps of Group II 5th to the very end of the fiff cent. B.C., to the moment after the completion of the 155 Peleponnesian war, although according to the data of the complexes and in view of the consuderations we have offered they refer to a period after 370 BC.

37

100 m

of the state of

27)

It is possible that the emblems of the stamps of Herophon are also connected with numismatics: the head of the bearded derakles in a lion skin, the club under it, and a series of additional symbols, although it is difficult to find coin analogies to the basic emblem, if not to consider it as having its source in the type of the Archer. The supllementary symbols might be used to confirm such an hypothesis. The script of the Herophon stamps (Ta Plate III, 3) is distinguished by embellishments in the form of little circles at the ends of the letters - which is a very characteristic phenomenon in the coinage technique of the whole Gk. world It is possible that the amphora dies war of derophon were prepared by a discutter for coins. An amphora with a similar stamp was found in a grave (Appendix 1, no.9), which is dated by the materials as 370-350 B.C., which confirms the chronological proximity of the stamps of Herpphon and Aristomenes. Another stamp of Group III in view of the material of a reliable complex (Appendix I, no.11) is also dated ideally at the middle of the 4th century B.C. The end of the whole group in view of considerations which will be offered below can be placed around 340 BC.

The script of Group III represents a further development of an earlier one.

"espite the general retention of the forms of the letters of groups I and II,
one can observe a gradual widening of the letters, the script in some instances is
becomes uneven and hasty. Indicative is the shape of D - sometimes large in size
with slightly protruding lines and sts quite to the contrary with a little EXERCITE
circle and a vertical slash which emerges only from below. The letter N, although
still in its slanting form, nevertheless gradually acquires vertical strokes paralle
one to the other which are so char. of the succeeding groups. The same can also
be said of the forms of sigms which are rare here with its hz parallels strokes.

Group III more than the others gives examples of anomalies of dialect which can be explained by the very rich anthroponomic materials it contains. On the one hand they would seem to indicate a very marked influence of the lonic dialect, and on the other, a gradual bit quite persistent penetration of the koine in its developed forms.

me disa

### I. Ionisms

157

Eta after rho, iota, epsilon: ΑΡΙΣΤΑΓΟΡΗΣ, ΕΥΑΓΟΡΗΣ, ΙΣΑΓΟΡΗΣ, ΗΡΑΓΟΡΗΣ, ΠΡΩΤΑΓΟΡΗΣ, ΠΑΥΣΑΝΙΗΣ, but ΠΑΥΣΑΝΙΑΣ, ΚΑΛΛΊΑΣ, ΝΙΚΊΑΣ, ΕΥΦΡΑΝΩΡ Lacemas out of place 158

Eo instead of EY: ΕΟΑΓΟΡΗΣ, cf. ΓΛΑΟΚΙΑΣ.

.28

EY instead od EO: ΘΕΥΔΟΤΟΣ, ΘΕΥΔΩΡΟΣ, ΘΕΥΦΙΛΟΣ, ΚΛΕΥΚΡΑΤΉΣ, but ΘΕΟΔΟΤΟΣ, ΘΕΟΔΩΡΟΣ, ΘΕΟΦΙΛΟΣ.

16

Eta iota instead of eps. iota: [Possibly under the influence of Attic. K. Brugmann, A. Thumb. Griechische Grammatik, München 1913, pp. 62, ff. (This phenomenon is studied from the beginning of the 4th c. Β.C.).] ΠΟΣΙΔΗΙΟΣ instead of ΠΟΣΙΔΕΙΟΣ.

Epsilon instand of eps. iota: ΧΕΡΙΛΟΣ but ΧΕΙΡΙΛΟΣ (Group I)
162
Contraction of voweds: ΔΗΜΗΣ (from ΔΗΜΕΗΣ), ΗΡΑΣ (for ΗΡΑΗΣ).
163

Inflections (genitive): ΕΠΙ ΤΗΛΕΦΑΝΕΟΣ. Cf. ΠΥΛΑΔΕΩ (koramarchos)

Loss of iota from the diphthong in intervocalic position:  $\Delta$ EIALKOZ  $\Rightarrow$   $\Delta$ EAAKOZ.

# II. Instances of developed koine

Iota instead of eps. iota:  $\Delta EIAAKO\Sigma$  -  $\Delta IAAKO\Sigma$  (occasional form)- $\Delta IAAKH\Sigma$  EYAAKIAHZ. HPAKAITOE, but HPAKAEITOE.

Epsilon iota instead of iota: ΤΕΙΜΗΣΙΘΕΟΣ but ΤΙΜΗΣΙΚΛΗΣ.

Epsilon instead of eta: ΑΡΧΕΚΡΑΤΗΣ but ΑΡΧΗΚΡΑΤΗΣ.

Dissimilation: ΠΑΜΦΑΗΣ - ΠΑΝΦΑΗΣ - ΠΑΝΦΑΟΣ(!)

#### III. Doricisms and similar

AGANOKPITOZ, AAMATPIOZ, AAFETAZ, NOZZIKAZ, APTINAAO equals gen. of APTINAAZ, lit is understood that this is not an epic form but a dialectical phenomenon which resembles most closely the Aeolic dialect (the Boectian or Thessalian variants).

170
Cf. etc. AAAAZ. [This is a barbarian name (perhaps Thracian?), char. of the Bell. period etc. .]

(p.28)

Particularly noticeable is the abundance of developed forms of koine (many of them appear in texts on papyri from the 3rd century B.C.), which were absent 171 in the preceding groups. Char. also is the presence of Doricisms. 10f. Grakov . . . . The names ΝΟΣΣΟΣ and ΝΟΣΣΙΚΑΣ which he presents are not Asia Minor names but Greek: Zgusta . . .

The materials presented for the first 3 groups of Thasian stamping permits us now to raise the question of the significance of the names and emblems on the stam of Thasos. This problem is of the utmost importance, once it is solved it will help us to explain the overall datings of the groups and to point out smaller gradations within them.

More than half a century ago E.M. Pridik advanced the hypothesis of the soaccording to which both of the names which appear on Thasia called double firm, stamps were those of fabricants, i.e. potters. B.N.Grakov who accepted this at first, soon rejected it. Basing his views on stamps of the Keramarchs and on a few names accompanied by the preposition EIII, he considered one name as that of the magistrate referring to the keramarch, and the other the potter's. name distinguished the functions of the eponym (with the preposition V.V.Schkorpil EIII) and the keramarch. V.Grace conclusively defined one name as the name of the magistrate-eponym, accompanied by a constant emblem, and the other as the name of the person who affirmed attested (endorsement) the quality of the amphora. Subsequently she compiled a whole table of correspondences of eponyms and potters,

Relying on her article, M. Mircev subjected to critical analysis the long-space-abandoned theory of "double firms". Apart from general considerations he, 180 following in the footsteps of Yu. S. Krushkol, presents the observation that the names of the magistrates refer to aristocrats (APIETOAIKOE etc.) while the second names belong to craftsmen or tradesmen (MYTIEN, OPAEEN, KIPEN and AEMEAE). If a name of the second type occurs in the first position, this can be explained by the fact that a wealthy tradesman could have been elected to the post of a magistrate. In dealing with the group of stamps with one name, M. Mircev

p. 29

observes that the name on them refers to the potter, while the emblem represents the eponym. Thus in stamps with letters functioning as emblems, these letters must be the initials of the eponyms.

A. M. Bon while not entirely rejecting the "magistrates" interpretation of the name 181 points out the possibility of another hypothesis for which she indicates her preference. Considering the stamp in its entirety to be a partial marking, the certificate of important wine-merchants, she revives the old theory of the "double firms" on a somewhat different basis. She considers that one name belongs to the head of a "trade-firm", and the other to some repponsible person, the appearance of whose names on the stamps was required by the state: an inspector, a degustator, etc. It is possible that it belongs also to a second partner. Abbreviations and monograms have the same sense. The emblem depends on the personal taste of the merchant. The whole idea of this French researcher presupposing "a director of a firm", and "investment of capital" in a hazardous enterprise, "competing firms" etc. is a very strong modernization of the economic relations of ancient Greece.

In Thesian stamps actually one very rarely encounters names with Edl. Thus far 182 three instances are known: EDL MATYPO(Y), EDL METENON, EDL THATPANEON. [Others are doubtful (references)] However scholars are undoubtedly correct when they ascribe the first name to a magistrate and the second to a potter. How is one to make out the name of the magistrate, where is the criterion for "first" and "second"? In placing the legend on the 4 dides of the frame, the idea of "first" place is completely relative.

Observations indicate that the names of undisputed magistrates occur as a rule immediat with ly after the ethnic. It is completely correct to regard names which one and the same emblem constantly appears as those of magistrates, these emblems being borrowed from the coins of the city. However with these names for ex. with the name may herophom one finds as well other and most varied symbols. V. Grace considered these names homonyms and referred them to various eponyms (for ex. METEN I with All and METEN II with a last the prep.). However even after such a distinction the majority of the names on the stamps of Group III is left undefined with regard to their belonging to magistrates.

29)

- For this reason, the same scholar has gone further and numbered among the eponyms names of stamps with a special positioning of the inscription, for ex. along 3 sides, 184 as in the KAEOPEN stamps. However such a positioning of the inscription can be found with many other names beside those of KAEOPEN, and with stamps of this same KA. we meet inscriptions along 4 sides.
  - Inview of the difficulties which we have enumerated, we must begin our study with the very first group. V.V.Schkorpil - had already observed that second (as regards the ethnic) names in the stamps of APIETOMENHE with Her. Archer are repeated in the second or third lines of stamps without emblems. B.N. Grakov subsequently defined the first names of Group I as the names of Keramarch-eponyms. However the matter is not as simple as it seems. V.G. thinks that the magistrate names never occur together. Manustian However we know of 9 names of Group I that occupy sometimes the first (i.e. they are magistrate names) and sts. the second place where they must belong to potters (Appendix II). The only explanation can be found in the fact that the functions post of an eponym-magistrate on Thasis was an elective one and that the eponym could be selected from the group of the producers of the containers. This is remarkably demonstrated by stamps of subgroup Ib with one name and the ethnic. All three names which enter in here - AAMAETHE, DHMHE, EYAPOPHE - belonged to potters who exercised the functions of magistrate, consequently these are stamps which were issued during the time that they were exercizing these functions and they were issued from their own shops.

The most brilliant hypothesis as to be found in the stamps of EATYPOE. The very fact that in the basic stamp are located arms parlants and a supplementary die with the inscription EIII EATYPO(Y) occurs also with the name EYAPOPAE compels us to refer all these round stamps to the eponym EATYPOE who maintained his own shop and for that reason affixed his own eponymous die to the basic one most frequently including his own name - 20 times. The infrequency of combinations of the name EATYPOE in the preceding group (only with 2 potters) and the chronological proximaty of both groups a long te assume that the EATYPOE of Groups I and II was one

May red

follows that the transition to the new type of stamp occurred during the incumbency of one magistrate - EATYPOE. This fact in all probability confirms the hypothesis 190 that EATYPOE exercised the rights of an eponym (i.e. he was elected for a year), although the preposition ENI was inserted rather infrequently. The functions of eponyms were also in all probability exercised by TEAMAN who has like EATYPOE a permanent supplementary symbol in the round stamps - a caduceus, although combined with various central emblems (Appendix III).

Despite the fact that MEZ. has various emblems, I am also inclined to regard him as an eponym. This would seem to be confirmed by the original type of the stamp and by the fact that all of the emblems fall into a series of subject cycles (Appendix III), and at the sametime one and the same name of a potter is accompanied by emblems from the various cycles i.e. MEZ. affixed emblems according to his own choosing which is in principle equivalent to having a single emblem. The fact that the list of accompanying names coincides with the list of the potters of Groups I, III, and IV once again confirms this hypothesis. All the data which we have examined bespeaks the branityx ephemeral nature and consequently the transitional character of Group II which occupied in all about 3 years.

APIETOMENHE stands as it were at the boundary of the 2 periods. He is undoubtedly the first eponym in Group III. The abundance of potters' names on his stamps induces those one to think of comparing their list withthe lists of potters under the eponyms of Group I who bear on their stamps references to a substantial number of potters:

(list or table, with these headings: aponym of Group I no. of potters
percentage of coincidences)

From the data which have been presented it is clear that this group of ep. stamps is shows concidences of from 40 to 63 percent, i.e. on the average of about 50 percent independently of the number of potters. Only the stamps of the eponyms AEMIAAL(33 percent), AAMHE and TI (33 and 75 percent) prove to be exceptions. In the two last instances the figures obviously are of an accidental character as a result of the inffequency of the accompanying names. \*\* Thus becomes clear the compactness of the

31.

0)

.31)

whole group and its chronological proximity to APIETOMENHE. Consequently I consider it possible to divide Group I into 2 stages: early and late, of approximately 15 years each. The 12 eponyms listed above enter into the late group, together with the eponyms MEZ and TEARAX of Group II, they owcupy precisely such an interval of time. Into the early stage will go eponyms whose names on the stamps are accompanied by the names of one or two potters who are in some instances not even found on the stamps of the rest of the group. There are 10 names which belong here: ΑΣΤ., ΚΙΡΩΝΙ(ΔΗΣ), ΝΟΣΣΟ(Σ), ΑΡΙ. ΔΑΜΩ(N), ΑΘΗ., [KIP]ΩN(?), TEI., ΘΕΟΦΙΛΟΣ, ΕΥΑΓΟΡ(ΗΣ). The possibility of finding new names increases this stage to the time-span which we have allotted to it. Of course such a division is more or less arbitraty and it does not exclude the possibility that some of the eponyms of the early stage can also be entered in the late one. The results of the present study serve to confirm the final conclusions of B.N. Grakov development of regular stamping for the first time precisely on Thasos in the last years of the 5th or the very beginning of the 4th cent. B.C. Bearing in mind a certain conse atism on the part of Greek society of the time one may nderstand that as was the case with every innovation, stamping although indeed it had been officially introduced was not at the beginning accepted by all of the potters, i.e. that it was not universally recognized. This would help also to explain InaugutandanaThinumbaldadipotanphain the rarity of stamps of the early stage of Group I. and the small number of potters (1 or 2), who stamped their wares during the incumbency of a single eponym. Regular stamping nevertheless bore for the time being a sporadic character. And it is only at the beginning of the second stage of Group I, that is approximately from 385 B.C., that stamping became popular and was used regular by all potters.

All that has been said indicates the arbitraryness of our typological classificati inasmuch as the stamps of subgroups Ib, Ic, Id are referred on the whole to the late stage of the whole group. Under a single eponym (AIAPHE for ex.) there existed dies of subgroups Ia and Id. Therefore subgrp Ia already belongs partially to the second stage As far as subgrps Ib and Ic are concerned (we have in mind only the eponym AABPOE)

May and

. 32

as the complexes of the Zmeimii Mound and the Olbia complex of 1947, they probably can be referred to the very end of the second stage, and are chronologically close to the stamps of EATYPOE (subgres Ia plus IIb) and to those of APIETOMENHE (Group III).

Many more d fficulties arise in determining the eponyms in Group III. According to the only true criterion, a constantly recurring emblem, one can single out \$ 9 names: APIETOM(NHE), TIMHEIK(AHE), AHMHTPIOE, AIKHKPATHE LEditors sometimes read this as APXHKPATHΣ but this obviously can be explained by the carelessness with which the scribe executed his inscription - breaks in the drawing of the delta transformed it into an alpha (cf. Bon, no. 468).], AMPI(KAHE?), FAAYK(OE?), HPOG(ANHE?), MEFEN (plus EIII), HPODEN. One more eponym THAE PANHE is distinguished by the preposition ENT; on his stamp there are no names of potters (with the exception of the very doubtful AHMHZ). Therefore he already belongs to the end of Group III or basically to Gr. IV. All 9 of the eponyms which we have listed have permanent emblems on their stamps (Appendix IV) some of them (Her. Archer for APIETOM., the head of Her. for HPOPSN, and amphora for AMPI) are borrowed from contemporary coins which once again confirms the magistrate rank of the bearers of thes e names. However some names from this list are found with the most various emblems, for ex. HPOQON, FAAYKOE and particularly METON. Following Verace, we were obliged here to single out still one more eponym, for ex. METON I and METON II. But then why one and not several, after all the stamps of METEN II are not united by any common features, and the name HPOPEN is found even with the name AMPI (an eponym). One could think that HPOPAN in this instance was a potter. This fully confirms the custo of selecting eponyms from among the potters and extends this custom to Group III. however it does not give any proofs of the magistrate rank, for ex., of MEPEN II.

Interesting observations can be made on the basis of the materials fo the stamps of the eponym HPOPEN. He has as permanent emblems the head of Herakles in a lion skin and a club beneath it. In front of the face of the hero at the bottom there are additional symbols which vary with the various names of the potters. They never are repeated with various ceramists, which gives one the right to conclude that they are the special amblems of the fabricants. This conclusion finds remarkable confirmation in the fact

The second second

32)

that additional symbols - a Corinthian helmet with the name of ΠΟΣΙΔΞΙΟΣ, and a herm with the names of ΣΚΥΜΝΟΣ - become the chief symbols in the stamps with the names of the those persons but without HPOΦΩN. If previously the emblem (or group of emblems) was affixed only at the choice of the person in authority - the eponym -, now into the field of the die comes also the symbol of the potter. There arises the custom which is followed by the stamps of the greater part of Group III and of all those that follow after it. If under the eponym HPOΦΩN this symbol occupied a subordinate position, subsequently it is advanced to a central one.

All that has been said permits us to propose the division of Grp. Ill into early and late stages. The early stage begins with APIDTOMENHE and lasts about 10 years, from 370 to 360 B.C. To this stage can be referred all9 of the listed aponyms who have stable emblems. The late stage occupies about 20 years (360-340 B.C. and takes in stamps of eponyms without a stable emblem. To this atage can be referred, and obviously to its end, the eponym THAE PANHE. The variety of his emblems in the stamps of Group IV where he appears without potters, demonstrates quite clearly the adoption of a new principle on a much wider scale. And it is precisely in this stamp that there are put not only emblems both of the eponyms and the potters but also the eponyms themselve (and perhaps the potters too) choose from for themselves not one stable emblem but a whole selection of them. Therefore compiling the lists of the magistrates and fabrica in the late stage of Grp. III becommes wellnigh impossible. Perhaps one shd follow the to single out eponyms (for ex. KAEOQQN, APIETEIAHE, AAMAETHE path proposed by VG: and others) according to the manner of placing the inser., for ex. along the 3 sides of the stamp. However there is no guarantee that such a type of die was not dictated by the tastes of the potters of KAEOPEN, APIETEIAHE, and the others. All the more so since the potter AAMAZTHZ exercised the function of eponym in Graup I (before 370 BC). could hardly have been again elected as magistrate several years later for the meaning would then be of the function of the eponym were then being lost. The meaning of the names and emble in the following groups will be examined below.

Most complicated of all is the matter of clarifying the title of the magistracy

.33

which gave the right of affixing the name of the magis rate on the stamps. V.V.Schkorpi mas the first to state the idea at that this function was exercized by the keramarch 194
who was chose from among the potters, who comprised a special guild on Thasos. And at the same time he mask distinguished between eponyms and keramarchs. B.N.Grakov accepted this hypothesis of "guild unification," but recognized the keramarch to be an 195
eponymous official. In his late works he defended this point of view and regarded the keramarch who was elected for a year as the "eponym of his productive-religious 196
union".

The question is highly debatable because we know of very few stemps of heramarchs.

197

In precie reproductions only two stamps are known:

(he gives readings)

.33)

198

Beside these two, V.V.SCHKORPIL published 2 more stamps:

(he gives 2 more readings which he calls doubtful)

The accuracy of the publ. of the stamps by V.V.S. is very doubtful, inasmuch as it is clear that these 2 stamps are variants of stamp no. 1 and obviously the emblem was placed in them not on the side but also at the bottom. After V.V.S. no one has seen or published these stamps. IIn IOSPE III they are given with a ref. to their publ. One can draw the following conclusions:

- 1. In stamps there are names of only 2 ker.s HYOIRN and HYAAAHZ.
- 2. In stamp no. 1 in all probability the first line had not been preserved and it is an analogy of stamps nos. 3 and 4.
- 3. In all stamps functioning as an emblem we find the arms of Thasos Her. Arch which proves the official character of the dies.
- 4. The name of ΠΥΛΑΛΗΣ stands alone only if the first line had not been lost (while the name of ΠΥΘΙΩΝ is accompanied by the names of 2 potters ΑΜΦΙΚΡΑΤΗΣ and ΜΕΓΑΚΛΕΙΛΗΣ (or ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙΔΗΣ).

list

(p. 33)

One is struck by the unusual nature of this type of stamp, which makes one think of their very extraordinary features, for we know of many more stamps with EHI and the refer to the most various types. The name of hyelf is found only in subgrp Vb and the task nothing in common with the name of the keramarch. As for highertates, a rather we spread name, which however is not met among the names of obvious magistrates, is known only among potters. All that has been said makes the identification of the post of extinct that of keramarch hypothetical in the highest degree. Moreover, it was not always judging from the stamps of Groupd I and III. (Appendix II) that the eponym had his own potters' stamps, i.e. not all magistrates were selected from among the potters, and the speak of some "preductive-religious union" is premature. The keramarch was rather so sort of special magistrate the exercise of whose functions lasted for a period of religious small extent as for instance the "esimmat" in Sinope. The says this is definite not the word astynomos. Its official character cannot be doubted. It will be more prudent for the time being to leave the question open.

22.XII.73

### Group IV

P.34

rp IV is repres. by 3 subgrps (IVa, IVb, IVc). Typologically they seem too heterogeneous to make it possible to unite them into a single whole. However in the general list of potters, some complexes and other factors confirm their chronological similarity.

Subgrp IVa contains seals with 2 names and an emblem. Their char. feature is the position of the ethnic: it is located on both sides of the emblem, sts in lines, that is as though it had been broken by it (emblem) (Pl. VII, 4), and sts it forms who was seem to be 2 cols. along the short sides of the frame, with the letters going retraction As a rule the ethnic is abbrev. to 4 letters:  $\Theta A \Sigma I$ . The emblems are of the most varied types (Appendix V). The whole subgrp is unified around a single name,  $AAFETA\Sigma$ 

The originality of the type, and the accompanying lists of the names of the potters permit us to regard ΛΑΓΕΤΑΣ as an eponym. It is possibile that he had his own shop even during the times of the late stage of Grp III, but tere is no complete certainty of 201 this. [His stamp with ΔΑΜ... (IOSPE III, no. 936) is poorly preserved and can be completely referred to Grp IV.]

Subgrp IVb is dist. from IVa by the absence of an ethnic, but it resembles it by having 2 names one of which is a magistrate's. Only in the case of a few eponyms are the names abbreviated, usually they are written out in full. The stamps of APIETOKPATHE are distinguished by the permanent retrogradeness of the inserts. The legent is placed usual on both sides of the emblem, Sts. (as in the stamps of NIKIAE) the 2nd name is upside down. In some stamps the legent is placed along 4 sides as in the stamps of Grp III, only with the difference that the ethnic is missing. On the dies of APIETOKPATHE both names are placed occasionally together above the emblem (Pl.VII, 5). These stamps contain a unique ex. of an additional symbol: an "englyphically" executed club is placed in the field of the stamp at the bottom, to the left of the basic emblem - a jug. the resumbles it is supposed to the the one just referred to, but we cannot see any club.

The depictions in this subgrp are highly varied (Appendix V), they are not united around subject cycles. The potters do not have stable amblems. The repetition of the names of the potters and the originality of the emblems of the eponyms permit the compiling of a list of the ep. magistrates. They are APIETOKPA(THE), NIKIAE, THAE PANHE, 202

AAMIOE, tread PAMIOE by the Bonj, HANDAHE, PIAOK[PATHE], BIEN. The recent discovery cof a stamp with the name of the last eponym shows the possibility of broadening this list, in time.

Subgrp IVe unites the most different stamps with one char. common to them all the presence of a single name accompanied by the ethnic. The legend is placed on 4, less
frequently 3 sides of the frame. In exceptional cases with the names AAKAM[ENHZ],
IHIIIAHE, KPINIE, META(KAHE), the inscription is placed in 2 lines above or below the
emblem. The ethnic has the form GAZION, less frequently GAZION, only in one instance

.34)

with the name ΓΛΑΥΚΩΝ - ΘΑΣΙΟΣ. The emblems are varied (Appendix V), with ΘΡΑΣΩΝΙΔΗΣ. HPO DON, and TIMAPXIAAE instead of emblems there are letters. It is difficult to determine where the majority of the names of this grp belong. THAE PANHE undoubtedly is an ep. judging from the preposition EIII. His emblems are of the most varied subjects. Probably these stamps belong to the same year as his stamps of sabgrp IVb in which the name of the potter is present. Most likely these are dies from his own shop. Some names of stamps of this subgrp coincide with the names of the eponyms or potters of subgrp // IVb (Appendix II), however when we consider the custom of selecting eponyms from among the potters, I refrain from identifying them. Probably HPAKAEITOE wd have been a magistrate with his emblems in the form of a bow with an arrow or with a quiver, and with a supplementary letter of the alphabet at the side. This can be attested to by his s emblems which are attributes of Herakles, and which emerge (as was the case with the exten stamps of EATYPOE from subgrp IIb) as "arms parlants". In that case the additional lette sould signify the potters who worked under HPAKAEITOE. However all of this does not go beyond the scope of hypotheses. In all probability the majority of the names of this subgrp shd be ascribed to potters.

Thus as we see the whole of grp IV is of various types. Nevertheless we can unite it into a single period in view of many consuderations. The chrimological completeness of subgrp IVb is remarkably attested to by several unpublished complexes (Appendix I, nos. 13-15). Thus far there have not been found in reliable complexes any atamps of AAFETAE, however because of the coincidence of typical patters names (HPAKAEITOE, MEFEN, HYAAAHE) and of the script, he must be placed in the same cagegory as the other stamps of this grp. Probably he like THAEPANHE was one of the early eponyms of this period. Typologically his dies originate from the stamps of Grp III, but in them we seed applied the original positioning of the ethnic on both sides of the emblem. As a next step possibly, there occurred a complete rejection of the ethnic with APIETOKPATHE, NIKIAE, and the others. The stamps of THAEPANHE, HPAKAEITOE and the other persons of subgrp IVc are direct analogies of the stamps of Grp III in view of the position of the legenwand probably relate to a time when the latter still existed.

me of the

.35)

The complexes referred to above reliably date Grp IV at a time around 350-330 B.C that is, at the period at the end of Grp III and the beginning of Grp V. This position of Grp IV we seem to be indicated as also by the coincidences of the names of the potter.

(table, with these haedings: Grps and subgrps
General no. of potters in the grp
(in 2 cols.)

No. of coincidences with Grp IV
Percentage of coincidences

The highest percentage of coincidences occurs in the late stage of Group 3. The great no. of coincidences with subgrp 5a can be explained obviously by the small no. of its potters (34).

The sorapt of the stamps of Grp 4 fully corresponds to the script of the end of G and the beg. of Grp 5 (intex Pl. III) nextex. One can observe a gradual lengthening of the letters (sigma) and their widening (gamma). Especially notable is the form nu with parallel strokes which appear completely vertical. A small no. of names permits to make only slightly meaningful observations about the orthography. Alongside old Ionic forms (APIETAPOPHE) forms of Attic koine (NIKIAE) predominate. As far as develokable is concerned it is possible that we see it in the dissimulation of HANPAHE. It interesting to note the very perceptible Doris influence (AAFETAE, TIMAPKIAA (sic)) - 2 names in 30 and at the same time one of them is that of a magistrate, while in Grp 3 the Doric forms are found in \$5 names out of 200. Several clarifications of the generating of Grp 4 in view of historical background are given below.

#### Group 5

Grp 5 unites stamps of one type which contain one name and the ethnic placed on 2 opposite sides of the frame above and below the emblem.

Subgrp 5a which was distinguished for the first time by VG is distinguished from all of the rest of Grp 5 by a characteristic feature - in the stamp to the side of the emblem there is a sign which resembles the letter C or a "phiale". F. Salviat convincingly demonstrated recently the fact that the last symbol belongs to the astral cults. This is well confirmed by the fact that in Subgrp 5 a star sts appears, either 206 alone or accompanied by signs in the form of little balls. VG assumes that in this

36

occurs

grp the ethnic stands solely in the form GAZION and considers it a manifestation of numbers as of "nationalistic archaism". On this basis she attributes similar dies to the most ancient (a period before the acceptance on Thasks of the Ionic alphabet). One cannot help but be struck by the unfoundedness of such a dating. In the first place, in stamps of this type the ethnic very often occurs in the form GAZION; in the second place, as we have already said, the form GAZION occurs as a neuter adjective, and there is no reason to speak of any substitution of an omigron for an omega.

In the symbol shaped like a "phiale" WG found a tie with Delphi and explained it by the fact that the supreme magistrates of Thasos were Theorem whose dependence on the 207 shrine of Pythian Apollo was deeply felt. However after the work of F. Salviat the possibility of such an explanation no longer existed consequently rejected her ascription 208 of these stamps to the theorem theorem. Nevertheless one must agree with her idea that the additional symbols had a magisterial significance. Arbitrarily one may subdivide the additional emblems into 3 types: 1) the astral sign, 2) the star, 3) the star and the pellets. As an hypothesis one can connect these three types with three different eponyms. The coincidences in the lists of names of potters of subgrp 5a and the other groups look as follows:

(table, with same headings as on p.35)

The highest percentage of coincidences occurs in the late period of "rp 3 and in subgrp 5b; the small no. of coinc. with rp 4 can be explained in all probability by the insignificant no. of potters. The script confirms placing subgrp 5a together with Grp 4 (Pl.III). One can observe a further change in the forms of the letters in cf. wit Grp 3: the whiskers of kappa get longer, nu is always vertical, the final lines of the sigma become almost parallel, the form of xi is char, and found only within the limits of the 4th century B.C.

In the orthography we can observe the same features we saw in  ${}^{G}$ rp 4. Alongside of Ionic forms (NAYZANIHZ) occur oscillations toward Attic koine:  $EY\Phi PH(N\Omega P) - EY\Phi PAN(\Omega P)$ 

.36)

966]

356 j

(p.36)

and features of developed koine: NIKOE(TPATOE) - NIKGE(TPATOE). The form KAAAIA

[No ref.] represents an abbreviation rather than a Doric genitive. The comparatively
early dating of Grp 5 is dictated also by the Pavlosk Mound (Appendix I, no. 10) with
its rather broad frame which still do not permit us to refer to stamps of subgrp 5a
were outside
which are found there within the limits of the 4th century BC. [But note that the oh
types from "Grp 5" are the phiale and star types; all others are 2-name types.]

p.37

One must definitely object to the typelogical breaking of subgrp 5a from the who 4? shd it be (Dick) of Grp 5. Judging from the material which has been presented, it unites only a few perhaps the very earliest. of the early stamps of the whole period. Chronologically it coincides with Grp 4, an only arbitrarily (Appendix II) is it placed after the latter. Typologically it devel most likely out of subgrps 4a and b by means of removing one name small and substituti the ethnic in its place.

The stamps of subgrp 5b are of the same type as the stamps of subgrp 5a but with the astral additional symbols.

The form of the stamps of grp 5 is for the most part rectangular, only rarely al distinguishmseven locates the square stamps in a special class. Sts. an overly long name does not fit along the side of a die, and goes on to the oth individual side at rt angles. In much cases, such a placing of the inscription is deliberate an concerns not only the name but also the ethnic, although there is enough space. often the name is inverted in relation to the athmic, rarely one or both inscriptions In the majority of cases the bames are given in full, only rarely executed retr. abbreviations admitted (Appendix). Not infrequently wire beside the emblem are found monograms, and at the same time in the case of some names they are repeated unchanged with various symbols. The meaning of these permits us to determine those stamps who instead of individual individual letters and monograms there occur whole sylables -KAEO, HIH, so that the impression is created that these stamps relate not to the 5th doubts. It is interesting to note the similarity of the stamp

Many man

requires us to refer this stamp to Group 5. This case and also the repretition of monograms with one and the same names rakes the only possible interpretation of similar as additional letters and combinations of letters and the thex indicating the patronymics of those persons whose names occupy the basic position on the stamps. In Such a solution 213 is confirmed also by the stamps of APIETOKAHZ with the patron. THAAMAXOY, placed in a line directly under the name. In the case when similar names appear with varying monograms, one must see in the bearers of these names different persons.

Emblems with one name as a rule exe can bexieve vary greatly. The unusual abundance of emblems has made many scholars regard them as borrowings from coins. Although one may regard many similar attributions sceptically, nevertheless one must not the amasing similarity of dome emblems with numismatic symbols. Thus for ex. the vine hung with clusters of grapes in the stamp of APIETOAIKOE in the smallest details repeats a fagure found in the coins of neighboring Maroneia; the dancer with kalathos in the stamp of KPINIZ is borrowed from a coin of Abdera. It seems to me that one shd look for analogies in numismatics only of cities located hearby, and not turn to examples from centers located over thousands of versts from Thasbs. Sts. emblems of stamps borrow statuary subjects: thas for ex. the sphinx in the stamp of the same KPINIZ in all probability are copies from the famed Delphic column of in which one is also convinced by the way the base is depicted; perhaps however one shd see here the influence of Chios.

Highly important is the question of the meaning of the names and the emblems in this group. Most scholars rightly consider the names on the stamps of 'rp 5 as the name of the potter. This is undoubtedly preven by the lists of names and the general trend of development of the type of the Thasian stamps and its components. More complicated is the problem man of the emblem. B.N.Grakov in his time expressed the idea that it represents in those stamps the eponym-keramarch and that like emblems correspond to a simple mention of this in the limit of the treating that he, like the primary sounds. Mirror a confirmation of this in the

.38

37)

abbreviations, letters, and monograms after the name of the potter, the meaning of whi has just been discussed. As still another argument, the Bulgarian scholar presented to stamps where instead of emblems we have letters which he considers to be the initials the eponyms. B.N.Grakov assumed that they could indicate the year of the potter's work

However neither of these proposals finds confirmation in the facts. If the letters-emblem indicated the year, how are we to explain those cases when in the stamp there occurs not a separate letter but a monogram? On the other hand against the identification of the letter with an imitial can be attested to in one. in which it is perfectly obvious that a koppa occurs. Inasmuch as it is obvio that not even the most daring maps adherent of "nationalistic archaism" wd begin his na with a letter which had gone out of use already in the 1st half of the 5th c. B.C., the hypothesis of the initials cannot stand. Moreover one's attention is drawn to the fact that letter-emblems were placed by only 2 potters - KAEITOE and HOAYNGIKHE, but on the other hand they were maintained by them constantly, and they did not use other emblems. This wd make one think that the letters were chosen according to the taste of the potte himself and had no other significance except that of an emblem as such. This wd als seem to be indicated by the double symbols which M. Miroev defines as follows: a large emblem, an epenym, a small one mus a potter. But to whom shd we refer the large emb of the potter NOAYAN / - a male bust with outstretched arm which we find exclusively in his stamps (he used no other) accompanied by various additional symbols (a star, a wing, a bird, a vase, etc.)? Following the preinciple of the composition of the stamps of Grp 3, we must see that HOAYAN was a magistrate, but inasmuch as this contradicts the system of stamping of Grp 5, there remains only one solution: during this period the potter used emblems at his own discretion. In those cases when in this group a coinage emblem occurs, even if it be the crest of the city itself, (, . . . . Herakles Arche also with various additional symbols - ear of corn, a kantharos. ] for the time being we can see no indications of the presence of a magistrate and supplementary symbols can have some other meaning which may be hypothetically defined as for ex. the trademarks of individual masters or something else of the sort. It is possible that future

(p.38) p.39 very special type of magistrates that were introduced for some short period. But this will hardly disturb our ideas of the main principle of composition of the stamps of Grp 5 which proceeds from the general development of the potter's trade on Thasos.

These ideas might find a contradiction in the discovery of several stamps with a thyre and various names of potters in the Pergamon complex, iB.M.Grakov explains this by as the bourowing of the emblem by various potters from one another. I however the thyr is so widespread an emblem in all the groups, and especiall in Grp 5 that here it may simply an accidental coincidence. In any case to bill draw typological conclusions based on the date of a complex which has as the scape of its chronological framework about 40 years is altogether hazardous.

No less difficult is the question of the chronology of Group 5. The transition t dies with one name and the ethnic VG connected with the Macedonian conquest of Thases in 340 BC which brought about acc. to her suppositions some changes in the administra-This hypothesis was adopted by I.B. Brashinsky. tive structure. Despite the highly problematical char. of the question of the Macedonian conquest, one may accept 340 BC as a certain arbitrary boundary in the change of the system in stamping. Acc. to the proposed scheme of the development of the types, into this period falls the second transitional period to which can be referred the stamps of the whole of Group 4 and of subgrp ba. robable administrative changes which in my opinion took place gradually were reflected in the inconstant nature of the types of stamps of these grounds and led ultimately to the third stable period (Subgrp 5b). While I espouse the thesis of the eponymous char. of Grps 4 and Sa, and bear in mind the possibility of finding n eponyms in Grp 4b, I allot to both a period of approx. 20 years: 340-320 BC. same time I am aware of the arbitrariness of such a designation inasmuch as some epony especially those of Grp 4 ed have occasted with the eponyms of Grp 3. Assuming at th same time the presence in subgrp 4c of potterse stamps, one must note that a certain n ber of the stamps of subgrp 5b (i.e. those withput astral symbols) in all probability as well coincide with the afbrementa oned 20-year period, i.e. the "potters " principle

1

p. 39) coexisted for some time alongside the "eponyms " paring.

40

24 .XII.73

Some confirmation of the proposed dating of Grps 4 and 5a is given by a list of stamps from Alexandria, where up to now not a single similar die has been found. Stamps 234 235 respectively from Seuthopolis and Kabile, founded EMEXEMPERATERY after 359 and 24 342/1, confirm the chronological determination for the lowest limit of subgrp 5b, inasmuch as stamps prededing this group at any rate in Kabile (stamps of Seuthopolis are completely unpublished) have not been found. Well-dated complexes from the Ziminskii and Plovdivskii mounds, from the Elizavetovskii and Nikolaevskii cemeteries (Appendix I, no. 20, 21, 24 25) show the existence of this type during the whole of the first half of the 3rd c. B. The dat of the end of the groups as well as of all of the stamping of Thasos, is difficated determine. The presence of Thasian stamps in the Pergamon complex and in the coll. of amphoras of Villanova and the relatively small number of them there in cf. with Rhod indicate a time around 200 B.C2 as the most probable upper limit of Thas ian stamping in general and of Grp 5 in particular.

But such an enormous in of. with all the preceding grps. - aprrox. 140 years - car hardly satisfy the archaeologists and therefore the next tack for scholars must be the stages further breakdown of Grp 5 into a series of smaller and more limited in time. However here we are at once confronted with a series of difficulties. The chief one of these consists in the fact that in such a large segment of time - almost a cent. and 1/2 - homonyms can have appeared. One must bear in mind that the potter (as dist. from the ed have worked for a stimificant no. of years. Nevertheless if one is to take such a 237 frequently occurring name as HYEISM, [In the cat. of Bon one can count 60 stamps with this name. . . . ], one can observe that it is in encountered on stamps from the mound of Nikolaevka and in the famed Pergamon cellar - complexes separated one from the other by half a cent. and hardly belonging to one and the same person.

of the case

.40)

For the time being the only real means of astablishing chronol. precision is to break down the various stages acc. to specific characteristics of the script. In this way probably to separate the homonyms and to determine whether the various emblems belong to one or to several potters. At the same time one must guide oneself not only on the external features of the various letters but one must study all of the alphabet as a whole 238

Thus 2 forms of sigma - angular and lunate - are sts found in a single die. I do not take it on myself here to solve such a task inasmuch as it requires research in the originals of a great no. of inscriptions. As a first attempt one can only separate a series of names which undoubtedly must relate still to the 4th c. B.C. Here is a far from complete list of them: APIETOTEAHE, KAAMOE, KAEITOE, KPINIE, NAYERN, HOAYNEIKHE, TIMOKAHE

The paleography of the early stage (Pl.III) is very close to the script of Grps 4 and 5a. This is especially noticeable in the forms of the letters kappa, nu, xi, sigma. At the same time mu with parallel absolutely vert. strokes, a certain restlessness in inscribing the omega, set the stamps of this stage in close connection w. subgrp 5b. Charact. of it are completely varying forms of letters which are altogether typical in developed Hellenism, both in ceramic and in monumental epigraphy and not only on Thasos but /everywhere else as well. Pi acquires the features of a cursive letter which is common on papyri, the cross stroke of the alpha at first bends and then breaks, epsilor sigma, and omega not infrequently appear in lunate cursive forms, the point of theta yields to a cross bar, xi on the other hand loses its vertical stroke.

The dialect of the inscriptions of "rp 5 - a fixed Attic koine with the characterist: substitution of A for Eta in certain positions: AINEAE, KPITGAE, XAIPEAE, and names ending in AFOPAE (AIAFOPAE, HPAFOPAE, etc.) exclusively. It is also observed in the inflections of names ending in KAHE (TIMOKAEOYE). Indicisma are rather rare but they do cour: MAYEANIHE (but also MAYEANIAE), MPHEIMOAIE, MPHEHNAE, APIETOKAEYE (this can also the personal ending of the nominative), AEIALKOE - AEALKOE (of. Grp 3). Together with this one can see the influence of developed koine of the Hellenistic period: in a large no. of names which end in KAEIAHE, there is also HPAKAIAHE; the appearance of iota in

p. 40) intravocal non-constant position (ΠΑΜΦΑΗΣ - ΠΑΜΦΑΙΗΣ); dissimilation (ΠΑΝΦΑΗΣ); haple graphy i?] of soments - a phenomenon which is frequently encountered in the Hell. period in ceramic inscriptions and papyri (ΜΑΛΙΣΝ); a reducing of the sound "O" under the infect of the minimized double-assimilative action of ypsilon (ΠΟΛΥΑΛΗΣ - ΠΟΥΛΥΑΛΗΣ). The number of Doricisms is greater than in Grp \$3.5: ΑΘΑΝΑΛΗΣ) (but also ΑΘΗΝΑΛΗΣ), ΑΚΑΡΝΑΝ, ΑΛΚΕ ΑΡΚΕΣΙΛΑΣ, Η ΙΕΡΟΙΤΑΣ (subjected to the infl. of the koine), ΑΕΘΛΑΜΑΣ, ΜΑΤΡΟΒΙΟΥ. The ethnic in the majority in the majority of cases ends in ΩN, endings of the neuter are known in doubtful instances. It is obvious that this form of the ethnic ceases to exist in subgrp 5a.

### Group 6 (wheel-shaped stamps)

.41

The question of wheel-shaped stamps is highly disputed. At the present time most 240 scholars are inclined to refer them to Thasian stamps. Actually the clay of the amprovement of the stamps has much in common with the wellkn. Thasian clay and differe from it only by a reddish brown tint and a coarses manufacture. The handles of the amphoras are more massive and flatter. Unformunately I am not acquainted with a single whole amphorate with such a stamp: B.N.Grakov reveals in IOSPE III that he had seen the only complete example in the Yalta museum.

The majority of stamps represent latters included between the spokes of the wheel. Most frequently such a wheel has 4 spokes and a rim which is differentiated from the first of the fie/ For the most part the letters are placed in lines, however we do encounter ation radial orientatus - with the tops toward the axis. Much more infrequent is a stamp that 3 spokes, in which case the positioning of the letters is exclusively radias. It is only extremely rarely that one encounters a wheel with 5 strakes, in it most frequently is encountered the name XAPIE. Sts. in a round stamp there are no spokes at all and position of the letters is more or less free. A. Balkanska—thought that the very for the stamp imitates the ancient form of theta which points to the name of the city of Thases. I.B.Brashinsky justly subjected this hypotheses to criticism by noting that the whoels with 3 and 5 strokes. In my opinion the form of these stamps is most likely

. 41) borrowed from numismatics where a reverse with a wheel and letters on it is met rather frequently.

In the sections between the spokes stand the most varied letters, sts. joining in 244
to make sense - XAPIE, MEA. B.N.Grakov directed out attention to the ligature with in frequently mot and the parallel grp of letters formed from H and X which stand in marine different sections; he correctly interpreted these signs as number designations acc. to the acrophomic system (equals five thousand) and advanced the hypothesis acc. to which such stamps were intended for special purposes, for ex. for the exporting on a single occasion of a large shipment of wine. On the basis of the unity of the type, B.N.Grakov referred them to a small interval of time, possibly to a single year. I.B.Brashinsky is also inclined to relate them to a single or several years. He thinks that wheelshaped stamps are a turning point in Thasian stamping after which they returned to an of but altered type of stamps; this event he connects with the Macedonian conquest of the city in 340.

Accepting the hypotheses of the special purpose of these stamps I feel it necessary to make several observations. In the first place, as has been shown above, these stamps are not all that similar to each other and it is perhaps possible to single out a series of types among them. It is most likely that they are not the output of a single year but a series of impulse emissions separated perhaps by several years. In the second plain advancing the hypothesis of a turning point in the stamping on the basis of the introduction of wheel-shaped stamps, I. B. Brashinsky thereby rejects the possibility of the coefistence of these stamps of with the stamps of other groups. Putting the question the way seems slightly disputable. Wheel-shaped stamps differ sharply in type from all the rest which proves their special function and at the same time the parallel existence with stamps of the basic types.

One can accept the dating of this group at a time around 330 B.C. and somewhat late This dating is also borne out by the script of the wheel shaped seals which finds a correspondence of Grps 4, 5a, and 5b of the early stage (Pl.HII). At the same time

42

the appearance of apices and the embellishment of the letters with a "swallowtail" bring the script of the wheelshaped stamps into close contact with the padeography of the secontage of Grp 5b, i.e. at the boundary of the 4th and 3rd centuries B.C. Probably wheelshaped stamps are located in the 2/2 of the 4th century B.C. arounf \$40 B.C. This is a also donfirmed by the fact that they were found in Scuthopolis and Kabile which sprang up at precisely this time. The meaning of most of the letters is unclear, they are probable the initials of potters or magistrates. It is possible that subsequent finds will require to change our ideas about these stamps as being Thasian and will permit us to ascribe them to another locality.

#### Group 7

42)

The dies of Grp 7 contain an emblem and one name variously placed (Appendix 7). The inscriptions is abbreviated only rarely. The emblem is almost always a single one, in The rare instances there are 2. \*\* selection of symbols is rather large, sts (as in the case of the lion of NANTEMIAHS) they reproduce statuary monuments.

One must admit that most of these stamps are referred to Thasos only hypothetically and exclusively on the basis of studying the make-up of the clay of the amphoras. The names except for 2 or 3 are generally widespread and do not correspond to Thasian names. On the contrary the name HANTEMIAER which occurs in several stamps is attested to in a conformal of Oisyme. This makes are wonder whether or not many such stamps belong to the "orbit" IX of Thasos. In this connection the stamps of EYANGHE are interesting (Pl.//, 4). They all have a club in the middle, the inscription is enstered acc. to the boustrophedon method, but in no case can one speak of any great antiquity; such a manner of positions functions here rather as obnamentation. After inspecting an amphora with such a stamp from the wellknown Nymphaion, I came to the conclusion that owing to similarity in slightest details of the clay, of the shape of the amphora and the satmp, and even the fashioning of the surface, it had to be recognized as Thasian. However a stamp which originates from Hermomassa (so far impublished) are of the same type with the name EYANG

we distant

42)

and a club but more co reely executed, the clay of the emphora has nothing in common with Thasian clay. On the break, the clay is coarse-grained, raspberry-colored and does not contain the slightest trace of mica, and at the same time with a great no. of lime formations and quartz inclusions. Such a clay mass cannot originate in any clay deposit of the island, inasmuch as it does not have even the remotest analogies in the clay of Thasian vessels. We can only assume one thing: the stamp of EYANOHE was so widespread it on Thased that they adopted without changing in the slightest in other regions, most likely in direct proximity with the island.

The general chronology of the group cannot be elaborated since all the stamps in it are of the same date. There are only 2 ways to approach the dating: stratigraphically and paleographically. At the same time an individual approach to each stamp is exsential. Stratigraphic data is the surest of all. For ex. the amphora with the EYANOHE stamp was found in a complex of the 1/4 of the 4th c. B.C. at most likely ca. 370 B.C. Several states at the surest of all. Pergamon complex, they are dated at the end of the 3rd century B.C.

As far as the meaning of the names and emblems is concerned, the most probable hypothesis is that these are potters stamps.

### Group 8 (anepigraphic)

The last group is made up of stamps without any inscription. Here we come up against the same difficulties of attribution as in Grp. 7. The clay of several groups of amphoras ("goblet-shaped Type Solokh-I" / , Mendean) brings to mind at once Thasian we must not doubt clay, hence errors are possible. Mevertheless in the existence of this group of Thasian stamps, especially when we bear in mind the find of an amphora from Merch, on one handle 246 of which was a stamp of Grp I and on the other an anepigraphic stamp, a turtle.

D.B.Shelov who was the first to distinguish this group associates only a few ex.s with it, almost all are square dies with varying depictions: prime bearded head in pilos, turtle, 247 etc.

B.N.Grakov who came to the idea of classifying such stamps at the same time regards them as a supplement to Grp I. Later discoveries enabled us to introduce

43)

corrections and additions to his thesis. In 1965 in the necropolis of Nikolaevka, was found a whole Thasian amphora with a stamp of Subgrp 4b (Appendix I, no. 14). On the 249 other handle was an uninscribed stamp: in the round die a rosette or star. Thus one may assert that the custom of affixing uninscribed stamps on the other handle survive until the time of the existence of Grp 4, i.e. until 340-320 B.C. However this find is not unique, hence the majority of such stamps must actually be referred to the time of Group I.

Uninscribed Tassian stamps usually have a square shape, sts. trapszoidal (Pl.IV,8). analogins One can include in this group analogical stamps but with emblems as letters. Such stamps in the strict sense of the wood are not uninscribed but undoubtedly they fulfil the same functions. In those cases where only one stamp appears on the handle of an amphora, one can assume by analogy with the Aeroh amphora mentioned above that the e was a stamp of rp I on the other handle. The custom of such stamping coesisted with the custom of place both stamps on one handle (Subgrp 1d). Such combinations permit us to refer to many uninscribed stamps which have been met separately to Thasian stamps. They are depiction of the head of a bearded Dionysos (?) in an ivy orown (Pl.4, 10) which are found in the stamps of XAPEN: a turtle (Pl.4, 9), which is also known on the amphora of the eponym ΛΕΩΝΙΛΑΣ: a theta, which coincides even in form with the letters of the suppl. stam with the stamp of AIAPHE (Pl.4, 8), etc. One can only guess about the function of such stamps. Judging from the fact that of for ex. the suppl. theta on the stamps of AIAPHE combines with the names of various potters, these stamps cannot be sonnected with potter and are some sort of very special symbols.

In all probability they originated from the same ancient uninscrobed stamps which were used as early as the 6th and 5th c. RES B.C. before the appearance of regular 251 stamping. [See for ex. the rosette-shaped stamp from the archaic layers of Olbia Olbia Temenos and Agora . . .] Connected with them possibly are both Thesian stamps (judging from the clay) which were imprinted by special stamps (PIIX, 6, 9) and gems. Some gems have an archaic appearance, however one must not forget that integlios made from precious stones or metal could have survived many centuries.

Mark Today

- hypothetical, and dating them acc. to style unreliable.
- In conclusion, I wd like to note that the present article is only the first stage of research on Thasian stamps. The next step must be the historical analysis of the classified material, and the creation on the basis of the data above all of ceramic epigraphy, as well as of the numerous references by ancient authors and of the legislation unique in the Hellenic world on the wine trade, of a work on the history of the production of wine, ceramic containers and the wine trade of the island of Thasos in the late classical and Hellenistic periods. Such a work the wuthor happen to put out in the future.

0.44

When this work had already been sent to press, a new article by VG and MEN appeared that dealt with the stamps from the Maison des Comediens quarter of the The work was preceded by a theoretical outline touching Fr. excav. on Delos. on general problems of amphoras as a whole, on the methods of chronology, and the attribution of stamps, their contemts, on the stamping instruments used for the stamps, on the amphora stamps at Delos, etc. In addition, the conjunt and chronology of the stamps of this center are considered in the introductions to the descriptions of each group. This publication is distinguished by its great thoroughness and scrupulousness. The Thases stemps have been published twice in all. In the foreword to this part, the authors repeat a number of the views advanced in earlier works by VG, on which there is no need to dwell here. There are however also some new remarks. For example, this investigator has arrived at the conclusion, which we have also come to (see above, p. 37), that in the stamps with one name and ethnic (our Group V) the abbreviations stand for patronymics. Unlike the previously suggested transcription of the ethnic GAZION as a genetive plural, the authors now believe that even in the very late stamps they have published, the ethnic GAZIGN should be read as nominative singular neuter. Our criticism of such a hypothesis was presented above. Following the previous method of dating separate stamps, the authors also propose their dates far these two stamps from Delos: thear method remains as before, For ex., considering the stamp of the potter APIETODEN with an emblem in the form of an amphora, which has no direct and parallels, even with a soft-clay stamp, they, on the basis of a comparison of the type of the amphora in the emblem with a similar type on a stamp from the Athenian Agora (on which only was emblem was preserved!), date it in the 1st cent. B.C., since the stamp from Athens was found in a complex (evidently in a well), belonging to the time after Sulla. The other stamp, which also has no parallels, in their opinion, should be dated to the 2nd cent. B.C., inasmuchas a stamp by the same potter MEPIETEYE was

(p.44)

found in a well in the Corinthian Agora, dating from the time before 146 B.C. (that is, before the destr. of Corinth by the Romans), whereas the emblem of this stamp (not from Delos, but from Corinth!) - the cornucopia - was found among the symbols of the stamps of the Pergamene complex from the end of the 3rd to beginning of the 2nd centuries B.C. It need not be said that such remote and precarious comparisons make this chronology unreliable. I have already pointed out that we have no information on the stamps of Thasos amphoras dating not only from the 1st but also from the 2nd century B.C.

14.VI.73

M. 69-71

### Vinogradov's article, table pp. 45 ff.,

## Column 4, conditions of finding

Context group 1: 3 Semibratni mound. From a grave with amphora sherds

- 2: From the funeral banquet in the filling of the Zmerleiny Mound. A row of amphoras comprised the lining of the mound, but it is impossible to distinguish these amphoras.
- 2a: Fill of the mound in the Yus-Oba chain. The complex is obviously mixed, funeral banquets were performed over various burials. The central grave was plundered.
- 3: An amphora from Burial 51 in the Olbia necrop.
- A supply of amphoras in the cellar of an Olbia house. 59 amphoras in all.
  - or r.r. line In Stone Grave 1 on Karantinny Highway near Kerch, the so-called 5: mound of Ashik, 1838.
    - 6: Olynthos.
      - Panticapaea mound, Dubois de Montpereux. In a stone grave with 7: 3 skeletons.
      - Baksinski Mound. Circumstances of the find are not clear. Funeral 8: banquet? In the mound undoubtedly at least 2 burials were made.
      - Apollonia Pontica, cemetery, Grave 38. 9:
      - Pavlov mound. 10 amphoras comprised the lining of the mound, 10: the remaining handles - in the funeral banquet (?). There were 2 more burials in the mound. The burial and the fun. Banquet were not of the same date.
      - near The small mound at Solokha, 1962. Both amphoras are from the outer 11: (ditch or trench). The central grave was ribbed. One burial was inserted under the top of the smaller mound, directly into the inner (ditch or trench).
      - In a Thracian mound-stone grave near the village of Kaloyanovo. 12:
      - Kapulovka 1, mound 13, burial 1. On the handle of a complete 13: amphora.
      - Nikolaevka, 1965, necrop., excavation 5, burial 1. On the handle 14: of an intact amphora.

west of the

was the same · Man - Manager -

- Nikolaevka, 1966, excavation VIII, burial 18.
- 16: Alexandria, excavations.
  - Store of amphoras at Villanova on Rhodes. 178
- 18: Fragments of amphoras in the basement of a house in Fergamon.

Context group 19: An intact amphora in a cistern in the Agora of Athens.

- # 20: From the funeral banquet of the fill of the Zelenski mound.
- m un 21: Elizabetinski cemetery, mount no. 4.
- w 22: Mound Kut. in a partially plundered burial.
- # 23: Trekhbratny mounds at Lake Tobechik. In a stepped storeroom with a great quantity of objects.
- " 24: A cupola grave at Plovdiv, in the fill of the mound.
- u 25: Nikolaevka, small grave, 1968. In the funeral banquet of the mound with a plundered catacomb.
- " 26: The Thracian settlement Kabile.
- " 27: Sevtopol. Stamps not published. The preponderance of Group 5b is to be noted.
- # 28: Olbia, 1886, cemetery, storage 41.
- " 29: Probably at the beginning a household but later a refuse pit, in Nympaea.

# Column 5, accompanying material

Cont. grp. 1: gml 1) gold orgaments; 2) a chalcedony gem; 3) an iron sword with a hilt framed with gold, and other things

- 2: 1) shattered amphoras; 2) a redfigured lekythos of Kenophon
- 2a: 1) fr. of rf vessels of the "quick" style; 2) a fr. of a blackgl.
  oinochoe w. decorations of applied clay; 3) fr. of a bl.gl. vessel; 4
  fr. of alabastem; 5) 2 necks of amphoras; 6) Herakleia stamps
  AIONYZIOY and ZTAZIKOPO; 7) the neck of a redgl. vessel w. applied
  white; 8) an iron nail
- a 3/1: 1) a grey clay lekythos; 2) a basin with designs on it; 3) Kerakl.
  amphoras with stamps: (etc., etc.)
- \* 4: Herakl. amphoras with stamps: (etc., etc.)

-1.4

- beads; 5) a bz. plague ornament; 6) a bz. ladle
- 6: nothing
  ythoi was with palmettoes on its neck; 5) a r.f, aryballic lektwitha figure of a doc.

1:1 1500

Carrie Mount

- Cont. grp. 8: In one of the funeral banquets, fr. of an rf krater of Free Style.

  A vase of the same style in the storage.
  - 9: 1) 7), amphoras with and without stamps, but of what type precisely is unclear; 8) an rf oxybaph; 9) a bl.gl. kylix
  - 10: 1) an rf krater; 2) a Sinopean stamp on the handle: (etc.) In storage 3) a rf pelike; 4) a Panticapaeum coin
  - 11: 1) a Herakl. amphora w. the stamp ΕΠΙ ΚΑΡΚΚΥΔΕΙΟΣ around a bunck of grapes; in Burial 1: 2) a bl.gl. kylix
  - 12: 1, 2. gold ornaments; 3-8 iron implements and armor; 9, a bz helmet; 10-15, bz vessels and a lamp; 16, an iron candelabra; 17, 18, a silver vessel and "amplications"; 19, a bz ring; 20, an iron (implement); 21-26, simple clay vessels; 27, a clay amphora; 28, a rf lekane; 29, a bl.gl. aryballos-lekythos; 30, rf skyphoi (2); 31, rf pelike
    - " 13: 1) bl.gl. kylix w. graffito (that's all)
    - " 14: 1) bl.gl. kylix; 2) bl.gl. kantharos; an alabastron
  - 15: 1) bz mirror; 2) bz ladle; 3) paste bead; 4) iron knife w. hilt; 5) bl. gl. bowl; 6) bl.gl. kantharos
  - 16 (nothing)
  - 17: Rh. amphoras of the time of the Perg. complex
  - 18: great quantity of Rh. handles w. stamps
  - 19: various ceramics
  - " 20: 1) great no. of amphora frags. of bl.gl. ceramics; 2) Sinopean stamps of Grps. II and the beg. of HI acc. to B.N.Grakov; 3) pieces of Panathenaic amphora of the archon Neaishmos; in the graves: 4-5, staters of Alexander (terminus post quem, a.c. to B.N.Grakov)
  - # 21: 1) bs bracelet; 2) Bosphoros flagon
    - " 22: 1) bl.gl. kylix w. stamped dec.; 2) bl. gl. bowl
  - 23: 1) gold ornaments, wooden objects; 3) bl.gl. saltcellars; 4) a coin.
    The whole of the material is unpublished.
  - 24: 1) pottery askos
  - 25: handles w. stamps. Sinopean: etc. Chersonesan: etc.
  - 26: nothing
  - m mm 27
    - 28: gr. qu. fr. pottery, among them 1) top pf a thymiaterion; 2) pottery and alabaster alabastra; 3) fr. bl. gl. pelike

AND THE

**山村** 李明明年

" 29: erakl. amphs. w. stamps (etc.) misc. pottery fr.

5.

10.1.74

Vinogradov, p. 58, patch of text.

Appendix 2. The overall concordance table (App.2) shows with xax Xes the combinations of the names of the eponyms and the potters in the various dies of Groups 1-5. In Group 3 (a late stage) and subgrps 4c and 5b are indicated only tho names which appear in the stamps of the other groups indicated in the table. The following means for distinguishing homonyms among the potters as proposed. Proceeding from the fact that the span of activity of the individual producers did not exceed as a rule 30 to 40 years, ceramicists liee. potters | who fall within the limits of this sector of time under various eponyms, are taken to be the same person. On the table this is expressed by a vertical cartouche which embraces groups of the A-combinations. If opposite to one name there appear several cartouc - this corresponds to several potters with the same names. Of course within a sing cartouche there remains the possibility of homonyms occurring, however the percenta of such a possibility is considerably re-uced and in the case of rare names it approached zero.

Appendix 3. The list of devices of ep. MEZ( animals and insects

eagle on serpent

API

spider

0.

cuttlefish, centipede

OPA

. Emetoat

KAEY

dolphin, fish

AYLI

canb, outtlefish

(ZHILA) XYAIT

frog, serpent

HYAA (AHE)

lizard, bee

CM. . .

vegetable world

wreath, flower

(Vinogradov, p.58)

palmette.

MET

amphora, branch, kantharos

API

torch, 2 wreather

KAA

prow, wreath

MEAI

flower

the of the

vessels

pitcher

MET

amphora, branch, kanth.

API

amphora

API

vessel, unclear sign

OLPAZ(SAN)?

vessel

NIK

cult appurtenances etc.

torch, 2 wreaths '

KAA

lyre

KAE

lyra

MYKI

prow, wreath

MEAI

censer, slovel

OPA

censer, trident

,..

mi 11

. 61

HPA

Italics indicate devices which do not enter into the indicated thematic grps.

List of emblems with the op. TEAEA(E)

Heralies Archer, caduceus sea turtão, cad.
mentula, cad.
ivy wreath, cad.
rhyton, cad.

astragalus, cad. krater, cad. male bust, cad. ushabti on a base, cad.

- 74

#### APPENDIX 5

#### List of names and devices of Grp. 4

### Subgrp 4a

**AAPETA** 

METON

sickle, leaf

HAYEANI (HE)

wheel

ΠΕΡΙΘΥΜΟΣ

boukranion

SHAA. YIT

caduceus

NYMOON

goose

MEΛΙΝΟΣ

palmette

HPAKALEIJTLOE thyrsos

VE JAKEN

dolphin

## Subgrp. 4b

APIETO(K(P(A(THE)

 $\Delta$  [HMA]  $\Lambda$ K(H $\Sigma$ )

dolphin

ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙΤΟΣ

kantharos

HPAKAEIT (2)

horn

METAKA(HE)

lekythos, club (englyphic)

ZOZZCM

wheel 1

ΙΙΥΛΑΔΙΙΣ

club

ZIMAAIRN

amphora

APIZTATOPHE

herm

I JAALYKSIN

horm

ZIMANIGN

dolphin

NIKIAE

GAELSNI

ant

HPAKAEIAHZ

female head

SOATEJOED

crab

SPALLENIALL

bird? hand

METEN EIMANION HPTISN

kantharos fish

AHMAAKHE

flower \*locust? . 61) (Subgrp 4b)

PAME SAHT

potter

dinice

ΗΡΑΚΑΞΊΤΟΣ

owl

ΔΗΜΑΛΚΗΣ

sphinx

MELIEN

conser

ΛΑΜΙΟΣ

ΣΑΤΥΡΟΣ

2 torches

ΠΑΝΦΑΗΣ

AABLPO(E)?

kantharos

METQIN

dolphin

₽IAOK LPATHE

ΣΚΥΜΙΝΟΣ

caduceus

BIGN

METON

(rubbed out)

Subgrp. 40

AAKAM LENHE

amphora, caduceus

APIETATO(PHE

sword

APIETIMOE

kantharos

ΑΡΙΣΤΟΚΛΗΣ

fish

ΑΡΙΣΤΟΚΡΑΤΗΣ

scarab helmet

APIZTOMENHE

wheel, 4 spokes

**LVAAK**SN

mouse

HPAKAEIA (HZ)

kantheros

HPAKAEITO( 2

hook

bow and arrow, suppl. letters bow and quiver, suppl. letters

scarab

HPO ON

.62

the letters Z or N

12(2)

vessel

OPAZON(I(AHZ

letter I

mirror

bunch of grapes

ΙΙΠΙΔΗΣ

kantharos

1.77

. ΚΑΛΛΙΙΚΡΙΑΤΗΣ

2)

butting bull

KAA(A)1980

labrys

KPINIΣ

rooster

AERL DA INHE

pilos, boukranion

ΛΕΩΦΑΝΤΟ(Σ)

club

META(KAEIAHZ) ?

pitcher

ΜΕΓΩΝΟΣ

leaf

METSH

vessel

MINH] ZIM(AXOZ†?

labrys

ΙΙΥΛΑΔΗΣ:

wing

THAEMAXOE

triskeles unclear bucket

TIMAPXIAA

letters A, I, K, M, N, E, O, II, T, # P

EHI THAE DANEOZ

amphora
boukranion
bunch of grapes
caduceus
cad., halfmoon

lightning (thunderbolt)

goat
palmette
dog
unclear
dolphin
helmet

4 th.