

VRG_Folder_0601

1
CONTENTS OF VG FILE

KNIDIAN : VIC

PHOTOCOPIED BY KATHY DAVIS 28.III.94

FOR AMPHORA PROJECT

Number	Fabricant + device	Eponyme I Lat.	Eponyme VT early	HT	diam.	#H	capacity	"Revised" - see SS cards
Alex. Mus. no. 11,792	Δὲ ράβδ + πρῶν	Ἐρποκλῆς. (Εἰρὸκλῆς)		.88+	.33	.28	28,360	
SS 6599 (C 9:7)	Δὲ ράβδ + hook and object		Ἐρσιφῶν ✓	.923	.337	.275 .285	31,225	32,250 31,360
SS 3219 (C 9:2)	Διονύσιος + device	Διοκλῆς		.94	.337	.305	29,875	30,500 or 30,900
SS 6610 (C 9:7)	Διονύσιος		Ἐπαρχος Διονυσίου	.902+	.319	.28	31,025	31,650 or 31,200

MAC

By above, or by what method, "revised"
19.VT 90 These are new measurements,
 by Mac (etc.)

The revised figure also shows an increase. (He did not measure
 SS 6599, because
 it was on
 exhibited.)

19.VT 90
 30.VT 88

shd
antid)



"H. 28" ? mm ?



SS 6599

H. 275.285



SS 3219

H. 305



SmS

Sma

very elegantly made ↑ XXI - 6

SS 6610

H. 28 (PH?)

Effect of control by 26/5/51
is better than 26/5/51 and 27/5/51



"H H .28" ? man ?

3.026

Arves, of 1p or 2

(Ams)



SS 6599.

Ht .275, 285

AP 6001 - 2001

Apr 1901

3.036



3.046

NIKO III 60



SS 3219 .

3.056



Sma

Sma

very slightly smeared ↑ XXI - 6

SS 6610

HH . 28 (PH?)

2 3

American School of Classical Studies
54 Souidias Street
106-76 Athens

May 27, 1988

Mrs. Angeliki Yiannikouri
Ministry of Culture and Science
Archaeological Institute of the Dodecanese
Rhodes

Dear Mrs. Yiannikouri,

Miss Grace has asked me to reply to your letter of January 28, 1988. She sends many thanks for the photographs of the amphora A558. She had not meant to ask for them, but to welcome their appearance in a publication.

On ΙΙΙΙΟΚΡΑΤΗΣ, Mrs. Philimonou-Tsopotou should consult Hesperia 1985, pp. 9-10. Perhaps the earliest of this amphora that we know is in the same article, Plate 1, no. 3, in the term of ΟΕΑΙΑΗΤΟΖ, datable to the 2nd decade of the 2nd century BC. Mrs. Philimonou-Tsopotou's amphora is the latest example of this potter that we know, datable somewhere around the middle of the 2nd century BC. It is useful to have the secondary stamp on a whole amphora with two main stamps.

Mrs. Savvatianou-Petropoulakou would like to have a photograph of the amphora of Tomb 12 at Nisyros (A.4. 1980 pl. 19a). She is interested in Mrs. Philimonou-Tsopotou's date of the 3rd quarter of the 4th century BC. A photograph of the mended original would thus be very useful to her.

Yours sincerely,

Claire Zimmerman Ratté
Claire Zimmerman Ratté

(for Virginia R. Grace)

1.)

possible
comb

2. επ. Δευόρπου

$$Kv \int \delta c$$

prose

Ἀποτίσις Βακχίου

leaf

No context for either. Feb. not known

Possibility of comb. of these 2 devices
11KT 1788 +

because of similar (unread) pair on a repair
jar at Agona, SS 9464, for G 14:2 (A, Wild
14/127, top). This is one of the w. shells

Crabtree

Prob. same job as KT 1890 &.

27.5.75

This is a

long notes
important
for post-
Sullen period

On these, see notes on KT 1788 in folder

KNID. - NOTES ON CERTAIN KT

⑩ 27.8.77 N.E. Uni

KT 1745 has a pair of

name, base, NIKay :

POΔOK(and TIOT(

2

2.)

KT 1746

$$\mathbb{E} \pi_i \mid \theta_{2j}$$

τιόπου

Kr (anchor, pt. n.)

It seems this shd be a type of *Khkayopos*
of KT 1745 ~~+~~ - an other stamp shd. be on the
line of that, but prob. without the down (which is
new), and with different down, i.e. 'Apl. &
Bak. - perhaps again abbreviated.

Have not found such a type.

This NIK ⁸⁸ ~~86~~ 88 ^{BC} has been thought to go just 86 BC

Other type of "Anavokids" - again w. them (?)

KT 2202 ⁰ ~~Eni~~ ^{Eni} Δαυοκρίτης Anavokid[

ru 64 ~~Dur~~ ^{typ} ~~may~~ ^{Arta v. g. - Eyrwv} ^{Thom (?)}

Single ex. identified, SS 3199.

AA includes med.
beliefs - to be
the dwn.

Profile of handle - rim resembles that on
the neck of Anav. sp. Δαυοκρίτης (SS 9467)

Context suggests that this is the earlier
(Anav.) sp. Δαυοκρίτης; i.e. there seems to
have been a "sl. post Anav.", which
not fit better with the rest of the data, but
the context of SS 3199 suggests it is the
earlier Δ.

This is the AR list in Byzantine Bleg,
on M 23:1, on which see Nessau 1, p. 126,
dated "probably in late second - BC". Filling
and Ben calls "presumably 2nd cent." of HAT,
and handles, if this is earlier Δαυοκρίτης,
also shd belong there (4 Anav. sp.s of Δαυοκρίτης
and one earlier than Anav.). There is much
typ. using Δαυοκρίτης, that of Boigov is
forepart of list; this I believe is an earlier
Δαυοκρίτης - composed of shape of whole jar
with this type.

10.15.80

(X) But date is lowered - EAD 27, pp 333-4, and
E96

Possible division type of KT 2202

Nothing promising found.

Was the name? as w. Enigmas of the year.

Did it match, or not? (as w. the pair of 'Aprewo & d's)

Leftover O type w. them: (maybe division type of KT 2202??)

KT 2305]arta[
nom

This seem to me to = KT 2150

'A-s-paw 'E-paw 'A-r-ta-v-s-p-o (q.D. 351/94)
(no division but can identify)

The TA of]arta[seems to only match same in this type; but we cannot find to him in KT 2150

None of these types has context.

KT 192 EM 16 ex (not filed in master card)

Mariza Petropoulaka

S.01

V cards found

KTs with cards out of place

26.vii.90

The following need to have their cards found and their readings checked and questions answered as noted:

KT 0383

found

Δήμου
rose lag
(retr)

ΔΗΜΥΛΟΣ fab ! %rs

NB: Chk: total exs? Master card still out of place vii.89, vii.90 4.1x.90

Total exs: ? 4 ex in Agora

2 hex

KT 1509

Period: 6 B

'Αν[δρῶν 'Αριστογένης] 'Αγία Κνίδιον
forepart of lion

(in O)

ΑΤΙΑΣ andr ΑΡΙΣΤΟΤΕΝΗΣ andr eth forepart of %lion in O

NB: Year of 'Αρίσταυος. Chk: nr of exs? and rdg (file card out of place

3.viii.89, vii.90, vi.92

Total exs: ??

KT 1788

[---] γθος [---] ξης[---]

prow

(in O)

four barrel Σ

unread %prow in O

NB: Chk: "file cards out for study" (MSP--still vii.90); nr exs?

Total exs: ?? 5

prow and.

KT 1789

[---]

prow

(in O)

we need rubbings
of EM exs (2)

unread %prow in O

NB: 2 Chk: "file cards out" (still vii.90); nr exs?

Total exs: ?? 2

KT 1884

'Αριστέας Βακχ[ίος]

leaf cluster

(in O)

ΑΡΙΣΤΕΑΣ (andr) ΒΑΚΧΙΟΣ (andr) %leaf in O

NB: Chk: "card out of place" (still vii.90)

Total exs: ΑΡΙΣΤΕΑΣ not ΑΡΙΣΤΕΥΣ? or ΑΡΙΣΤΕΡΗΣ?

VI C
Year of Θεοδοσιος

KTs missing cards vii.90

2

KT 1890

[Ὀχοφέρνης?] κνίδ.

VI C

'Επὶ Θεουπόρου [--- κνίδ.]
prow on

(in O)

[Ὀχοφέρνης?]

unread fab? ΘΕΥΠΟΜΠΟΣ ep eth %prow in O

NB: Chk: not edited by MSP (card out of place vii.90)

KT 1893

Καλλιδάμου
κνί(
wreath
(retr in O)

.IX.90

wreath types:
ready to be checked

ΚΑΛΛΙΔΑΜΑΣ ep ! eth %wrea in O

NB: Chk: not edited by MSP. EM hdis need to be chk'd. Card out
of place vii.90.

KT 1916

? Period: 6 A
'Επὶ Δαλιδάλοϋ 'Απολλωνίδας (retr)
boukranion
(in O)lunate epsilon
lunate sigma
omega cursing VI A

ΑΠΟΛΛΩΝΙΔΑΣ fab ΔΑΙΔΑΛΟΣ ep %bouk in O

NB: Chk: "cards out of file" found

Total exs: 2715

KT 2146

Δεξιφάν[---]ης
prow
(in O)Δεξιφάνης []άcus
prow
in OΔεξιφάνης αυδ. [Α]τηρ αυδ.
unread fab ΔΕΞΙΦΑΝΗΣ (ep) %prow in ONB: PMWM: prhs this file was overlooked. No comments on
questions[dz] Chk: to be revisedyear of
Διονυσος κ'ηςtotal ex. 8
EM 2

copy given 8 ms. 17

3.8.90

"KT with cards out of place"

Those with vs for study of period VTC by

KT 1788 [Ξα] v 00s [4 α 5] OK 275

prow

(in O)

SS 3900 (Ξ, mixed fill)

SS 9464 (fragments, join, combining KT 1788
with KT 2128, from deposit G 14: 2,
now dated latest in first quarter of
1st cent. B.C.

ABC 1, 2, as connected VII. 64

KT 1884 ✓ 'Απιοτέας Βάκχας

cluster

(in O)

(formerly called "leaf")

EM 1-3

SS 3505 (B', late fill)

In this type, the name is clearly written 'Απιοτέας

By analogy with the ^{joined} combination of KT 102 + KT 1528
and KT 1788 + KT 2128
(affine combination of dunes and join)
KT 1884 is believed to have been combined with
KT 1890.

(in 0)

SS 13173 (mixed fill)

KT 1890 and KT 1884 are believed to have been combined. See above under KT 1884. The name of the fabricant is restored because he is the one known to use the devices from and grape-cluster in combination (one on each handle of his amplores)-

A 1, 2

SS 1500 (Byz. level)

$$(EM, \text{none})$$

Note that $\Delta \varepsilon_k$ for γ_3 is not a fabrication, but a duovir.

Names in Knidian stamps of Period VI C

Circular stamps, mostly paired

by fabricants

AMEINOKΛΗΣ	herm	ΘΕΥΠΟΜΠΙΟΣ, ep.	KT 102	<u>joined pair</u> (neck)
(no device		ΑΝΔ. ΒΑΚΧΙΟΣ ΑΡΙΣΤΕΑΣ	KT 1528)	
ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ	cluster	[ΑΓΑΘΟ] ΒΟΥΛΟΣ, ep.	KT 2268	joined pair (neck)
(prow		ΚΑΕΥΠΙΟΛΙΣ ΘΕΙ	KT NEW)	
[ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ ?]	prow	ΑΝΔΡΟΜΕΝΗΣ, ep.	KT 1695	
	(DUOVIRI TYPE NOT IDENT.)			
ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ	prow	ΔΑΜΟΓΕΝΗΣ, ep.	KT 1143	
	(NO DUOVIRI TYPE FOUND, and no pair with ΔΑΜΟΓΕΝΗΣ known)			
[ΟΛΟ]ΦΕΡΝΗΣ	cluster	ΔΙΟΝΥΣΟΚΛΗΣ, ep.	KT 2267	
(prow		ΔΕΕΙΦΑΝΗΣ]ΑΤΗΣ	KT 2146)	
[ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ ?]	cluster	[ΕΥ] ΒΟΥΛΟΣ, ep.	KT 2128	<u>joined pair</u> (restored jar)
(prow		[ΕΑ] ΝΘΟΣ]ΟΚΛΗΣ	KT 1788)	
[ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ ?]	prow	ΘΕΥΠΟΜΠΙΟΣ, ep.	KT 1890	
(cluster		ΑΡΙΣΤΕΑΣ ΒΑΚΧΙΟΣ	KT 1884)	

Names in kindia, stamp of Pausanias V.C.
Circular stamp, mostly paired

Fabrics

- (A) $\Lambda\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\iota\kappa\acute{\alpha}\tilde{\nu}\varsigma$ ^{from} $\theta\epsilon\upsilon\tau\alpha\gamma\mu\alpha\varsigma$, ^{sp.} (RT 102)
(no pair) (A.V. ^{Balkans} ^{Aristos}) + RT 1528
- (F) $\epsilon\omicron\lambda\omicron\phi\acute{\alpha}\rho\upsilon\varsigma$ cluster. $[\text{A}\gamma\alpha\theta\acute{\alpha}] \beta\omicron\upsilon\delta\omicron\varsigma$, ^{sp.} (RT 2268
(prose $\kappa\alpha\tau\acute{\alpha}\nu\theta\omicron\delta\iota\varsigma$) (+ RT NEW)
 $\theta\epsilon[$
- $[\epsilon\omicron\lambda\omicron\phi\acute{\alpha}\rho\upsilon\varsigma?]$ prose $\text{A}\nu\delta\epsilon\sigma\mu\acute{\epsilon}\nu\eta\varsigma$ (RT 1695)
(DUONIRI TYPE NOT IDENT.)
- (E) $\epsilon\omicron\lambda\omicron\phi\acute{\alpha}\rho\upsilon\varsigma$ prose $\Delta\mu\omicron\gamma\epsilon\acute{\nu}\eta\varsigma$, ^{sp.} (RT 1143)
(NO DUONIRI TYPE FOUND,
AND NO PAIR WITH $\Delta\mu\omicron\gamma\epsilon\acute{\nu}\eta\varsigma$ KNOWN)
- (D) $[\epsilon\omicron\lambda\omicron]\phi\acute{\alpha}\rho\upsilon\varsigma$ cluster $\Delta\iota\omicron\nu\sigma\sigma\alpha\kappa\acute{\alpha}\tilde{\nu}\varsigma$ ^{sp.} (RT 2267)
(prose $\Delta\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\phi\acute{\epsilon}\nu\eta\varsigma$
 $] \acute{\alpha}\tau\eta\varsigma$ RT 2146)
- (C) $\epsilon\omicron\lambda\omicron\phi\acute{\alpha}\rho\upsilon\varsigma$ cluster $[\text{E}\acute{\alpha}] \beta\omicron\upsilon\delta\omicron\varsigma$, ^{sp.} RT 2128
joined pair (restored) (prose $[\text{E}\acute{\alpha}] \theta\omicron\varsigma$
RESTORED $] \theta\kappa\acute{\alpha}\tilde{\nu}\varsigma$ RT 1788)
- (B) $[\epsilon\omicron\lambda\omicron\phi\acute{\alpha}\rho\upsilon\varsigma]$ prose $\theta\epsilon\upsilon\tau\alpha\gamma\mu\alpha\varsigma$, ^{sp.} RT 1890
(cluster, $\text{A}\rho\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\lambda\omicron\varsigma$
 $\text{B}\alpha\kappa\chi\iota\omicron\varsigma$ RT 1884)

See ^{list} list of these around Ku. Vt. type
by fabric. The type of *Sclerophoria* ^{is found} gives us
all the sponges on types like this, as the
type of *Amorvordia* is dated by one of these
(*Sclerophoria*)

of the sponges, 2A & 2B seems to be
the one named by W.B? ^{get a list} fabricants. Try the
other sponge (?) in US file. Try all the names
in BAD 27; there is good material on
Oreomyza (also *O. cf. pumila*).

Want to get into it

88 B.C. Mithradates puts end to Roman
taxation, incl. in Rhod-
-and destroys Delos

86 BC Sulla destroys Athens

VTc ap.s not in this Ode group: group:

Απολλωνίδας (Δαμτρίος - Εύρωπος)
 Δαμόκριτος (Ἀντανόχοι - Εβρων?)

Needle, for study of VIC

Photos of stamps; with appeal for details of letter

KT 1788

From stamp on the jar SS 9464

Sam. 3 Lyr, on handle SS 6300

copy made 6-5-92

Kinds Period VIC: COMBINATIONS

Examples of the types

A A. $\epsilon\pi\iota$ $\theta\epsilon\upsilon\tau\omicron\mu\pi\tau\omicron\varsigma$ $\text{?}\alpha\mu\epsilon\iota\nu\omicron\kappa\lambda\acute{\eta}\varsigma$
KT 102 hon

$\text{?}\dagger\text{?}$
43 ex.s. mostly Athen, but 4 from Delos, over 20
from Alex

A $\text{?}\alpha\upsilon\delta\epsilon\omega\upsilon$
KT 1528 BAKXIOS

$\text{?}\alpha\pi\iota\sigma\tau\acute{\epsilon}\alpha\varsigma$

19 ex.s. mostly Athen, but 1 Argos (from Delos)

On these types, see EAD 27, via index under $\theta\epsilon\upsilon\tau\omicron\mu\pi\tau\omicron\varsigma$.

4. X.

The difference in the number of examples would not suggest that the two types were a pair, or the same jar, but we have them together, on the preserved neck of SS 9467. Must just guess that KT 102 is easier to identify, and that KT 1528, very partially unpressed or preserved, could be taken for the remains of any old rectangular stamp without device.

(examples)

B KT 1890 Ἐνὶ Θρυπτοῦ [ὀδοφόρως Κρύδε
 prow or
 (in O)

~~KT 1888~~ EM 1

SS 13173 (mixed fill)

KT 1884 Ἀπὸ τῆς Βακχίος
 cluster
 (in O)

EM 1-3

SS 3505 (B', late fill)

C KT 2128 Ἐνὶ Εἰς βοῦδου [ὀδοφόρως] Κρύδε
 cluster
 in O

EM 1

SS 9464, for Q 14:2, far marked also for
 KT 1788

A 1

KT 1788 ἐνὶ θοῶς [] οκλῆς
 prow (in O)

SS 3900 (E, mixed fill)

SS 9464 far, see under KT 2128

ABC 1, 2

SS 6300?

→

(3)

(4.x.90)

8.03

D KT 2267 Ἐπι Διορυστοκλέους [Ῥάδο] φέρουσ

Kρίδιος

cluster

(in 0)

British Museum 619

ABC 1, 2

SS 14170 (prov. unknown)

EM 1

6 exp

Attica, Kerameikos, KGA 2041

KT 2146 Δεξιφέρης []άτης

prow

(in 0)

A 1, 2

ABC 1, 2, 3, 4 (ABC 4 is the former
KT 1788 ABC 3)

8 exp

SS 1500 (Byz. level)

SS 5164 πῶς εἰσὶν = D 11:4, to first-Syll.
(formerly under KT 1789)

(EM, none)

E KT 1143 'Επι Δαμογέρους Ὀλοφέρους Κριδι
 prow or
 (in c)

SS 1764 - H

SS 9014 - NN brown fill with Kadikere

Agon: 6

SS 10684 - TIT, Bldg A

EM 7

EM, ~~max~~ 1-7

Als 2

Delos, TD 4638

Delos 1

SS 11316 late fill in T

Argos 1

ABC 1, 2

17th

Argos (Lange 1955, no. 54)

Agon, uncat. 2 ~~ex~~

(No duviri type has ^{to go with} been identified.)

F KT 2268 'Επι [Ἀγαθού] βούλου Ὀλοφέρους Κριδι
 or cluster
 (in o)

ABC 1, 2

NEW KT [Καζούριος Ὀλ]
 prow

ABC 1 (family with KT 1788)

SS 14510 (from uncat. ¹⁹³⁵ Argos)

KGA 12378

G KT 1695 'Επι Ἀνδρομένης Ὀλοφέρους? Κριδι
 prow or δισκ?

SS 326 only

(No duviri type ident.)

am made by CGK

KNIDIAN PERIOD VTC: COMBINATIONS

12T

102

A

Επὶ ὑπογράφου Ἀρμενοκλήος

herm

2 Arf g w v

Βακχίος

2 Ap 15 1545

(no device,
uses horizontal)

၁

55 9467

Wicks, Jan

N 21:1

1890

B

1884

Επί Θεωπόμπου [Ὁμοφρό^νος^ς Κ^ςα^ς]_{αχ} δ_{αχ}

grow

Ἀριστέας Βάκχιος

cluster

40.

4

complementary
types

2128



1788

${}^2E[F; E]\beta_0 \text{ του } [\Theta \text{ de } \phi \text{ prous}] \text{ κρίσιον}$

cluster

$$] \vee \theta_{05} [405] OK \lambda \hat{\eta}_5$$

from

1

SS 9464

frequenten jar

from 9 14:2

2267



2146

Ἐπὶ Διονυσίου ^{ἱερέως} Κλεῦς [Οὐλο]φώρεος

1-5, 15, or 20
cluster

Δεξιόφάνης []άτης

7504

1

complementary
types

REVISED "PLATE"

ΟΛΟΦΘΡΝΗΣ


~~leaf~~ cluster
(prow)

ΔΙΟΝΥ.ΟΚΛΗΣ, ep
(ΔΕΞΙΦΑΝΗΣ)
(ΠΑΤΗΣ)

(ΟΛΟΦΘΡΝΗΣ)

(cluster)
prow

(ΔΙΟΝΥΣΟΚΛΗΣ, φ)
ΔΕΞΙΦΑΝΗΣ
ΠΑΤΗΣ



KT 2267

KT 2146

ΟΛΟΦΘΡΝΗΣ

~~leaf~~ cluster
(prow)

(ΑΓΑΘΟ) ΒΟΥΛΟΣ, ep.
(ΚΧΕΥΠΟΛΙΣ)
ΘΕΙ


(ΟΛΟΦΘΡΝΗΣ)

prow

(cluster)

(ΑΓΑΘΟ) ΒΟΥΛΟΣ, ep.

ΚΛΕΥΠΟΛΙΣ
ΘΕΙ



KT 2268

KT 2268

NEW KT


ΟΛΟΦΘΡΝΗΣ

prow

ΔΑΜΟΓΕΝΗΣ, ep?

prow

ΑΝΔΡΟΜΕΝΗΣ, ep



Επί 'Ανδρομένους
prow

10. 11. 11

KT 88 - uncl
(Kusid ...)

KT 1143

KT 1695

KT

1143

Επι Δαμοθέους Ὀδοφάρους Κνίδι^ο
 prow ov

(E)

(no ducini type has been found)

2268

Επι ^{Latin is from 3 lines} [Ἀγαθῶ?] βούλου Ὀδοφάρους Κνίδι^ο
 ov cluster

(F)

KT (new)

Κλεώποδης Θε^ς [
 prow

} complete
 master
 types

The combination (A), on 2 handles attached to a nest (SS 9467), gives us ^{the} duviri that go with the epouyna $\Delta\epsilon\upsilon\tau\omicron\pi\omicron\varsigma$; $\text{'}\Delta\epsilon\iota\omicron\tau\omicron\varsigma\text{'}$ and $\text{'}\beta\alpha\kappa\chi\iota\omicron\varsigma\text{'}$

($\text{'}\Delta\epsilon\iota\omicron\tau\omicron\varsigma\text{'}$ and $\text{'}\beta\alpha\kappa\chi\iota\omicron\varsigma\text{'}$)

These duviri, on KT 1884 allow us to connect KT 1884 with KT 1890, making the combination (B). It is a little odd that the two types don't have the same device, but that is true also in the known combination (A).


The combination (C), on 2 handles attached to the same jar (SS 9464), confirms the fact that two types with different devices can appear at this period in Knidos on the same jar. It also gives us a new pair of duviri though not yet their whole names: $[\nu\theta\omicron\varsigma[4\alpha\varsigma]]\omicron\kappa\chi\iota\varsigma$. It attaches these duviri to an epouyna $[\epsilon^3]\beta\omicron\upsilon\delta\omicron\varsigma$: we guess it is this $-\beta\omicron\upsilon\delta\omicron\varsigma$ because there is not much room, i.e. too little room for a longer name.

The combination (D) is another cluster type with pron type, such as has been established by the jar of combination C (SS 9464). It attaches

The dnoiri Δεξίφινς and -άτης & the sponge Διορδοκδής. It gives us the fabricant, Ὀδοφόρς, probably & to be supplied in combinations B and C (because of the pair of types, cluster and prow).

The not-yet combination (E) gives another type of Ὀδοφόρς with prow.

The combination (F) is another cluster type with prow type, such as has been established by the pair of combination C. It attaches the dnoiri Κηρόπιδος and Θε[to an sponge [Ἀγαθο]βουδος. It gives us again the fabricant Ὀδοφόρς as fabricant of the prow and cluster types combined. Ἀγαθοβουδος is another sponge found in Period VII, like Εὐβουδος. As the dnoiri is different, we can't have the same sponge in both types (KT 2268 and KT 2128). The longer name fits better in KT 2268.



SS 9464 - Δ, Well at 14/12T, top fill, 714 ft

(G 14:2)

KT 2128

+ 1788

KT 2128 [Σα φ¹ρ¹ρ¹ρ¹], cf. [E3] Boulos
Juste

KT 1788 [Ea] vθuc [οικλ¹ρ¹ρ¹]
pro

AMEINOKΛΗΣ herm

12

ΘΕΥΠΟΜΠΟΣ, ep. (AMF...)

(h-m)

ΘΕΥΠΟΜΠΟΣ, ep.

GA 1401

(AND. BAKXIOS
ΑΡΙΣΤΕΑΣ)

AND. BAKXIOS
ΑΡΙΣΤΕΑΣ

A



KT 102

KT 1528


AMEINOKΛΗΣ 2 prow
[ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ] (cluster)

ΘΕΥΠΟΜΠΟΣ, ep.
(ΑΡΙΣΤΕΑΣ
ΒΑΚΧΙΟΣ) (KT 132)

[ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ] ~~cluster~~ (prow)

ΘΕΥΠΟΜΠΟΣ
ΑΡΙΣΤΕΑΣ
ΒΑΚΧΙΟΣ

B'



prow



KT 1890

KT 1884

[ΟΛΟΦ.]


~~cluster~~ (prow)

[ΕΥ]ΒΟΥΛΟΣ, ep.
(ΕΑΝΔΟΣ)
(ΟΙΚΛΗΣ)


K [ΟΛΟΦ.] prow

(cluster)


[ΕΥ]ΒΟΥΛΟΣ
ΕΑΝΔΟΣ
ΟΙΚΛΗΣ



βούλου
leaf



KT 1890





Δ13<1

KT 2128

KT 11788


ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ ~~leaf~~ cluster ΔΙΟΝΥΣΟΚΛΗΣ, ep. (ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ) (cluster) (ΔΙΟΝΥΣΟΚΛΗΣ, ep. (D) (ΔΕΞΙΦΑΝΗΣ) (prow) ΔΕΞΙΦΑΝΗΣ ΙΑΤΗΣ



KT 2267

KT 2146


ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ ~~leaf~~ cluster (prow) (ΑΓΑΘΟ) ΒΟΥΛΟΣ, ep. (ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ) (K) (ΚΛΕΥΠΟΛΙΣ) (cluster) (ΑΓΑΘΟ) ΒΟΥΛΟΣ, ep. (F) (ΚΛΕΥΠΟΛΙΣ) ΘΕΙ



KT 1168

NEW KT

ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ prow ΔΑΜΟΓΕΝΗΣ, ep. (G) prow ΑΝΔΡΟΜΕΝΗΣ, ep. (E)



Επί Ανδρομένους
prow

10. 701 201

KT 88 (Kvib and 2e)

KT 1143

KT 1695


hpl

(prow has 47 8.11.89)

[12]

A MEINOKALHS herm (12) ΟΕΥΠΟΜΠΟΣ, ep. (ΑΜΕΙΝΟΚΑΛΗΣ) (h-
 GAH01 (AND BAKXIOS) (ΦΕΥΠΟΜΠΟΣ, ep.)
 ANΔ BAKXIOS APISTEAS


(A)



KT 102

KT 1528

(B)



AMEINOKALHS - ?prow
 [ΟΑΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ] (cluster)

ΟΕΥΠΟΜΠΟΣ, ep.
 (APISTEAS BAKXIOS) (h-151)


(ΦΑΟΦΙΛΗΣ) ~~PRO~~ cluster
 (prow)

(ΦΕΥΠΟΜΠΟΣ)
 APISTEAS BAKXIOS

prow

KT 1890

KT 1884



[ΟΑΟΦ] ~~PRO~~ cluster
 (prow)

[ΕΥΒΟΥΛΟΣ, ep.
 (ΕΑΥΟΟΣ)
 (ΟΙΚΑΛΗΣ)]

K K (prow) (cluster)

[ΕΥ] ~~PRO~~
 [Α]ΝΑ

βούλου
 leaf

λ
 ους
 row

KT 2128

KT 1788

not sub VIC (Orestia) (4. XI. 88) 13.01
Late type of Aneloxadeta, hum in O
(A) + dromin type with no div in O
(4. with them of Odo & p r y s)
Am. in time of Duroj, was, assumed by neck

17.02 sh
13.02 (1) (1) (1) (1)
12.02

not sub VIC

Late type

A

+ drawing type

with no dim

ind

(cf. note above of Odontophrys)

Ap. in line of Durogros, assumed by neck

(Durogros (4. XI.88
and Durogros)

{αλφάβητη} in terms of ΕΙΣΗΓΟΡΙΑΣ (now) 13.02
with drawn Αρτοσλας + Βαρυον (clunk)
(complement)

4/21/80


13.03

main of type assumed by ^{SS 9464} $\{ \vec{E} \}$;
C [$\partial \phi = \rho \gamma$], in $\vec{E}$ [$\vec{E} \}$ Boudos?
with duration $\gamma \theta$ and $\gamma \theta \delta \gamma$

13.04

14.11.88

①
Οδοφάρμακον
with down



Διορυσόλη (clonazepam)

Δεξιδάιν + Ιάτης (now)

13.05

4.XI.88

Οδὸ φείγυς in ten of [Aγαθὸ]βουδός (dust)
with known Kdztodes and Dz [iron]

F ~

KT 2267

KT 2146

KT 2267

KT 2146

KT 2268

NEW KT

KT 2268

NEW KT

KT 1143

KT 1695

KNIDIAN: NOTES ON CERTAIN KT

17. $\nabla \pi. 64$

15.01

For types (KT)
discussed,
see list, p. 5
below

2. To sort out and
refine. Took ~~an~~
1788 ABC 4.

pair of types
not know that they

removed:

not a new type no.

to op. of KT 2146

are Two examples

shall remember ABC 4

1-2. Form ABC

1 to go in final drawn of NEW TYPES and Form 3 to 6
X T 3141 E 3141

remembered $\uparrow$ $\begin{matrix} 8 & 0 & 1 \\ \text{KT} & 2146 & 1 \\ \text{ABC} & 4 & \end{matrix}$ (if there now attributes remain at 3).

The two types on SS 9464 are KT 1788 and KT 2128. They are on the two handles of the jar, and though it is pretty fragmentary and restored, the 2 handles really are connected by ^{the} forms. (Just checked.) Readings as far as we are now: 2128

a.)

705200

✓ Kunder

~~(KT + 788)~~

cluster

6.)

01255

p m m

17. VII. 64
15.01

V-VI. 64
ABC JOB

KT 1788
ABC 2

"KT 1788 ABC 2"

with h still

KT 1788 ABC 2

V-VI. 64
ABC JOB

V-VI. 64
ABC JOB

KT 1788
ABC 4

"KT 1788 ABC 4"
with h

KT 1788 ABC 1

"KT 1788 ABC 3"
with h

KT 2146 ABC 4
(probably 4)

Publ.

On host -
Sallow typus,
near Delos 27,
p. 322.

KT 1788 and related types:
prow + cluster pairs -

For types (KT)
discussed,
see list, p. 5
below

In Alvarado, in V-VI.64, tried to sort out and
 read ^{listed under} upper variations of KT 1788. ~~upper~~ Took some
 new photos. on Roll 616 of old KT 1788 ABC 4.

Further study here, aided by the pair of types provided by SS 9464 - in Alps. did not know that they have different devices.

From KT 1788 ABC 1-4 lines removed:

ABC 1 which will have a new type us.

ABC 3 " is seen to be op. of KT 2146

So now in Alexandria BC there are Two examples

Alt. 5th } of KT 1788, the old ABC 2 and 4. I shall remember ABC 4
A. exp. } as ABC 1, and end up with ABC 1-2. Form ABC
1 to go in final draw of NEW TYPES and Form 3 to 6
remembered ^{KT 2146} ABC 4 (if those now attributed ^{E 2146} remain at 3).

The two types on SS 9464 are KT 1788 and KT 2128. They are on the two branches of the pin, and though it is pretty fragmentary and restored, the 2 branches really are connected by ^{or} ~~forms~~ (Just checked!)
Readings as far as we are now: 2128

a.)

30520

3 Kinder

$$[KT - 1728]$$

b.)

101295

1785
[KT 2128]

The 2 nomination in (b) suggest with a form of
potters (such as occur at this late period - ϕ arias β iotas,
etc.), or a pair of duviri. For duviri, ^{to match} the
first name, but the 2nd could be γ Agat] or
'Apo τ α κ λ γ ς - α 67. Why did they match? They did not.

(a) must contain name of a sponge, since it
is not in (b). Probably the γ ρ α ν δ α ν . This
may be ϵ ρ β α ν δ α ν , an sponge named by α θ γ - δ ν α ν ,
but it had been supposed that she was post-duviri.

Since we have with a form of potters now a
a pair of duviri according to which to restore KT 1788,
why not call it the ^{i.e. potters} former? The reason is the
series of circular pnowtypes and cluster types, in
none of which do these two names reappear, as they
ought to if they are the makers.

On analogy with the pair of types in ~~SS~~ SS 9464,
a quite likely pair of types is:

KT 1890
(SS - EM)

a.) ϵ τ τ β ν τ α ν δ α ν [β ρ α ν]

Ku] δ α ν

KT 1884
(SS - EM)

b.) α ρ ι τ α ν δ α ν β α κ χ ι α ν
cluster

This pair of duviri known along with this sponge.

to the computer

There is a fabricant known ~~as~~ ^{the} ~~other~~ in around
stamp with code of the devices under discussion,
and that is 'Παφ έργυς' :

[illegible]

KT 2267 Ἐπι Διονυσιοκλεῶς [Θαφz] p. 203
Κυρίδωρ

large cluster

KT 2268 ^[ARAD] ~~Ε~~πὶ βαΐλου ὁλοφάνους κνιδι
 ON
 cluster
 highly brown & open

This fabricant name would ^{apparently} fit (space) into both of
 the fab. - ep. types listed above, i.e. KT ~~1788~~^(with plinth) and
 KT 1890 (with pan).

The cluster in KT 2268 is closely similar to that in the desorini type KT 1884 combined tentatively with ~~the~~ KT 1890 (see previous page).

✓ 'Οδοφείριος would apparently not fit into the monostich & poor type with esp. 'Ανδρομέριος, KT 1695, but we need more examples here.

KT 1695 is the only dangling nose type which I saw
to be an ep.-prob. type, saw KT 1143 (see below),

(4)
(KT 1788 and related)

(11. vii. 64)
2A
15.05

There are 2 more prow types apparently both with
duviri names:

New KT (from KT 1788 ABC 1,
+ Agona north to cat.) : $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\upsilon\theta\omicron\delta\iota\varsigma\ \theta\epsilon\zeta$
prow

Check match
with 88 of
ABC 2146

KT 2146

$\Delta\epsilon\beta\epsilon\phi\acute{\alpha}\nu\gamma\varsigma$ [$\kappa\lambda\epsilon\upsilon\theta\omicron\delta\iota\varsigma$]
prow

The new KT in style of execution seems a good match
for KT 2268 listed on previous page. Distribution also
matches pretty well: KT 2268 : 2 up total, both in ABC
new KT : 2 " " , one " " , one in Agona

The trouble is, this would give us a second set
~~of KT 2146~~ of duviri for an ep.]βουδου
cf. KT 1788 + 2128. 4.87.88 One is ΕΒΒουδου
the other Αγαθός, both etc

KT 2146 seems a good match for KT 2267
(on previous page. Distribution:

KT 2267

BM 1
ABC 2

Agona { $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\upsilon\theta\omicron\delta\iota\varsigma$ 1
EM 1

KT 2146

A 2
ABC 4?
Agona 2

This could give to a group of $\Delta\iota\omicron\upsilon\sigma\omicron\kappa\eta\varsigma$ to of
duviri $\Delta\epsilon\beta\epsilon\phi\acute{\alpha}\nu\gamma\varsigma$ + [$\kappa\lambda\epsilon\upsilon\theta\omicron\delta\iota\varsigma$]

Single completely read dangling prow type:

KT 1143 Επι Δαμοχέριος 'Οδοφείριος Κνιδι
or

There are 15 examples listed. Possibly was no duviri type

But it does not look like a post-Sullan creation,
too sophisticated. And this poem can hardly go
back to ancient period?

In foregoing page, following KT are discussed:

KT	1143 [✓]	p. 3
	1695 [✓]	p. 3
	1788	pp. 1, 2, 3, 4
	1884 [✓]	pp. 2, 3
	1890 [✓]	pp. 2, 3
	2128 [✓]	pp. 1, 3, 4
	2146 [✓]	pp. 1, 4
	2267 [✓]	pp. 3, 4
	2268 [✓]	pp. 3, 4
	(new KT)	p. 4

and following names are mentioned:

'Αθγ(+ 'Ονα(^{sub.} φαινα	p. 2
'Αρδραμείας, ep.	p. 3
'Αρυσίας, duon.	p. 2
Βακxios	p. 2
Δαμοχέας, ep.	pp. 3, 4 ^{Delo 27} ^{q. 2180}
Δεξιφάγος, duon.?	p. 4
Διορυσοκλής, ep.	pp. 3, 4
Εὐβοιδας, ep.	pp. 2, 3
Θε[duon.?	p. 4
Θεώτομος, ep.	p. 2 ^{Delo 27, E 96} ^{p. 322}

(6)
(KT 1788 - related)

/ u. 55. 64
24 }
15.07

Καζύπιδος, duov.?	p. 4
Ξ[ά]γυθος?, duov.?	p. 1
Οδοφέρης, fab.	pp. 3, 4
Ιβοΐδος, up.	pp. 1, 2
Ιοκλῆς, duov.?	pp. 1, 2
Ιάτης, duov.?	p. 4

Delos 27,
4. E 180
+ p. 322

Prow circular type not discussed above:

KT 1903 both ep. & d. o.

2290

fab. Αδύρακος?

with large prow.

also there are some unmod prow types collected
under KT 1789, 2 unmod. at Agou (55 5164
has been removed - put in KT 2146) and 2 ab
EM.

cluster O type not discussed above:

KT 1711

"

2182

} cluster - hyp.

of Διοδώριος

(3)
(current notes on KT check)

34.5
1.8.64
[Alphabetic]
15.08

KT 1705

KT 1788 Master card not brought, only
the USEFUL card.

The ABC exp.s are of at least 3
types: ABC 1 and 3, with
diverse rates [matching, lower diff. rates]
1. VI. 64

Find no rubbings of this lot in the envelope
file, and only one based on, of ABC 1, on
the divine card. Plus known to
exist, of all, taken in 1955, but none
had ~~been~~ been attached to the divine card,
i.e. really on file.

→ Do not stir again without photostats of
concurrent lists of known and contemp.
eponyms, because I can't remember them.
→ Here, it would have helped to have a
full copy of the current storage list of
Kritian.

B) ABC 1

on KAEVH
Agnes Jodis Oed
from

+ SS 39.50 A) " 2
+ ABC 4

[AHZ] is a manipulation as it is under the pro
from I can not see better left of the
from, but above, almost any to come to the com
of the from, which must be a epositor

15.09

$$ABC \quad 2)$$

One might suggest using ΞANCOOS ,
but that conjug apparently could not be a
=

The $\bar{A}_g$ begins to the left of the process.

So not under power (inverted)
} O.K. by S

Replied. Tim with crying want for ABC, so as I show detail of proof.

2 sets of rubbings of all 4.

Note the KT photo card indicates there is a known combination of types, i.e.

12T 1788 + ~~2128~~ 2128, but no ex. of
2128 could be ident. in ABC, so I
have nothing to compare with (no handles,
no photos.) [9.011.64
12T 2128 was cluster (or leaf) not p.

Master said must always have a lot of this.

4-57-64
See also
Kt 2146 AB m
Kt 1788
mug bag, candy
c 2146

KT 102

KT 1528

KT 1890

KT 1884

KT 2128

KT 1788

Discussed with
Pm Wm, 7.11.89
see his apm

ΔΙΟΓΕΝΗΣ

ear of corn

ΑΝΔΡΟΜΕΝΗΣ, ep

(ΑΝΔ. ΑΓΗΣΙΠΟΛΙΣ) ?
ΕΡΜΟΦΑΝΤΟΣ)

Ἐπὶ Ἀνδρομέμευς Διογέμευς Κνίδι-
ον
ear of corn

ΔΙΟΓΕΝΗΣ

ear of corn

ΑΝΔΡΟΜΕΝΗΣ, ep

(ΑΝΔ. ΑΓΗΣΙΠΟΛΙΣ)
ΕΡΜΟΦΑΝΤΟΣ)

1999



4145



(ΔΙΟΓΕΝΗΣ)

ear of corn

(ΑΝΔΡΟΜΕΝΗΣ, ep)

ΑΝΔ. ΑΓΗΣΙΠΟΛΙΣ
ΕΡΜΟΦΑΝΤΟΣ

SS 1266



Ἀνδρῶν Ἀγησίπολις
ear of corn



ΔΙΟΓΕΝΗΣ

ear of corn

ΑΝΔΡΟΜΕΝΗΣ, ep

(ΑΝΔ. ΑΓΗΣΙΠΟΛΙΣ)
ΕΡΜΟΦΑΝΤΟΣ

Ἐπὶ Ἀνδρομέμευς Διογέμευς Κνίδι-
ον
ear of corn

ΔΙΟΓΕΝΗΣ

ear of corn

ΑΝΔΡΟΜΕΝΗΣ, ep

(ΑΝΔ. ΑΓΗΣΙΠΟΛΙΣ)
ΕΡΜΟΦΑΝΤΟΣ

1999



4145



(ΔΙΟΓΕΝΗΣ)

ear of corn

(ΑΝΔΡΟΜΕΝΗΣ, ep)

ΑΝΔ. ΑΓΗΣΙΠΟΛΙΣ
ΕΡΜΟΦΑΝΤΟΣ

SS 1266



Ἀνδρῶν Ἀγησίπολις
ear of corn



SUPERCEDED

18.01

ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ

~~leaf~~ cluster

ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΑΚΗΣ, ep.

K

(ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ)



prow

(cluster)

(ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΑΚΗΣ)

ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΑΚΗΣ

1A-12



ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ

~~leaf~~ cluster (ΑΓΑΘΟ) ΒΟΥΛΟΣ, ep.?

K

prow

(ΑΓΑΘΟ) ΒΟΥΛΟΣ

ΚΑΕΥΠΟΛΙΣ

ΘΕΙ



ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ


prow

ΔΑΜΟΓΕΝΗΣ, ep

K

O

ΑΝΔΡΟΜΕΝΗΣ, ep



10. 11. 191

10. 11. 191

10. 11. 191
(Kuid and the 10. 11. 191)

ΔΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ

~~leaf~~ cluster

ΔΙΟΝΥΣΟΚΛΗΣ, αρ.


Κ

(ΔΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ) prow

(cluster)

(ΔΙΟΝΥΣΟΚΛΗΣ, αρ.)

ΔΕΙΦΑΝΗΣ
[ar]



ΔΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ

~~leaf~~ cluster (ΑΓΑΘΟΒΟΥΛΟΣ, αρ.)

Κ prow

(ΑΓΑΘΟΒΟΥΛΟΣ, αρ.)

ΚΛΕΥΠΟΛΙΣ
ΘΕΙ




ΔΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ

prow

ΔΑΜΟΓΕΝΗΣ, αρ

Κ

ΑΝΔΡΟΜΕΝΗΣ, αρ



10. VII. 1941

Demetrius: (S. 100)

(S. 100)

(S. 100)

(S. 100)

(S. 100)

(S. 100)

(S. 100)

(S. 100)


π. 88 - 100
(K. 100 and 101)

ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ

~~leaf~~ cluster

ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΑΝΗΣ, αρ.

Κ



ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ

~~leaf~~ cluster

ΑΓΑΘΟΒΟΥΛΟΣ, αρ. 3

Κ prow

ΑΓΑΘΟΒΟΥΛΟΣ




ΚΛΕΥΠΟΛΙΣ
ΘΕΙ

ΟΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ

prow

ΔΑΜΟΓΕΝΗΣ, αρ

Κ



ΑΝΔΡΟΜΕΝΗΣ, αρ

10. VII. 01

Demetrius: S. Ag.

201 (3526)

S. Ag.

S. Ag.

S. Ag.

S. Ag.

S. Ag.

S. Ag.

S. Ag.

S. Ag.



S. Ag.

ΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ

~~leaf~~ cluster

ΔΙΟΝΥΣΟΚΛΗΣ, ep.

K



(ΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ) prow (cluster)

(ΔΙΟΝΥΣΟΚΛΗΣ, ep.)

ΔΕΙΦΑΝΗΣ

187-8



ΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ

~~leaf~~ cluster

ΑΓΑΘΟΒΟΥΛΟΣ, ep.?

K prow

(ΑΓΑΘΟΒΟΥΛΟΣ, ep.)



uncal 187



ΚΛΕΥΠΟΛΙΣ
ΘΕΙ


ΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ

prow

ΔΑΜΟΓΕΝΗΣ, ep

K

ΑΝΔΡΟΜΕΝΗΣ, ep



10. VII. 04



uncal 187
(K113 and 187)

(TOO LIGHT)

18.05

ΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ

ΔΙΟΝΥ. ΟΚΛΗΔ



ΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ

leaf cluster ΔΙΑΘΟ. ΒΟΥΛΟΣ, ep.?

Κ prow

ΚΑΕΥΠΟΛΙΣ
ΘΕΙ




ΛΟΦΕΡΝΗΣ

prow

ΔΑΜΟΓΕΝΗΣ

prow

ΑΝΔΡΟΜΕΝΗΣ, ep



Ἐπὶ Ἀνδρομένους
prow

checking types naming VTC eps.

²Agadoboudas (Καδούβουδης, Θ2)

apparently only the type of Ἰδαδούβουδης (?) is known with a fab. An unattached type (KT 1285) looks as if it could be of that period, but a fab. has not been guessed.

KT 1551

²Αροδδουρίδας (Αροδούριος, Εἰσάρον) (note, with bot. d.) of this ^{Απ.} (the is (an?) earlier)

VTB fab:

Διοσκουρίδας

apparently only type of Διοσκουρίδας (KT 491), of which M&T seems to have counted 27 exs., i.e. a lot, found in Athens, Delos (many), Alys., etc.

On the date of this Αροδδουρίδας see EAD 27, p. 341, under E 132. Context ^{at Agon} not earlier than last Sulla, early Roman.

Δαμογύγης

of this Δαμογύγης, seem to be only the o type of Ἰδαδούβουδης with no known type ident. Reading clear, M&T counts 17 ex. (mostly from Athens) (Delos 1, Alys 2)

(2)

19.02

1657?

or KT 2150 (moder.)

KT 2305

Δαμόκριτος (also Ερμην, Αρταύδος)

Of this Δαμ., ^{only} type of 'Αμωοκδης

and ~~Αμωοκδης~~ with them

Perhaps the VII Δαμ. (type of 'ΑΒη' etc) is same person?

Διονυσોકδης (Δεξοφδης,]ατης)

Of an ep. Διονυσોકδης, only type of
Οδοφδης (w. mod - cluster)

Ερβουδος (Ξανθος, -οκδης)

Of type of ^{w. mod - cluster} Οδοφδης? (KT 2128)
(otherwise, just 'ΑΒη' etc kind of ep. type,
for no. of exs.)

Θεόπομπος ('Αρσείας, Βακχίος)

Of type of 'Αμωοκδης and ^{with mod - cluster} Οδοφδης

(no other VII, i.e. available)

There is a rect. type, with anchor (p. 5.)

which much resembles another type of Νικα/

but ^{the Νικα type} has Ροδωκ(, Ποι(in addition to Νικα

(no 'Αρ. - Βακ). There is no available type

with duovis 'Αρ. - Βακ

Note that *Odontopryx*, who names most
of these sp., is also perhaps to be restored in
KT 1695, a O type with few data in line 8)
Ardopryx (late VTB) (only 2 sp., both
at Agave).

KNIDIAN: VTC